

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

MYLAN PHARMACEUTICALS INC.,  
Petitioner,

v.

BOEHRINGER INGELHEIM INTERNATIONAL GMBH,  
Patent Owner.

---

Case IPR2016-01563  
Patent 8,673,927 B2

---

Before TONI R. SCHEINER, BRIAN P. MURPHY, and  
ZHENYU YANG, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

MURPHY, *Administrative Patent Judge*.

DECISION  
Institution of *Inter Partes* Review  
37 C.F.R. § 42.108

## I. INTRODUCTION

Mylan Pharmaceuticals Inc. (“Petitioner”) filed a Petition requesting an *inter partes* review of claims 1–26 of U.S. Patent No. 8,673,927 B2 (Ex. 1001, “the ’927 patent”). Paper 2 (“Pet.”). Boehringer Ingelheim International GmbH (“Patent Owner”) filed a Preliminary Response to the Petition. Paper 10 (“Prelim. Resp.”). We have statutory authority under 35 U.S.C. § 314(a), which provides that an *inter partes* review may not be instituted “unless . . . there is a reasonable likelihood that the petitioner would prevail with respect to at least 1 of the claims challenged in the petition.”

Based on the arguments and evidence presented in the Petition and Preliminary Response, we determine there is a reasonable likelihood Petitioner would prevail with respect to claims 1 and 10 of the ’927 patent challenged in the Petition. Therefore, we institute an *inter partes* review.

### A. Related Proceedings

Petitioner and Patent Owner identify the following as related district court proceedings in the District of New Jersey regarding the ’927 patent: *Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals Inc. v. HEC Pharm. Group*, Civ. Action No. 3:15-cv-05982-PGS-TJB (consolidated); *Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals Inc., v. Accord Healthcare, Inc.*, Case No. 3:16-cv-00852-PGS-TJB; *Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals Inc. v. Dr. Reddy’s Laboratories, Ltd.*, Case No. 3:16-cv-02394-PGS-TJB; *Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals Inc. v. Prinston Pharmaceutical Inc.*, Case No. 3:16-cv-00851-PGS-TJB; *Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals Inc. v. Sun Pharmaceutical Industries Ltd.*, Case No. 3:16-cv-01727-PGS-TJB. Pet. 3; Paper 7, 2–3.

IPR2016-01563  
Patent 8,673,927 B2

Patent Owner identifies the following inactive district court cases: in the U.S. District Court for the Middle District of North Carolina, *Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals Inc. v. Intas Pharmaceuticals Ltd.*, Case No. 1:15-cv-00664-CCE-LPA; in the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of West Virginia, *Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals Inc. v. Mylan Pharmaceuticals Inc.*, Case No. 1:15-cv-00145-JPB. Paper 7, 3.

Petitioner identifies requests for *inter partes* review of related U.S. Patent Nos. 8,846,695 (IPR2016-01564), 8,853,156 (IPR2016-01565), and 9,173,859 (IPR2016-01566), which also are asserted in *Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals Inc. v. HEC Pharm. Group*, Civ. Action No. 3:15-cv-05982-PGS-TJB (D.N.J.) (consolidated). Pet. 3.

*B. Proposed Grounds of Unpatentability*

Petitioner advances three grounds of unpatentability under 35 U.S.C. §§ 102 and 103 in relation to the challenged claims in the '927 patent:

Reference[s]	Statutory Basis	Challenged Claims
'510 Publication (Ex. 1003) <sup>1</sup>	§ 102	18–26
'510 Publication and Glucophage Label (Ex. 1004) <sup>2</sup>	§ 103	1–26

<sup>1</sup> Himmelsbach et al., U.S. Patent Publication No. 2004/0097510, published May 20, 2004 (“the '510 Publication”). Ex. 1003.

<sup>2</sup> Glucophage® (metformin hydrochloride tablets) and Glucophage® XR (metformin hydrochloride extended-release tablets) prescribing information (“Glucophage Label”). Ex. 1004.

Reference[s]	Statutory Basis	Challenged Claims
'510 Publication, Ahrén (Ex. 1005), <sup>3</sup> Hughes (1006), <sup>4</sup> and/or Brazg (1007) <sup>5</sup>	§ 103	1–26

Pet. 9. Petitioner supports its challenge with a Declaration by Dr. Mayer B. Davidson (“Davidson Declaration”). Ex. 1002.

*C. The '927 Patent*

The '927 patent, titled “Uses of DPP-IV Inhibitors,” issued March 18, 2014, from an application filed November 15, 2010. Ex. 1001. The '927 claims priority, through a continuation application, to EP application 06009203, filed May 4, 2006. *Id.* at (30), 1:3–4. The '927 patent is assigned to Patent Owner. *Id.* at (73).

The Dipeptidyl Peptidase (“DPP”)-IV enzyme breaks down bioactive peptides, including the peptide GLP-1. *Id.* at 1:18–23. GLP-1 is a naturally occurring peptide “that helps reduce blood glucose by stimulating the pancreas to produce insulin and by inhibiting the release of glucagon, a substance that causes the liver to release glucose.” Ex. 1002 ¶ 28 (citing Ex. 1011, 149–150; Ex. 1014, 708); *see also* Prelim. Resp. 10. DPP-IV enzymes deactivate GLP-1 (and related hormones), thereby depressing the level of insulin in the body. *Id.* DPP-IV inhibitors are used to inhibit the DPP-IV enzyme, thereby preventing the breakdown of GLP-1 and helping to regulate blood glucose levels. *Id.* The '927

<sup>3</sup> Ahrén et al., *Twelve and 52-Week Efficacy of the Dipeptidase IV Inhibitor LAF237 in Metformin-Treated Patients with Type 2 Diabetes*, 27 DIABETES CARE 2874–880 (2004) (“Ahrén”). Ex. 1005.

<sup>4</sup> Hughes, International Patent No. WO 2005/117861, published December 15, 2005 (“Hughes”). Ex. 1006.

<sup>5</sup> Brazg, et al., *Effect of Adding MK-0431 to On-going Metformin Therapy in Type 2 Diabetic Patients Who Have Inadequate Glycemic Control on Metformin*, 54 DIABETES (Suppl. 1):A3 (2005) (“Brazg”). Ex. 1007.

patent states that DPP-IV inhibitors “are highly promising molecules for the treatment of diabetes mellitus.” Ex. 1001, 1:21–23.

The ’927 patent describes a genus of DPPV-IV inhibitor compounds according to formula I (*id.* at 4:54–5:22), but the claims at issue are directed to methods of treating type II diabetes using one species of DPP-IV inhibitor known as “linagliptin.”<sup>6</sup> *Id.* at 5:25–35 (“1-[(4 -methyl-quinazolin-2 -yl)methyl]-3-methyl-7-(2-butyn-1-y1)-8-(3-(R)-amino-piperidin-1-y1)-xanthine (cf. WO2004/018468, Example 2 (142))”). The ’927 patent identifies linagliptin as one of twelve “particularly preferred DPP-IV inhibitors” that may “bring about unexpected therapeutic advantages or improvements when combined with other pharmaceutical active substances.” *Id.* at 5:23–27, 8:15–17. Metformin is identified as a “particularly preferred example of an antidiabetic combination partner” for the DPP-IV inhibitors. *Id.* at 14:32–33. The ’927 patent describes an orally administered dose of “the DPP IV inhibitors” as “0.5 mg to 100 mg, preferably 2.5 mg to 50 mg, in each case 1 to 4 times a day” (*id.* at 8:32–33), and it further describes oral tablet dosage forms containing 0.5, 1.0, 2.5, 5.0, and 10.0 mg of DPP-IV inhibitor (*id.* at 20:4–24).

The ’927 patent includes a series of prophetic treatment examples. *Id.* at 16:20–23:44. Prophetic Example 13 describes a “Combined Treatment with DPP IV Inhibitor–Metformin” used for treating type II diabetes or pre-diabetes. *Id.* at 20:52–57. The combined treatment method is described as follows: “a DPP IV inhibitor according to the invention may be combined with the anti-diabetically active substance metformin . . . in a tablet.” *Id.* at 20:57–60. The ’927 patent further states:

---

<sup>6</sup> “Type 2 diabetes mellitus . . . manifests itself in a fasting blood sugar level exceeding 125 mg of glucose per dl of plasma.” Ex. 1001, 1:30–32.

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.