		Page 1
1	UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE	
2		
3	BEFORE THE TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD	
4		
5	APPLE INC,	
6	Petitioner,	
7	v.	
8	LIMESTONE MEMORY SYSTEMS LLC,	
9	Patent Owner.	
10		
11	Case IPR2016-01561	
12	U.S. Patent No. 6,233,181	
13		
14	Video tape deposition of PINAKI	
15	MAZUMDER, PH.D, taken on April 27, 2017, at	
16	1350 I Street Northwest, Suite 1100,	
17	Washington, DC 20005, beginning at 9:03 a.m.,	
18	before Valerie D. Owens, a Court Reporter.	
19		
20		
	VERITEXT LEGAL SOLUTIONS	
21	MID-ATLANTIC REGION	
	1801 Market Street - Suite 1800	
22	Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103	



Page 2 Page 4 1 APPEARANCES: THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are now on 2 ANDREWS KURTH KENYON LLP 2 the record. Recording will continue until all BY: JOHN R. HUTCHINS, ESQUIRE; 3 ROMAN KHASIDOV, ESQUIRE 3 parties agree to go off the record. My name 1350 I Street Northwest 4 is Jonathan Perry. I represent Veritext 4 Suite 1100 Washington, DC 20005 5 Reporting. Today's date is April 27th, 2017. 5 (202) 662-3038 6 The time is approximately 9:03 a.m. We're at Jhutchins@andrewskurthkenyon.com 7 the offices of Andrews Kurth Kenyon, located 6 Appearing on behalf of Apple Inc. 7 FITCH EVEN TABIN & FLANNERY LLP 8 at 1350 I Street Northwest in Washington, DC. BY: DAVID A. GOSSE, ESQUIRE 9 The deposition it being taken by counsel for 8 120 South LaSalle Street 10 the Defense in the matter of Apple **Suite 1600** 9 Chicago, Illinois 60603 11 Incorporated versus Limestone Memory Systems (312) 577-7000 12 LLC, case filed with the US Patent and 10 dgosse@fitcheven.com Appearing on behalf of Limestone Memory 13 Trademark Office before the Patent Trial and 11 Systems LLC. 14 Appeal Board. Case number IPR 2016-1561. The 12 Also present: Jonathan Perry, Videographer 15 name of the witness is Pinaki Mazumder. 13 16 And would counsel present please 14 17 introduce themselves and state whom they 15 16 18 represent. 17 19 MR. GOSSE: David Gosse on 18 19 20 behalf of the patent owner, Limestone Memory 20 21 Systems LLC. 21 22 MR. HUTCHINS: John Hutchins 22 Page 3 Page 5 1 from Andrews Kurth Kenyon presenting Apple and 2 INDEX 2 with me is Roman Khasidov. 3 Testimony of: PINAKI MAZUMDER, PH.D THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The court 4 reporter is Valerie Owens, also with Veritext. Direct Examination by Mr. Gosse 5 Cross-Examination by Mr. Hutchins 233 5 Would you please swear in the witness. Redirect Examination by Mr. Gosse 246 6 THEREUPON: PINAKI MAZUMDER, PH.D, 7 EXHIBITS 8 was called as a witness and, having been first EXHIBIT DESCRIPTION PAGE 9 duly sworn and responding "I do," was examined 9 Exhibit 1 Declaration of Dr. Pinaki Mazumder 10 and testified as follows: 11 DIRECT EXAMINATION Exhibit 2 CV of Dr. Pinaki Mazumder 16 12 BY MR. GOSSE: Exhibit 3 Hidaka Patent 12 13 Q. Good morning, Dr. Mazumder. Exhibit 4 Sukegawa Patent 160 14 13 Okay. Good morning. Exhibit 5 Fujishima Patent 160 15 You understand that you're here Exhibit 6 Watanabe Patent 16 for your deposition today? 15 Exhibit 7 IEEE Journal of Solid-State 17 A. Yes. 16 Circuits, A Flexible Redundancy 18 Okay. Have you ever been Technique for High-Density DRAM's 213 19 deposed before, sir? 18 Exhibit 8 Fault-Tolerance and Reliability Techniques for High-Density 20 A. Yes. 19 Random-Access Memories 21 20 Exhibit 9 Walck Patent 225 Okay. Then you probably are 21 22 familiar with the process. I'm going to cover 22

2 (Pages 2 - 5)



Page 6 Page 8 1 a few ground rules, just in case. You're 1 an expert witness on behalf of Apple. Is that 2 correct? 2 entitled obviously to a fair question. I'll 3 be asking you questions all day. 3 A. Yes. Okay. Have you worked with 4 A. Yes. Q. 5 Q. If you don't understand the 5 Apple any other times? 6 question, let me know and we'll try to 6 A. No. 7 rephrase it or work out the misunderstanding Q. And did you meet with attorneys 8 so that we can come to an answer that is as 8 to prepare for today's deposition? 9 accurate as possible. Do you understand? 9 I met attorneys yesterday. 10 A. Sure. 10 Okay. How long did you speak Q. 11 11 with them? Q. Okay. And in the course of 12 answering questions that I ask, if you could 12 A. I came here after 10:30 --13 please respond with something verbal, like a 13 around 10:30 or so and we are there probably 14 yes or a no. Avoid head nods or head shakes around six o'clock. 15 and avoid any sort of uh-huhs or ah-huhs, 15 Q. Who all did you meet with in 16 because the court reporter won't be able to 16 preparation for today's deposition? 17 17 accurately transcribe those and indicate John and Roman. 18 whether you meant yes or no. Do you 18 Okay. Did you do anything else 19 understand? 19 to prepare for today's deposition? 20 20 I understand. A. I reviewed the materials for few 21 Q. Okay. And we'll try avoid 21 days before coming over here. 22 22 talking over each other. I'll ask you a Which materials did you review? Page 9 1 question and you can answer it, that way we 1 A. The apple petition. 2 can get an accurate transcript from the -- the 2 Q. Okay. Then Limestone's reply, as the 3 court reporter. 4 A. Okay. 4 well as the other materials, like the prior 5 Q. Does that work for you? 5 arts, then both -- the prior arts that we 6 6 asserted in the petition, as well as the other A. Sure. 7 materials like -- which we consider in the Q. Okay. Great. Could you spell 8 your name for the court reporter, please. 8 list of materials. We consider --THE REPORTER: Consider what Pinaki Mazumder is my name, that 10 way it is pronounced; and spelling is 10 materials? 11 P-i-n-a-k-i and then last name is 11 THE WITNESS: The list of 12 M-a-z-u-m-d-e-r. 12 materials that we used in preparing the 13 Q. Dr. Mazumder, are you employed? 13 declaration --14 14 BY MR. GOSSE: A. 15 15 Where do you work, sir? Q. Okay. 16 I work at the University of 16 A. -- and --A. 17 Michigan. 17 Q. Did you review any material that 18 Q. How long have you been working 18 is not cited as an exhibit in this matter?

3 (Pages 6 - 9)

MR. HUTCHINS: Well, if you

THE WITNESS: Yes. If you show

20 know -- if you know what's been cited as an



20

22

19 there?

A.

21 September.

I joined there in 1987,

Okay. And you're here today as

22

21 exhibit.

Page 10

- 1 me the exhibits, I will know, but definitely
- 2 the things that has been referenced in the
- 3 declaration in the footnote or in the list of
- 4 materials considered. I did look into them.
- 5 Especially there was -- in footnote of my
- 6 declaration Horiguchi's paper in 1997. So I
- 7 did review that, as well as the -- some of the
- 8 references which he used to describe some
- 9 inter subarray replacement to see that --
- 10 there's one reference 256 megabit DRAM in
- 11 1996. So I did look into that.
- 12 And, similarly, for Sukegawa, I
- 13 think he has a series of patents on this
- 14 particular concept. So I also looked into
- 15 other patents a little bit, but mostly I
- 16 concentrated on 0430 patent, which we have
- 17 used in the prior art.
- 18 BY MR. GOSSE:

2

- 19 Q. Okay. So in terms of material
- 20 that's not cited in your declaration, am I
- 21 correct that you reviewed several documents,
- 22 several papers that are cited in Sukegawa's

  - Page 11
- 1 1997 article? A. I wouldn't say several. As a
- 3 matter of fact, Sukegawa had filed three
- 4 patents at the same time almost. I think 040
- 5 is one of them and it's complementary one is
- 6 called 850, some number of this, ends with
- 7 850. And then he had also a month before, I
- 8 suppose he filed 394 patent. So I just very
- 9 cursory looked into to see that, you know, I
- 10 mean how they complement with this concept
- 11 related to any mapping.
- 12 Q. Okay.
- 13 MR. HUTCHINS: I'm sorry. Did
- 14 you -- were you asking about Horiguchi or
- 15 Sukegawa?
- 16 MR. GOSSE: I -- I misspoke,
- 17 Counsel. I apologize.
- 18 MR. HUTCHINS: That's okay.
- 19 There may have been a little bit of confusion
- 20 about what he was asked --
- 21 BY MR. GOSSE:
- 22 Yeah. So let me just rephrase,

- 1 if I may. The -- you reviewed several papers
- 2 that are cited in the Horiguchi article,
- 3 correct?

11

- A. Not several. There are two of
- 5 them which are related to inter subarray
- 6 replacement.
- 7 Q. Okay. One of those you
- 8 mentioned was a 256 megabit DRAM paper.
- 9 That's right.
- 10 What was the other one?
  - A. I cannot recall, but that's also
- 12 like inter subarray replacement technique.
- 13 This 256 megabit DRAM 1996 paper seemed more
- 14 closer to this 181 patent.
- 15 Q. Do you know the author of that
- 16 paper offhand?
- 17 A. Actually, it is a -- you know,
- 18 at that time IBM, then Toshiba and Simmons,
- 19 they are working on 256 megabit DRAM manuals.
- 20 So it was a Japanese author, I think, Kitahara
- 21 (phonetic) probably. I'm not hundred percent
- 22 sure. If you have a copy of the Horiguchi

Page 13

Page 12

- 1 1997 paper, I can point that out.
- Q. Sure. We'll -- we'll jump into
- 3 that later, if that's okay.
- Okay.
- 5 Q. In preparing for the deposition,
- 6 did you speak with anybody other than the
- 7 attorneys that are here with us today?
- Yesterday I met them for the
- 9 first time and we discussed over different
- 10 things. My --
- 11 MR. HUTCHINS: He's just asking
- 12 if you spoke with --
- 13 THE WITNESS: Right. Right.
- 14 MR. HUTCHINS: -- anyone other
- 15 than the attorneys.
- 16 THE WITNESS: Right. I'm just
- 17 trying to recollect. I think probably --
- 18 today is Thursday. Tuesday, I suppose another
- 19 attorneys just explained to me what a
- 20 deposition will be like. I mean, the
- 21 logistics and things like that.
- 22 BY MR. GOSSE:

4 (Pages 10 - 13)



Page 14 Page 16 Q. That was another attorney from 1 1 the two references I have provided in the 2 Andrews Kurth? 2 declaration, I think the footnote eight and Yeah. Rose, yes. 3 nine, both are authored by Horiguchi. One is 3 A. 4 4 1997 paper, the other one is part of a chapter Q. Okay. Sure. 5 A. And Roman was also on the phone. 5 of a book that he wrote with the Kiyoo Itoh, 6 Q. Okay. In the course of your 6 so I did look into that. 7 work on this case, have you spoken to lawyers Q. Okay. And did you speak with 8 for any of the other defendants, any of the 8 anybody else -- outside of attorneys in 9 other defendants in the district court 9 preparing --10 litigation? 10 A. No. 11 A. Can you explain. What do you 11 -- your declaration? 12 mean by other defendants? 12 A. No. 13 13 Q. Have you spoken with lawyers Okay. The court reporter has 14 from Micron Technology? 14 marked Exhibits 1 and 2. She'll put those in 15 A. No, I don't know them. 15 front of you. 16 Q. Okay. Have you spoken with any 16 MR. HUTCHINS: Thank you. 17 lawyers from Acer --17 (Limestone Exhibit 1 and Exhibit 18 A. No. 18 2 were marked for Identification.) Q. -- Dell --19 19 BY MR. GOSSE: 20 20 A. No. Q. Exhibit 1 is your declaration in 21 Q. -- HP --21 this matter. Do you recognize that document? 22 22 No. Yes. It appears to be the same Page 15 Page 17 1 Q. -- Kingston --1 one which I provided with my signature. 2 Q. Okay. And Exhibit 2 is also in No. A. 3 -- Lenovo --3 front of you. That is your curriculum vitae. Q. 4 4 Do you recognize that document? A. No. 5 -- or OCZ Storage Solutions? 5 A. Yes. 6 A. No. 6 Okay. And does your -- does 7 7 this declaration, Exhibit 1, summarize your Q. Okay. And in the course of your 8 work overall on this case, have you reviewed 8 opinions in this case? 9 9 any documents other than the ones cited in A. Yes. 10 your declaration? 10 Q. Okay. A. While writing the declaration, I 11 A. Based on the facts I had at that 12 did read quite a -- things to basic relate to 12 time and the knowledge at that time, yes. Q. Okay. Other relevant facts or 13 the 181 patent, Sukegawa and other things, but 14 of late, no. 14 knowledge that you've since learned that's not 15 cited in here? Okay. Did any of those other 16 documents inform your reasoning or your A. No. I just mentioned that what 17 opinions? 17 are additional materials I just looked into. A. Well, I have written a book on 18 18 That's all.

5 (Pages 14 - 17)

Q. Okay. Dr. Mazumder, when were

A. When I was first contacted or --

20 you first approached by Andrews Kurth

21 regarding this matter?



19 DRAM where fault tolerance is the main theme

20 and there I discussed about Horiguchi's 1991

22 looked into my own book, actually. And then

21 paper and all. So I did reference to --

22

# DOCKET A L A R M

# Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

### **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

#### API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

#### **LAW FIRMS**

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

#### **FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS**

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

### **E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS**

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

