

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

**R.J. REYNOLDS VAPOR COMPANY,**  
Petitioner,

v.

**FONTEM HOLDINGS 1 B.V.,**  
Patent Owner.

---

Case **IPR2016-01532**  
Patent No. **8,365,742**

---

**PATENT OWNER PRELIMINARY RESPONSE TO PETITION FOR  
*INTER PARTES* REVIEW**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION .....	1
II.	DISCUSSION.....	6
	A. The '742 Patent's Priority Claim .....	6
	B. A Person Of Ordinary Skill In The Art.....	6
	C. Claim Construction.....	7
	1. "Housing".....	8
	D. The Petition Fails To Show A Reasonable Likelihood That The Claims Of The '742 Patent Are Unpatentable .....	12
	E. The '742 Patent Claims Are Entitled To The Benefit Of At Least The Filing Date Of The '818 Application.....	12
	1. Requirements for a priority claim under 35 U.S.C. § 120.....	12
	2. The '818 application describes "a battery assembly and an atomizer assembly within a housing" .....	14
	a. The claims of the '742 patent are not required to recite every feature disclosed in the '818 application.....	18
	b. The term "the invention" and applicant's subsequent amendments to the specification do not demonstrate that the '818 application's "a battery assembly and an atomizer assembly within a housing" is limited to one embodiment.....	28
	(i) Use of the phrase "the invention" .....	29
	(ii) Amendments to the '937 application .....	32
III.	CONCLUSION.....	39
	CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE.....	40

## TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

### CASES

<i>Absolute Software, Inc. v. Stealth Signal, Inc.</i> , 659 F.3d 1121 (Fed. Cir. 2011) .....	5, 28, 29, 32, 35
<i>Anascope, Ltd. v. Nintendo of Am. Inc.</i> , 601 F.3d 1333 (Fed. Cir. 2010) .....	27, 28, 36
<i>Apple Inc. v. ContentGuard Holdings, Inc.</i> , IPR2015-00457 (PTAB June 30, 2015) .....	33
<i>Cooper Cameron Corp. v. Kvaerner Oilfield Products, Inc.</i> , 291 F.3d 1317 (Fed. Cir. 2002) .....	17
<i>Crown Packaging Tech., Inc. v. Ball Metal Beverage Container Corp.</i> , 635 F.3d 1373 (Fed. Cir. 2011) .....	26
<i>Fontem Ventures, B.V., et al. v. NJOY, Inc., et al.</i> , CV 14-1645-GW, Dkt. 65 (C.D. Cal. Jan. 29, 2015) .....	11
<i>Golight, Inc. v. Wal-Mart Stores, Inc.</i> , 355 F.3d 1327 (Fed. Cir. 2004) .....	25
<i>Greene’s Energy Group, LLC v. Oil States Energy Services</i> , IPR2014-00364, 2015 WL 2089119 (PTAB May 1, 2015) .....	25
<i>Honeywell Inc. v. Victor Co. of Japan, Ltd.</i> , 298 F.3d 1317 (Fed. Cir. 2002) .....	19
<i>Hynix Semiconductor Inc. v. Rambus Inc.</i> , 645 F.3d 1336 (Fed. Cir. 2011) .....	14
<i>ICU Med., Inc. v. Alaris Med. Sys., Inc.</i> , 558 F.3d 1368 (Fed. Cir. 2009) .....	26, 27
<i>Koito Mfg. Co., Ltd. v. Turn-Key-Tech, LLC</i> , 381 F.3d 1142 (Fed. Cir. 2004) .....	14

*PowerOasis, Inc. v. T-Mobile USA, Inc.*,  
522 F.3d 1299 (Fed. Cir. 2008) .....27, 28

*Research Corp. Techs. v. Microsoft Corp.*,  
627 F.3d 859 (Fed. Cir. 2010) .....27, 37, 38

*Resonate Inc. v. Alteon Websystems, Inc.*,  
338 F.3d 1360 (Fed. Cir. 2003) .....18

*Revolution Eyewear, Inc. v. Aspex Eyewear, Inc.*,  
563 F.3d 1358 (Fed. Cir. 2009) ..... 3, 4, 15, 18, 19, 20, 24, 32

*ScriptPro LLC v. Innovation Assoc., Inc.*,  
833 F.3d 1336 (Fed. Cir. 2016) .....24, 25

*SRI Int’l v. Matsushita Elec. Corp. of America*,  
775 F.2d 1107 (Fed. Cir. 1985) .....15, 25

*Tronzo v. Biomet, Inc.*,  
156 F.3d 1154 (Fed. Cir. 1998) .....27, 28

*Vas-Cath Inc. v. Mahurkar*,  
935 F.2d 1555 (Fed. Cir. 1991) .....15

*Vas-Cath Inc. v. Mahurkar*,  
935 F.2d (Fed. Cir. 1991) .....17

*Ventana Med. Sys., Inc. v. Biogenex Labs, Inc.*,  
473 F.3d 1173 (Fed. Cir. 2006) .....25

**STATUTES**

35 U.S.C. § 112 .....33

35 U.S.C. § 120 .....12, 13

35 U.S.C. § 314(a) .....1

**OTHER AUTHORITIES**

37 C.F.R. § 1.73 .....34

37 C.F.R. § 1.125 .....34

M.P.E.P. § 608.01(d).....34  
M.P.E.P. § 1893.03(b) .....6

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.