UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

LG ELECTRONICS, INC. and LG ELECTRONICS U.S.A., INC. Petitioners,

v.

ROSETTA-WIRELESS CORPORATION, Patent Owner.

Case IPR2016-01516 Patent 7,149,511

PATENT OWNER'S SUR-REPLY TO PETITIONERS' REPLY

Mail Stop "PATENT BOARD"
Patent Trial and Appeal Board
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450



EXHIBIT LIST

Petitioners' Exhibits

1 cutioners Exhibits		
Exhibit	Description	
Ex. 1001	U.S. Patent No. 7,149,511 (filed Aug. 31, 2000) (issued on Dec.	
	12, 2006) (the "'511 patent")	
Ex. 1002	"A New File System for Mobile Computing" by John Saldahna,	
	Dissertation, Department of Computer Science and Engineering,	
	University of Notre Dame (November, 1996) ("Saldanha")	
Ex. 1003	"Mobile Computing Personae" by A. Banerji, D.L. Cohn, and	
	D.C. Kulkarni, Proc. 4th Workshop on Workstation Operating	
	Systems, Napa, CA, October 1993, pp. 21-29	
Ex. 1004	Presentation given at IBM Mobile Computing Workshop on	
	January 24, 1994 by David Cohn.	
Ex. 1005	"Realizing Mobile Computing Personae," by Michael Raymond	
	Casey, Dissertation, Department of Computer Science and	
	Engineering, University of Notre Dame (April, 1995)	
	"A hybrid model for mobile file systems," by Saldanha, John, and	
Ex. 1006	David L. Cohn, Mobile Computing Systems and Applications,	
	1994 Proceedings, IEEE (1994)	
	"A File System for Mobile Computing," by John Saldanha, A	
Ex. 1007	Dissertation Proposal, Technical Report 93-17, University of	
	Notre Dame, December 1993	
Ex. 1008	Cohn Expert declaration	
Ex. 1009	Patent Owner's Preliminary Response, Paper 7, IPR2016-00616	
Ex. 1010	Patent Owner's Preliminary Response, Paper 8, IPR2016-00622	
Ex. 1011	U.S. Patent No. 5,983,073 (filed Apr. 4, 1997) (issued Nov. 9,	
	1999) ("Ditzik")	
Ex. 1012	Microsoft Networks, SMB File Sharing Protocol, Document	
	Version 6.0p (Jan. 1, 1996) ("Microsoft SMB" or "SMB")	
Ex. 1013	WIPO Publication No. WO 91/003024 (filed Aug. 14, 1990)	
<i>LA</i> . 1013	(published Mar. 17, 1991) ("Masden")	
Ex. 1014	"A File System for Mobile Computing," by Carl Downing Tait,	
	Dissertation, 1993 Columbia University	
Ex. 1015	'511 Prosecution history	
Ex. 1016	'511 Reexamination history	
Ex. 1017	D.I. 109 (November 10, 2015 Opinion and Order) in Case No. 1-	
	15- cv-00799	
Ex. 1018	Mangione-Smith Declaration, Exhibit Rosetta-2001 to Patent	
	Owner's Preliminary Response, Paper 7, IPR2016-00616	
Ex. 1019	Mangione-Smith Declaration, Exhibit Rosetta-2001 to Patent	
	Owner's Preliminary Response, Paper 8, IPR2016-00622	



Ex. 1020	U.S. Patent No. 5,737,523 (issued Apr. 7, 1998) ("Callaghan
	Patent")
Ex. 1021	U.S. Patent No. 6,088,730 (filed Jan. 12, 1998) (issued Jul. 11,
	2000) ("Kato")
Ex. 1022	Disconnected Operation in the Coda File System, by James J.
	Kistler and M. Satyanarayanan, ACM Transactions on Computer
	Systems, Vol. 10, No. 1, February 1992, Pages 3-25 ("Coda")
Ex. 1023	Declaration of Crystal Daugherty
Ex. 1024	Declaration of William Baer
	Stanski, Peter, Stephen Giles, and Arkady Zaslavsky. "Document
Ex. 1025	archiving, replication and migration container for mobile Web
	users." Proceedings of the 1998 ACM symposium on Applied
	Computing. ACM, 1998.
Ex. 1026	PDF of Wayback archive page https://web.archive.org/web/http://
	www.cse.nd.edu/tech_reports/1993.html
Ex. 1027	NFS Illustrated by Brent Callaghan (ISBN 0-201-32570-5)
	("Callaghan Book")
	D.I. 112 (November 30, 2015 Motion for Reconsideration of
Ex. 1028	Court's Order Regarding Motion to Sever) in Case No. 1-15-cv-
LA. 1020	00799
T 1000	D.I. 117(December 7, 2015 Minute Entry) in Case No. 1-15-cv-
Ex. 1029	00799
Ex. 1030	D.I. 118 (December 7, 2015 Minute Entry) in Case No. 1-15-cv-
	00799
	D.I. 134 (Transcript of Motion Hearing) filed in <i>Rosetta-Wireless</i>
Ex. 1031	Corp. v. Apple, Inc. et al., Case No. 1:15-cv-00799 (N.D. Ill. Jan.
	12, 2016)
	D.I. 29 (Order of the Executive Committee) filed in <i>Rosetta-</i>
Ex. 1032	Wireless Corp. v. LG Electronics Co. et al., Case No. 1:15-cv-
	10608 (N.D. Ill. Jan. 25, 2016)
Ex. 1033	D.I. 31 (Order) filed in <i>Rosetta-Wireless Corp. v. LG Electronics</i>
	Co.et al., Case No. 1:15-cv-10608 (N.D. Ill. Jan. 27, 2016)
Ex. 1034	D.I. 32 (Order of the Executive Committee) filed in <i>Rosetta</i> -
	Wireless Corp. v. LG Electronics Co. et al., Case No. 1:15-cv-
	10608 (N.D. Ill. Feb. 1, 2016)
Ex. 1035	D.I. 42 (Minute Entry) filed in <i>Rosetta-Wireless Corp. v. LG</i>
	Electronics Co. et al., Case No. 1:15-cv-10608 (N.D. Ill. Sept. 7,
	2016)
Ex. 1036	U.S. District Court for the Northern District of Illinois Internal
	Operating Procedure 13 – Reassignments and Transfers
	operating i recedence is a recedeng in include and i remote to



Patent Owner's Exhibits

Exhibit	Description
Ex. 2001	Declaration of Daniel Zaheer for Pro Hac Vice
Ex. 2002	Declaration of Michael Ng for <i>Pro Hac Vice</i>
Ex. 2003	Complaint, No. 1:15-cv-00799 (Dkt. No. 1)
Ex. 2004	Summons and Proof of Service, No. 1:15-cv-00799 (Dkt. No. 12)
Ex. 2005	Motion for Extension of Time, No. 1:15-cv-00799 (Dkt. No. 24)
Ex. 2006	Memorandum in Support of Defendants' Motion to Dismiss, No. 1:15-cv-00799 (Dkt. No. 89)
Ex. 2007	Memorandum in support of Motion to Stay Patent Rule Deadlines, No. 1:15-cv-00799 (Dkt. No. 91)
Ex. 2008	Memorandum in support of Motion to Sever, No. 1:15-cv-00799 (Dkt. No. 93)
Ex. 2009	Rosetta's Response to Motion to Sever, No. 1:15-cv-00799 (Dkt. No. 100)
Ex. 2010	Docket Entry Granting Motion to Stay, No. 1:15-cv-00799 (Dkt. No. 99)
Ex. 2011	Opinion and Order re Motion to Sever and Motions to Dismiss. No. 1:15-cv-00799 (Dkt. No. 109)
Ex. 2012	Complaint in LG Action, No. 1:15-cv-10608 (Dkt. No. 1)
Ex. 2013	LGUSA's Answer, Defenses and Counterclaims, No. 1:15-cv-10608 (Dkt. No. 18)
Ex. 2014	Motion to Consolidate, No. 1:15-cv-00799 (Dkt. No. 115)
Ex. 2015	Excerpt of Transcript from December 8, 2015 hearing in Case No. 1:15-cv-00799
Ex. 2016	Docket Entry and Order Granting Motion to Consolidate, No. 1:15-cv-00799 (Dkt. Nos. 129 & 132)
Ex. 2017	Joint Status Report and Proposed Schedule, No. 1:15-cv-00799 (Dkt. No. 136)
Ex. 2018	Defendants' Motion to Stay, No. 1:15-cv-00799 (Dkt. No. 148)
Ex. 2019	"TECHNOLOGY; Verizon Plans Fast Internet for Cellphones," New York Times, Jan. 9, 2004.
Ex. 2020	"Data Over Cellular: A Look at GPRS," Communication Systems Design, April 2000.



Pursuant to the Board's Order dated December 2, 2016, Patent Owner Rosetta-Wireless Corporation ("Rosetta") respectfully submits this sur-reply to the Reply to Patent Owner's Preliminary Response filed by Petitioners LG Electronics USA, Inc. and LG Electronics, Inc. (collectively, "LG").

Section 315(b) is clear: institution of an *inter partes* review is barred if the petition "is filed more than 1 year after the date on which the petitioner . . . is served with a complaint alleging infringement of the patent." LG has previously attempted to evade that requirement, and the Board has rejected those tactics. In 2015, LG filed a petition more than one year after being served with a complaint that was voluntarily dismissed, but within a year of a second complaint. In a precedential decision, the Board rejected LG's petition as untimely, stating, "We decline LG's invitation to amend § 315(b) by inserting either 'latest' or 'second' [complaint] into the statute." *See LG Elecs., Inc. v. Mondis Tech. Ltd.*, IPR2015-00937, slip op. at 5 (PTAB Sept. 17, 2015) (precedential) (Paper 8).

The same rule applies here. LG's petition was indisputably filed more than one year after service of Rosetta's original complaint. LG and the other defendants filed a motion to dismiss, which the Court denied. They also filed a motion to sever, which Rosetta did not oppose (though Rosetta requested that the cases be



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

