UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

APPLE INC.

Petitioner

v.

PERSONALIZED MEDIA COMMUNICATIONS LLC

Patent Owner

Case No.: IPR2016-01520 Patent No.: 8,559,635

For: Signal Processing Apparatus and Methods

PATENT OWNER'S OBJECTIONS TO EVIDENCE SUBMITTED BY THE PETITIONER WITH ITS REPLY

Mail Stop PATENT BOARD

Patent Trial and Appeal Board United States Patent and Trademark Office P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450



Patent Owner Personalized Media Communications LLC ("PMC") hereby objects pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.64(b)(1) and the Federal Rules of Evidence ("FRE") to the admissibility of certain purported evidence served by Petitioner Apple Inc. on August 3, 2017 in connection with its Reply to Patent Owner's Response to Petition for *Inter Partes* Review. The exhibits objected to, and grounds for PMC's objections, are listed below. PMC also objects to Petitioner's reliance on or citations to any objected evidence in its papers.

PMC objects to the Petitioner's exhibits as follows:

Exhibit	Basis of Objection
1049	FRE 401-403 (Relevance, No probative value) – This exhibit is
	irrelevant and its probative value is substantially outweighed by
	the danger of wasting time in this proceeding.
1050	FRE 401-403 (Relevance, No probative value) – This exhibit is
	irrelevant and its probative value is substantially outweighed by
	the danger of wasting time in this proceeding.
1053	FRE 401-403 (Relevance, No probative value) – PMC objects to
	this exhibit to the extent it includes or relies on irrelevant or
	inadmissible information and to the extent that it includes or relies
	on information the probative value of which is substantially
	outweighed by the danger of unfair prejudice, wasting time, or



needlessly presenting cumulative evidence.

PMC further objects to this declaration as improper reply evidence pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.23(b), which limits replies to arguments raised in the patent owner response. See also Rules of Practice for Trials Before the Patent Trial and Appeal Board and Judicial Review of Patent Trial and Appeal Board Decisions; Final Rule, 77 Fed. Reg. 48612, 48620 (Aug. 14, 2012) ("Rules of Practice") ("Oppositions and replies may rely upon appropriate evidence to support the positions asserted. Reply evidence, however, must be responsive and not merely new evidence that could have been presented earlier to support the movant's motion."). This declaration is improper at a minimum to the extent it addresses Apple's evidence submitted with its petition, new evidence submitted in Apple's Reply, and/or introduce claim construction issues that should have been raised with Apple's petition.

1055

FRE 401-403 (Relevance, No probative value) – This exhibit is irrelevant and its probative value is substantially outweighed by the danger of wasting time in this proceeding.



In addition to the above general objections regarding Mr. Wechselberger's Reply Declaration (Ex. 1053), PMC further objects to specific paragraphs, as set forth below:

Paragraph(s)	Basis of Objection
4, 6-8, 9-11	Fed. R. Evid. 702/703 (Bases/Reliability of an Expert's Opinion
	Testimony); Fed. R. Evid. 705 and 37 C.F.R. § 42.65 (Failure to
	Disclose Facts or Underlying Data).
	37 C.F.R. § 42.23(b); Rules of Practice for Trials Before the Patent
	Trial and Appeal Board and Judicial Review of Patent Trial and
	Appeal Board Decisions; Final Rule, 77 Fed. Reg. 48612, 48620
	(Aug. 14, 2012) (Exceeds Scope of Reply Evidence);
12-13, 15-16	Fed. R. Evid. 702/703 (Bases/Reliability of an Expert's Opinion
	Testimony); Fed. R. Evid. 705 and 37 C.F.R. § 42.65 (Failure to
	Disclose Facts or Underlying Data).
	37 C.F.R. § 42.23(b); Rules of Practice for Trials Before the Patent
	Trial and Appeal Board and Judicial Review of Patent Trial and
	Appeal Board Decisions; Final Rule, 77 Fed. Reg. 48612, 48620
	(Aug. 14, 2012) (Exceeds Scope of Reply Evidence);



Dated: August 10, 2017 Respectfully submitted,

By ___/ Douglas J. Kline /
Douglas J. Kline
Registration No.: 35,574
GOODWIN PROCTER LLP
100 Northern Avenue
Boston, MA 02210
(617) 570-1209
Attorney for Patent Owner Personalized
Media Communications, LLC



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

