IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In the Inter Partes Review of:

Trial Number: To Be Assigned

U.S. Patent No. 8,559,635

Filed: May 24, 1995

Issued: October 15, 2013

Inventor(s): John Christopher Harvey, James

William Cuddihy

Assignee: Personalized Media

Communications, LLC

Title: Signal processing apparatus and methods Panel: To Be Assigned

Mail Stop *Inter Partes* Review Commissions for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

DECLARATION OF ANTHONY J. WECHSELBERGER UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.68 IN SUPPORT OF PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW OF <u>U.S. PATENT NO. 8,559,635</u>



Table of Contents

Introduction					
Background and Qualifications					
Unde	Understanding of Patent Law				
Background			13		
A.	Background of the Field Relevant to the '635 Patent		13		
	1.	NTSC Television Transmission Technology	14		
	2.	Embedded Digital Information in NTSC Television Transmissions	18		
	3.	Pay TV and Content Protection	26		
	4.	Decryption and Descrambling	30		
B.	Summary of the '635 Patent3				
C.	Summary of the Prosecution History		35		
Leve	Level of Ordinary Skill in the Pertinent Art				
Broadest Reasonable Interpretation			36		
	1.	"Decrypting"	36		
	2.	"Processor"	36		
	3.	"Encrypted digital information transmission unaccompanied by any non-digital information transmission"	37		
A. Background on Guillou					
B.					
	Background on Bitzer43				
	Back A. B. C. Leve Broa Back A.	Backgroun Understand Backgroun A. Back 1. 2. 3. 4. B. Sum C. Sum Level of O Broadest R 1. 2. 3. Backgroun A. Back Backgroun A. Back Backgroun Backgroun	Background and Qualifications		



V		4, 7, 13, 18, 20, 21, 28-30, 32, and 33 Are Invalid Over	3
	1.	Claim 1 Is Anticipated By Guillou4	3
	2.	Claim 2 Is Anticipated By Guillou5	2
	3.	Claim 3 Is Anticipated By Guillou5	8
	4.	Claim 4 Is Obvious Over Guillou6	6
	5.	Claim 7 Is Anticipated By Guillou6	7
	6.	Claim 13 Is Obvious Over Guillou6	8
	7.	Claim 18 Is Obvious Over Guillou	7
	8.	Claim 20 Is Obvious Over Guillou8	6
	9.	Claim 21 Is Anticipated By Guillou	9
	10.	Claim 28 Is Obvious Over Guillou9	5
	11.	Claim 29 Is Anticipated By Guillou9	6
	12.	Claim 30 Is Obvious Over Guillou9	7
	13.	Claim 32 Is Obvious Over Guillou	0
	14.	Claim 33 Is Obvious Over Guillou	2
IX.	Claims 1-4,	, 7, 18, 20, 21, 28-30, and 33 Are Invalid Over Aminetzah10	5
	1.	Claim 1 Is Obvious Over Aminetzah in View of Bitzer10	6
	2.	Claim 2 Is Obvious Over Aminetzah in View of Bitzer11	7
	3.	Claim 3 Is Obvious Over Aminetzah12	1
	4.	Claim 4 Is Obvious Over Aminetzah in View of Bitzer12	8
	5.	Claim 7 Is Obvious Over Aminetzah in View of Bitzer12	9
	6.	Claim 18 Is Obvious Over Aminetzah in View of Bitzer13	0



	7.	Claim 20 Is Obvious Over Aminetzah in View of Bitzer	136
	8.	Claim 21 Is Obvious Over Aminetzah	138
	9.	Claim 28 Is Obvious Over Aminetzah	141
	10.	Claim 29 Is Obvious Over Aminetzah	142
	11.	Claim 30 Is Obvious Over Aminetzah	143
	12.	Claim 33 Is Obvious Over Aminetzah in View of Bitzer	144
X.	Secondary	Considerations of Non-Obviousness	146
ΥI	Conclusion		1/19

I, Anthony J. Wechselberger, do hereby declare as follows:

I. INTRODUCTION

- 1. I have been retained as an expert witness on behalf of Apple, Inc. ("Apple") for the above-captioned Petition for *Inter Partes* Review ("IPR") of U.S. Patent No. 8,559,635 ("the '635 patent"). I am being compensated for my time in connection with this IPR at my standard consulting rate of \$350 per hour. My compensation is not affected by the outcome of this matter.
- 2. I have been asked to provide my opinions regarding whether Claims 1-4, 7, 13, 18, 20, 21, 28-30, 32, and 33 of the '635 patent ("the Challenged Claims") are invalid as anticipated or would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the alleged invention.
- 3. The '635 patent issued on October 15, 2013, from U.S. Patent Appl. No. 08/449,413 ("the '413 application"), filed on May 24, 1995. (Ex. 1003 at cover.) The '635 patent alleges to be a continuation of a series of applications dating back to U.S. Patent Appl. No. 07/096,096 filed on September 11, 1987, now U.S. Patent No. 4,965,825 ("the '096 Application"). The '096 Application alleges to be a continuation-in-part of a series of applications dating back to U.S. Patent Appl. No. 06/317,510 filed November 3, 1981, now U.S. Patent No. 4,694,490 ("the '510 Application").

DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

