

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

APPLE INC.,
Petitioner,

v.

LIMESTONE MEMORY SYSTEMS LLC,
Patent Owner.

Case IPR2016-01561
U.S. Patent No. 6,233,181

DECLARATION OF DR. PINAKI MAZUMDER IN SUPPORT OF
PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. INTRODUCTION.....	5
II. BACKGROUND AND QUALIFICATIONS	5
III. ASSIGNMENT AND MATERIALS REVIEWED	13
IV. UNDERSTANDING OF THE LAW	14
A. Anticipation.....	14
B. Obviousness	14
C. Claim Construction	16
V. LEVEL OF ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART.....	17
VI. TECHNOLOGY BACKGROUND	19
A. DRAM Memory Cell	19
B. Basics of DRAM Architecture	20
C. An Architectural Snapshot of a Multi-Bank DRAM Chip	21
D. DRAM Chip Size Growth and Yield	29
E. Using Spare Memory Cells to Replace Defective Cells.....	31
F. Redundancy Techniques for Word Lines.....	35
G. Redundancy Technique for Bit Lines	39
H. Redundancy Techniques in Commercial DRAM Devices	40
VII. DESCRIPTION OF PRIOR ART.....	43
A. Disclosure of Sukegawa.....	43
B. Disclosure of Fujishima	46
C. Disclosure of Walck.....	51
VIII. THE '181 PATENT	53
IX. THE CHALLENGED CLAIMS	59
X. PRIOR PROSECUTION.....	61
A. Original Prosecution.....	61
B. <i>Inter Partes</i> Review	62

XI. PATENTABILITY ANALYSIS.....	65
A. Claim 3 is Obvious under § 103(a) over Sukegawa in view of Fujishima.....	65
1. Sukegawa and Fujishima disclose and render obvious every limitation of dependent Claim 3	65
2. Motivation to combine the teachings of Sukegawa and Fujishima	83
B. Claim 5 is Obvious under § 103(a) over Sukegawa in view of Fujishima and Walck	87
1. Sukegawa, Fujishima, and Walck disclose and render obvious every limitation of dependent Claim 5	87
2. A person of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to combine the teachings of Sukegawa, Fujishima, and Walck, thereby rendering Claim 5 obvious	92
C. Claim Chart Showing Obviousness of Claims 3 and 5	95

EXHIBITS

Exhibit #	Exhibit Description
1001	Declaration of Dr. Pinaki Mazumder
1002	Curriculum Vitae of Dr. Pinaki Mazumder
1003	U.S. Patent No. 6,233,181
1004	File History for U.S. Patent No. 6,233,181
1005	U.S. Patent No. 5,487,040 to Sukegawa
1006	U.S. Patent No. 5,267,214 to Fujishima
1007	U.S. Patent No. 4,967,397 to Walck
1008	U.S. Patent No. 5,956,285 to Watanabe
1009	Masashi Horiguchi et al., <i>A Flexible Redundancy Technique for High-Density DRAM's</i> , IEEE JOURNAL OF SOLID-STATE CIRCUITS, VOL. 26, NO. 1, Jan. 1991, at 12-17
1010	Kazutami Arimoto et al., <i>A 60-ns 3.3-V-Only 16 Mbit DRAM with Multipurpose Register</i> , IEEE JOURNAL OF SOLID-STATE CIRCUITS, VOL. 24, NO. 5, Oct. 1989, at 1184-90
1011	U.S. Patent No. 5,687,123 to Hidaka
1012	U.S. Patent No. 5,726,946 to Yamagata
1013	U.S. Patent No. 6,003,148 to Yamauchi
1014	U.S. Patent No. 6,075,743 to Barth
1015	<i>Inter Partes</i> Review No. IPR2016-00096, Decision Granting Institution filed April 21, 2016
1016	<i>Inter Partes</i> Review No. IPR2016-00096, Judgment Granting Request for Adverse Judgment filed August 3, 2016

I, Pinaki Mazumder, hereby declare:

I. INTRODUCTION

1. I have been retained by Apple Inc. (hereinafter “Apple”) to serve as a technical expert and provide expert opinions relating to U.S. Patent No. 6,233,181 (hereinafter “’181 Patent”) (Ex. 1003), including opinions on the validity of the ’181 Patent in support of Apple’s petition for *inter partes* review.
2. I am being compensated for my time at a rate of \$350 per hour. My compensation is in no way dependent on the substance of the opinions I have offered below, or upon the outcome of Apple’s petition for *inter partes* review (or the outcome of the *inter partes* review, if trial is instituted).

II. BACKGROUND AND QUALIFICATIONS

3. I received my PhD in Electrical and Computer Engineering from the University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign in 1988. Prior to that, I received my MS degree in Computer Science from University of Alberta in Canada, BS degree in Electrical Engineering from Indian Institute of Science at Bangalore, and BSc Physics Honors degree from Guwahati University in India.
4. Currently, I am a Professor of Electrical Engineering and Computer Science at the University of Michigan where I have been teaching for the past 25 years. I spent 3 years at National Science Foundation serving as the lead Program Director of Emerging Models and Technologies Program in the CISE Directorate

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.