

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

LG ELECTRONICS, INC. and
LG ELECTRONICS U.S.A., INC.
Petitioners,

v.

ROSETTA-WIRELESS CORPORATION,
Patent Owner.

Case IPR2016-01516
Patent 7,149,511

**PATENT OWNER'S PRELIMINARY RESPONSE UNDER 37
C.F.R. § 42.107 TO PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW
OF UNITED STATES PATENT NO. 7,149,511**

Mail Stop "PATENT BOARD"
Patent Trial and Appeal Board
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

EXHIBIT LIST

Petitioners' Exhibits

Exhibit	Description
Ex. 1001	U.S. Patent No. 7,149,511 (filed Aug. 31, 2000) (issued on Dec. 12, 2006) (the “511 patent”)
Ex. 1002	“A New File System for Mobile Computing” by John Saldanha, Dissertation, Department of Computer Science and Engineering, University of Notre Dame (November, 1996) (“Saldanha”)
Ex. 1003	“Mobile Computing Personae” by A. Banerji, D.L. Cohn, and D.C. Kulkarni, <i>Proc. 4th Workshop on Workstation Operating Systems</i> , Napa, CA, October 1993, pp. 21-29
Ex. 1004	Presentation given at IBM Mobile Computing Workshop on January 24, 1994 by David Cohn.
Ex. 1005	“Realizing Mobile Computing Personae,” by Michael Raymond Casey, Dissertation, Department of Computer Science and Engineering, University of Notre Dame (April, 1995)
Ex. 1006	“A hybrid model for mobile file systems,” by Saldanha, John, and David L. Cohn, <i>Mobile Computing Systems and Applications, 1994 Proceedings, IEEE</i> (1994)
Ex. 1007	“A File System for Mobile Computing,” by John Saldanha, A Dissertation Proposal, Technical Report 93-17, University of Notre Dame, December 1993
Ex. 1008	Cohn Expert declaration
Ex. 1009	Patent Owner’s Preliminary Response, Paper 7, IPR2016-00616
Ex. 1010	Patent Owner’s Preliminary Response, Paper 8, IPR2016-00622
Ex. 1011	U.S. Patent No. 5,983,073 (filed Apr. 4, 1997) (issued Nov. 9, 1999) (“Ditzik”)
Ex. 1012	Microsoft Networks, SMB File Sharing Protocol, Document Version 6.0p (Jan. 1, 1996) (“Microsoft SMB” or “SMB”)
Ex. 1013	WIPO Publication No. WO 91/003024 (filed Aug. 14, 1990) (published Mar. 17, 1991) (“Masden”)
Ex. 1014	“A File System for Mobile Computing,” by Carl Downing Tait, Dissertation, 1993 Columbia University
Ex. 1015	’511 Prosecution history
Ex. 1016	’511 Reexamination history
Ex. 1017	D.I. 109 (November 10, 2015 Opinion and Order) in Case No. 1-15- cv-00799
Ex. 1018	Mangione-Smith Declaration, Exhibit Rosetta-2001 to Patent Owner’s Preliminary Response, Paper 7, IPR2016-00616
Ex. 1019	Mangione-Smith Declaration, Exhibit Rosetta-2001 to Patent Owner’s Preliminary Response, Paper 8, IPR2016-00622
Ex. 1020	U.S. Patent No. 5,737,523 (issued Apr. 7, 1998) (“Callaghan Patent”)

Ex. 1021	U.S. Patent No. 6,088,730 (filed Jan. 12, 1998) (issued Jul. 11, 2000) (“Kato”)
Ex. 1022	Disconnected Operation in the Coda File System, by James J. Kistler and M. Satyanarayanan, ACM Transactions on Computer Systems, Vol. 10, No. 1, February 1992, Pages 3-25 (“Coda”)
Ex. 1023	Declaration of Crystal Daugherty
Ex. 1024	Declaration of William Baer
Ex. 1025	Stanski, Peter, Stephen Giles, and Arkady Zaslavsky. “Document archiving, replication and migration container for mobile Web users.” Proceedings of the 1998 ACM symposium on Applied Computing. ACM, 1998.
Ex. 1026	PDF of Wayback archive page https://web.archive.org/web/http://www.cse.nd.edu/tech_reports/1993.html
Ex. 1027	NFS Illustrated by Brent Callaghan (ISBN 0-201-32570-5) (“Callaghan Book”)
Ex. 1028	D.I. 112 (November 30, 2015 Motion for Reconsideration of Court’s Order Regarding Motion to Sever) in Case No. 1-15-cv-00799
Ex. 1029	D.I. 117(December 7, 2015 Minute Entry) in Case No. 1-15-cv-00799
Ex. 1030	D.I. 118 (December 7, 2015 Minute Entry) in Case No. 1-15-cv-00799

Patent Owner’s Exhibits

Exhibit	Description
Ex. 2001	Declaration of Daniel Zaheer for <i>Pro Hac Vice</i>
Ex. 2002	Declaration of Michael Ng for <i>Pro Hac Vice</i>
Ex. 2003	Complaint, No. 1:15-cv-00799 (Dkt. No. 1)
Ex. 2004	Summons and Proof of Service, No. 1:15-cv-00799 (Dkt. No. 12)
Ex. 2005	Motion for Extension of Time, No. 1:15-cv-00799 (Dkt. No. 24)
Ex. 2006	Memorandum in Support of Defendants’ Motion to Dismiss, No. 1:15-cv-00799 (Dkt. No. 89)
Ex. 2007	Memorandum in support of Motion to Stay Patent Rule Deadlines, No. 1:15-cv-00799 (Dkt. No. 91)
Ex. 2008	Memorandum in support of Motion to Sever, No. 1:15-cv-00799 (Dkt. No. 93)
Ex. 2009	Rosetta’s Response to Motion to Sever, No. 1:15-cv-00799 (Dkt. No. 100)
Ex. 2010	Docket Entry Granting Motion to Stay, No. 1:15-cv-00799 (Dkt. No. 99)
Ex. 2011	Opinion and Order re Motion to Sever and Motions to Dismiss. No. 1:15-cv-00799 (Dkt. No. 109)
Ex. 2012	Complaint in LG Action, No. 1:15-cv-10608 (Dkt. No. 1)
Ex. 2013	LGUSA’s Answer, Defenses and Counterclaims, No. 1:15-cv-10608 (Dkt. No. 18)

Ex. 2014	Motion to Consolidate, No. 1:15-cv-00799 (Dkt. No. 115)
Ex. 2015	Excerpt of Transcript from December 8, 2015 hearing in Case No. 1:15-cv-00799
Ex. 2016	Docket Entry and Order Granting Motion to Consolidate, No. 1:15-cv-00799 (Dkt. Nos. 129 & 132)
Ex. 2017	Joint Status Report and Proposed Schedule, No. 1:15-cv-00799 (Dkt. No. 136)
Ex. 2018	Defendants' Motion to Stay, No. 1:15-cv-00799 (Dkt. No. 148)
Ex. 2019	"TECHNOLOGY; Verizon Plans Fast Internet for Cellphones," New York Times, Jan. 9, 2004.
Ex. 2020	"Data Over Cellular: A Look at GPRS," Communication Systems Design, April 2000.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION	1
AUTHORIZATION FOR PAYMENT OF FEES	3
ARGUMENT	4
I. The Petition is Untimely	4
A. Procedural History Underlying Timeliness of Petition	4
B. The Petition Is Barred by Section 315(b).....	7
II. The Petition Fails to Demonstrate a Reasonable Likelihood of Success on the Merits	14
A. State of the Art.....	14
B. The '511 Patent Invention	15
C. Legal Standards	18
D. Claim Construction.....	20
E. The Petition Does Not Present a Reasonable Likelihood of Success with Regard to Ground 1	20
1. <i>Overview of Saldanha</i>	20
2. <i>Saldanha does not disclose, and teaches away from, the claimed “radio frequency (RF) receiver for receiving downstream data transmitted over a first wireless communications channel” (Claims 1 and 58)</i>	23
F. The Petition Fails to Demonstrate a Reasonable Likelihood of Success on the Merits With Regard to Ground 2	29
1. <i>Overview of Kato</i>	29
2. <i>Kato discloses a wholly different device than the WIPS</i>	30
3. <i>Kato does not disclose “a radio frequency (RF) (transceiver/receiver) for receiving downstream data transmitted over a first wireless communications channel” (Claims 1 and 58)</i>	32

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.