

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

MYLAN PHARMACEUTICALS INC.,  
Petitioner,

v.

BOEHRINGER INGELHEIM INTERNATIONAL GMBH,  
Patent Owner.

---

Case IPR2016-01563 (Patent 8,673,927 B2)  
Case IPR2016-01564 (Patent 8,846,695 B2)  
Case IPR2016-01565 (Patent 8,853,156 B2)  
Case IPR2016-01566 (Patent 9,173,859 B2)<sup>1</sup>

---

Before TONI R. SCHEINER, BRIAN P. MURPHY, and  
ZHENYU YANG, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

YANG, *Administrative Patent Judge*.

ORDER

Petitioner's Motion for *Pro Hac Vice* Admission of Charles A. Naggar  
*37 C.F.R. § 42.10*

---

<sup>1</sup> This order addresses issues that are common to each referenced case. We, therefore, issue a single order that has been entered in each case. For convenience, paper numbers refer to those filed in IPR2016-01563.

Case IPR2016-01563 (Patent 8,673,927 B2)  
Case IPR2016-01564 (Patent 8,846,695 B2)  
Case IPR2016-01565 (Patent 8,853,156 B2)  
Case IPR2016-01566 (Patent 9,173,859 B2)

Petitioner filed a Motion for Charles A. Naggar to appear *pro hac vice* in each of these proceedings. Paper 13. The Motion is supported by the Declaration of Charles A. Naggar. Ex. 1018. Patent Owner did not file any opposition.

The Board has reviewed the submissions and determined that the requirements of 37 C.F.R. § 42.10 have been met and there is good cause to admit Mr. Charles A. Naggar *pro hac vice* in this proceeding.

It is, therefore,

ORDERED that Petitioner's Motion for Charles A. Naggar to appear *pro hac vice* in this proceeding is granted;

FURTHER ORDERED that Mr. Charles A. Naggar is authorized to represent Petitioner as back-up counsel only, and that Petitioner is to continue to have a registered practitioner represent it as lead counsel;

FURTHER ORDERED that Mr. Charles A. Naggar shall comply with the Office Patent Trial Practice Guide and the Board's Rules of Practice for Trials, as set forth in Part 42 of 37 C.F.R.; and

FURTHER ORDERED that Mr. Charles A. Naggar shall be subject to the Office's disciplinary jurisdiction under 37 C.F.R. § 11.19(a) and the Office's Rules of Professional Conduct set forth in 37 C.F.R. §§ 11.101–11.901.

Case IPR2016-01563 (Patent 8,673,927 B2)  
Case IPR2016-01564 (Patent 8,846,695 B2)  
Case IPR2016-01565 (Patent 8,853,156 B2)  
Case IPR2016-01566 (Patent 9,173,859 B2)

PETITIONER:

Thomas Parker  
[Thomas.parker@alston.com](mailto:Thomas.parker@alston.com)

Chris McArdle  
[Chris.mcardle@alston.com](mailto:Chris.mcardle@alston.com)

Ellen Cheong  
[Ellen.cheong@alston.com](mailto:Ellen.cheong@alston.com)

Charles Naggar  
[Charles.naggar@alston.com](mailto:Charles.naggar@alston.com)

PATENT OWNER:

Leora Ben-Ami  
[Leora.benami@kirkland.com](mailto:Leora.benami@kirkland.com)

Eugene Goryunov  
[egoryunov@kirkland.com](mailto:egoryunov@kirkland.com)

Mira Mulvaney  
[Mira.mulvaney@kirkland.com](mailto:Mira.mulvaney@kirkland.com)