UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS EASTERN DIVISION

Corporation,) Civil Action No. 13-cv-00/99)
Plaintiff) Honorable Judge Joan H. Lefkow)
v.))
APPLE INC., a California Corporation,))
Defendant.	
ROSETTA-WIRELESS CORP., an Illinois Corporation,)) Civil Action No. 15-cv-10603
Plaintiff) Honorable Judge Joan H. Lefkow
v.))
HIGH TECH COMPUTER CORP., a/k/a HTC CORP., a Foreign Corporation, and HTC AMERICA INC., a Washington Corporation,))))
Defendants.)
ROSETTA-WIRELESS CORP., an Illinois Corporation,	Civil Action No. 15-cv-10605
Plaintiff) Honorable Judge Joan H. Lefkow
v.))
SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO. LTD., a Foreign Corporation, and SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC., a New York Corporation,))))
Defendants.	
[additional parties on following page])))
)



ROSETTA-WIRELESS CORP., an Illinois) Civil Action No. 15-cv-10608
Corporation,) Hanarahla Judga Jaan H. Laffrayy
Plaintiff	Honorable Judge Joan H. Lefkow)
V.	
LG ELECTRONICS CO., a Foreign Corporation, and LG ELECTRONICS USA INC., a Delaware Corporation,))))
Defendants.	
ROSETTA-WIRELESS CORP., an Illinois Corporation, Plaintiff)) Civil Action No. 15-cv-10611) Honorable Judge Joan H. Lefkow)
V.)
MOTOROLA MOBILITY LLC, a Delaware Corporation,)))
Defendants.	,))
	· ·

REPORT OF THE PARTIES' PLANNING MEETING

Plaintiff Rosetta-Wireless Corp. and Defendants Apple Inc.; Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd., Samsung Electronics America, Inc. (collectively, "Samsung"); Motorola Mobility LLC; LG Electronics Co., LG Electronics USA Inc. (collectively, "LG"); and HTC America, Inc. and HTC Corporation (collectively, "HTC") (collectively, "Defendants") hereby submit their report of their planning meeting.



1. The following persons participated in a Rule 26(f) conference on January 26, 2016 by phone conference.

Daniel Zaheer of Kobre & Kim LLP representing Rosetta-Wireless Corp.

Ericka J. Schulz of Sheppard Mullin Richter & Hampton, LLP representing HTC America, Inc. and HTC Corporation.

Julian Moore of Ropes & Gray LLP, representing Samsung Electronics Co. Ltd. and Samsung Electronics America, Inc.

Jenny Colgate of Rothwell Figg LLP, representing LG Electronics Co. and Electronics USA Inc.

Matthew J. Rizzolo and Jeff Bushofsky of Ropes & Gray LLP, representing Motorola Mobility LLC. ("Motorola")

David DesRosier and Megan Wantland of Weil, Gotshal & Manges, representing Apple, Inc..

2. Initial Disclosures.

Rosetta-Wireless served the initial disclosures required by Rule 26(a)(1) and Local Patent Rule 2.1 upon the non-Apple Defendants on January 6, 2016.

HTC America Inc. and Motorola served the initial disclosures required by Rule 26(a)(1) and Local Patent Rule 2.1 upon the Plaintiff on January 6, 2016.

LG Electronics USA Inc. served the disclosures required by Local Patent Rule 2.1 upon the Plaintiff on January 6 and the initial disclosures required by Rule 26(a)(1) on January 11, 2016.

Samsung served the initial disclosures required by Rule 26(a)(1) and Local Patent Rule 2.1 upon the Plaintiff on January 8, 2016.



3. Disclosures and Discovery Pursuant to Local Patent Rules.

The parties acknowledge that the requirements of the Local Patent Rules apply to this case.

4. Additional Discovery Plan.

The parties propose the following in addition to the discovery plan addressed in the Local Patent Rules, and in conjunction with the requirements of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. Where the parties have been unable to reach an agreement, each side's positions are set forth.

The parties will continue to meet and confer on the disputed topics, and to the extent they are unable to reach agreement, will present their dispute to the Court for resolution at the March status conference.

(a) *Interrogatories:* Rosetta may serve a maximum of 25 interrogatories on each Defendant Group.¹ Interrogatories may be served during the fact discovery period prescribed by Local Patent Rule 1.3. Responses to interrogatories shall be due 30 days from the date of service of the same.

Disputed issues:

Plaintiff's position: Defendants may serve a maximum of 15 joint interrogatories on Rosetta. Each Defendant Group may also serve a maximum of an additional 3 individual interrogatories on Rosetta.

Defendants' position: Each Defendant Group may serve up to 25 interrogatories on Rosetta.

(b) Requests for Admission: Rosetta may serve a maximum of 25 requests for admission on each Defendant Group. Requests for admission to authenticate a document do not count against these limits. Requests for admission may be served during the fact discovery period prescribed by Local Patent Rule 1.3. Responses to requests for admission shall be due 30

¹ A "Defendant Group" is any grouping of Defendants that are corporate parents, subsidiaries or affiliates of each other, or are under common corporate control (direct or indirect) with each other.



1

days from the date of service of the same.

Disputed issues:

Plaintiff's position: Defendants may serve a maximum of 15 joint requests for admission on Rosetta. Each Defendant Group may also serve a maximum of an additional 3 individual requests for admission on Rosetta.

Defendants' position: Each Defendant Group may serve up to 25 requests for admission on Rosetta.

(c) Total Deposition Time: Rosetta may take a maximum of 140 hours total of deposition of Defendant and third-party witnesses, exclusive of designated expert witnesses, not exceeding 45 hours of deposition testimony (questions and answers) for any one Defendant Group. If any deponent requires interpretation, then every hour of deposition time will count as 40 minutes.²

Disputed Issues:

Plaintiff's Position: Defendants collectively may take a maximum of <u>90 hours</u> total of deposition testimony of Rosetta and third-party witnesses, exclusive of designated expert witnesses.

Defendants' Position: Defendants collectively may take a maximum of <u>240</u> hours total deposition testimony of Rosetta and third-party witnesses, exclusive of designated expert witnesses.

(d) Scheduling and Noticing of Depositions: Except as otherwise provided herein, no witness examined in his or her individual capacity will be required to sit for more than a single deposition. Any notice of deposition will be served on all parties. The parties will negotiate in

² As with the other limitations set forth herein, the foregoing time limitation may be revised by agreement of the parties or by Court order upon a showing of good cause.



_

DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

