UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

MICRON TECHNOLOGY, INC.
Petitioner

v.

LIMESTONE MEMORY SYSTEMS LLC Patent Owner

Case No. IPR 2016-01567

U.S. Patent 5,894,441 Filed March 31, 1998 Issued April 13, 1999

Title: SEMICONDUCTOR MEMROY DEVICE WITH REDUNDANCY CIRCUIT

Customer No: 22242

LIMESTONE MEMORY SYSTEMS LLC'S MANDATORY NOTICES PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. §42.8(a)(2)

Mail Stop PATENT BOARD
Patent Trial and Appeal Board
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450



Patent Owner Limestone Memory Systems LLC ("Limestone") hereby files its Mandatory Notices pursuant to 37 C.F.R. §42.8(a)(2) for the above-captioned proceeding.

I. Real Parties-In-Interest Under 37 C.F.R. §42.8(b)(1)

The real parties-in-interest are Limestone Memory Systems LLC and Acacia Research Group LLC.

II. Related Matters Under 37 C.F.R. §42.8(b)(2)

Patent Owner notes the following matters that may affect or be affected by a decision in this proceeding:

A. Related Administrative Matters

There are no U.S. patent applications that claim priority to U.S. Patent No. 5,894,441 ("the '441 patent"), and the '441 patent does not claim priority from another U.S. patent or patent application. There are no pending U.S. patent applications related to the '441 patent.

Petitioner Apple has filed two concurrent IPR petitions against patents owned by Limestone. The patents at issue in each of these concurrent proceedings are unrelated except by their common assignment to Limestone and by having been asserted in lawsuits involving Limestone and Petitioner. In addition to the current matter, these matters include the following case numbers and patents:



Case No.	Patent No.
IPR 2016-01561	U.S. Patent No. 6,233,181 ("the '181 patent")
IPR 2016-01567	U.S. Patent No. 5,894,441 ("the '441 patent")

The '441 patent was subject of a prior IPR petition, filed by Micron Technology, Inc. ("Micron") on October 27, 2015 as IPR2016-00094. That Micron petition was one of five concurrent IPR petitions filed against patents owned by Limestone, which are similarly unrelated except by their common assignment to Limestone and by having been asserted in lawsuits involving Limestone and Micron.

Case No.	Patent No.
IPR 2016-00093	U.S. Patent No. 5,805,504 ("the '504 patent")
IPR 2016-00094	U.S. Patent No. 5,894,441 ("the '441 patent")
IPR 2016-00095	U.S. Patent No. 5,943,260 ("the '260 patent")
IPR 2016-00096	U.S. Patent No. 6,233,181 ("the '181 patent")
IPR 2016-00097	U.S. Patent No. 6,697,296 ("the '296 patent")

Limestone filed preliminary responses in which it disclaimed certain claims of the '441 patent and the '296 patent. Upon review of Micron's petitions and Limestone's preliminary responses, the Board denied Micron's petitions at least in part as to the '504 patent, the '441 patent, and the '181 patent. To avoid delay from *inter partes* review arising from Micron's petitions, Limestone filed statutory disclaimers as to certain claims of the '260 patent, the '181 patent, and the '296



patent; which ultimately terminated *inter partes* review of these claims. Limestone continues to assert the remaining claims from the '504 patent, the '441 patent, and the '181 patent against Micron and other defendants, including Petitioner, in related District Court Litigation discussed below.

With respect specifically to the '441 patent, Micron's petition in IPR2016-00094 asserted two grounds of invalidity, as follows:

Claims	Alleged Grounds for Invalidity
1–3, and 5	35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 5,270,975, to McAdams ("McAdams"),
3, and 6–15	35 U.S.C. § 103 as obvious over McAdams in view of Japanese Patent Application No. H06-052696 ("Minami")

In its preliminary response, Limestone disclaimed claims 1–3, and 5 of the '441 patent. The Board subsequently denied Micron's petition as to claims 6–15. Further, the Board denied Micron's subsequent request for rehearing as to claims 6–15.

B. Related Judicial Matters

The patent at issue in the current matter, U.S. Patent 5,894,441 ("the '441 patent"), is asserted in the following pending lawsuits:



- Limestone Memory Systems LLC v. Micron Technology, Inc., No. 8:15-CV-00278 (filed February 17, 2015 C.D. Cal.) (asserts the '504, '441, '260, '181, and '296 patents);
- Limestone Memory Systems LLC v. Dell, Inc., No. 8:15-CV-00648 (filed April 23, 2015 C.D. Cal.) (asserts the '504, '441, '260, '181, and '296 patents);
- Limestone Memory Systems LLC v. Lenovo United States Inc., No. 8:15-CV-00650 (filed April 23, 2015 C.D. Cal.) (asserts the '504, '441, '260, '181, and '296 patents);
- Limestone Memory Systems LLC v. Hewlett-Packard Company, No. 8:15-CV-00652 (filed April 23, 2015 C.D. Cal.) (asserts the '504, '441, '260, '181, and '296 patents);
- Limestone Memory Systems LLC v. Acer America Corporation, No. 8:15-CV-00653 (filed April 23, 2015 C.D. Cal.) (asserts the '504, '441, '260, '181, and '296 patents);
- Limestone Memory Systems LLC v. Kingston Technology Co., Inc., No. 8:15-CV-00654 (filed April 23, 2015 C.D. Cal.) (asserts the '504, '441, '260, '181, and '296 patents);



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

