

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

GOOGLE INC., LG ELECTRONICS, INC.,
LG ELECTRONICS, U.S.A., INC., LG ELECTRONICS MOBILECOMM
U.S.A., INC., SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD, SAMSUNG
ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC., and HUAWEI DEVICE USA, INC.
Petitioner,

v.

RYUJIN FUJINOMAKI,
Patent Owner.

Case IPR2016-01522¹
Patent 6,151,493

Before DAVID C. MCKONE, BARBARA A. PARVIS, and
DANIEL N. FISHMAN, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

MCKONE, *Administrative Patent Judge*.

ORDER
Trial Hearing
37 C.F.R. § 42.70

¹ Case IPR2017-01017 has been joined with this proceeding.

The Scheduling Order set November 1, 2017, as the date for oral argument, if requested by the parties and granted by the Board. Paper 9. Both Petitioner and Patent Owner have requested oral argument. Papers 22, 23. Petitioners and Patent Owner each request 45 minutes per side.

The parties' requests for oral argument are granted. Oral arguments will commence at 1:30 pm Eastern Time on November 1, 2017, on the ninth floor of Madison Building East, 600 Dulany Street, Alexandria, Virginia. Each side is allotted forty-five (45) minutes total to present its case. Petitioners² will open the hearing by presenting their arguments regarding the challenged claims for which the Board instituted trial. Petitioners may reserve time for rebuttal arguments. Patent Owner will then respond to Petitioners' arguments. Petitioners may then present rebuttal arguments. Patent Owner may not respond to Petitioners' rebuttal arguments. No other arguments or motions may be presented.

The Board will provide a court reporter for the hearing, and the reporter's transcript will constitute the official record of the hearing. The hearing will be open to the public via in-person attendance on a first-come, first-served basis.

² As noted in our Order granting the Motion for Joinder filed by Petitioners Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd, Samsung Electronics America, Inc., and Huawei Device USA, Inc., in IPR2017-01017, the Samsung and Huawei petitioners agreed that "Samsung and Huawei should not be permitted to make their own arguments, jointly or individually, at the oral argument if Google or LG is a party at the time without prior authorization from the Board." Paper 19, 6. If the Samsung and Huawei petitioners seek to present separate argument, they must first meet and confer with the Google and LG petitioners and Patent Owner to attempt to reach agreement and then schedule a teleconference with the panel to seek authorization.

IPR2016-01522
Patent 6,151,493

At least seven (7) business days prior to the hearing, each party shall serve on the other party any demonstrative exhibit(s) it intends to use during the hearing. *See* 37 C.F.R. § 42.70(b). The parties should attempt to work out any objections to demonstratives prior to involving the Board. At least two (2) business days prior to the hearing, the parties shall file the demonstrative exhibits with the Board. *See id.* The parties are directed to *St. Jude Medical, Cardiology Division, Inc. v. The Board of Regents of the University of Michigan*, Case IPR2013-00041 (PTAB Jan. 27, 2014) (Paper 65), for guidance regarding the appropriate content of demonstrative exhibits. The parties must initiate a conference call with the Board at least two (2) business days before the hearing to present any objection regarding the propriety of any demonstrative exhibit. Any objection to demonstrative exhibits that is not timely presented will be considered waived. As demonstrative exhibits are not themselves evidence, the Board asks the parties to confine demonstrative exhibit objections to those identifying egregious violations that are prejudicial to the administration of justice.

The parties are reminded that each presenter must identify clearly and specifically each demonstrative exhibit (e.g., by slide or screen number) referenced during the hearing to ensure the clarity and accuracy of the reporter's transcript. The parties also should note that at least one member of the panel will be attending the hearing electronically from a remote location and that if a demonstrative is not filed or otherwise made fully available or visible to the judge presiding over the hearing, that demonstrative will not be considered. The parties also should note that a panel member appearing remotely will not be able to hear the parties unless they speak into the microphone at the podium. If the parties have questions

IPR2016-01522
Patent 6,151,493

as to whether demonstrative exhibits would be sufficiently visible and available to all of the judges, the parties are invited to contact the Board at (571) 272-9797.

The Board expects lead counsel for each party to be present in person at the hearing. If a party anticipates that its lead counsel will not be attending the oral arguments, the parties should initiate a joint telephone conference with the Board no later than two (2) business days prior to the oral hearing to discuss the matter. Any counsel of record, however, may present the party's argument.

The parties are reminded to direct their requests for audio-visual equipment to Trials@uspto.gov. Requests for special equipment will not be honored unless presented in a separate communication directed to the above email address not less than five (5) days before the hearing. If the request is not received timely, the equipment may not be available on the day of the hearing.

ORDER

It is

ORDERED that oral argument for this proceeding shall take place beginning at 1:30 pm Eastern Time on November 1, 2017, on the ninth floor of Madison Building East, 600 Dulany Street, Alexandria.

IPR2016-01522
Patent 6,151,493

PETITIONER:

J. Steven Baughman
Steven.baughman@ropesgray.com

Gabrielle E. Higgins
Gabrielle.higgins@ropesgray.com

Kathryn N. Hong
Kathryn.hong@ropesgray.com

PATENT OWNER:

Brent Bumgardner
bbumgardner@nbclaw.net

John Murphy
murphy@nelbum.com