

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR  
THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS  
EASTERN DIVISION**

ROSETTA-WIRELESS CORP., an Illinois  
Corporation,

Plaintiff

v.

APPLE INC., et al.,

Defendants.

Civil Action No. 15-cv-00799

Judge Joan H. Lefkow

Date: June 24, 2015

Time: 10:00am

Courtroom: 2201

**DEFENDANTS' MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT OF THEIR RULE 12(b)(6) MOTION  
TO DISMISS, OR IN THE ALTERNATIVE, FOR A MORE DEFINITE STATEMENT**

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

I. INTRODUCTION .....1

II. LEGAL STANDARDS .....3

III. ARGUMENT .....6

    A. Rosetta’s Direct Infringement Claim Should Be Dismissed.....6

    B. Alternatively, This Court Should Order Rosetta to File a New Amended  
        Complaint With a More Definite Statement of Its Claims. ....10

IV. CONCLUSION.....10

**TABLE OF AUTHORITIES**

	<b>Page(s)</b>
<b>CASES</b>	
<i>Addiction and Detoxification Inst., LLC v. Aharonov</i> , No. 14-cv-10026, 2015 WL 631959 (E.D. Mich. Feb. 13, 2015).....	5
<i>Ashcroft v. Iqbal</i> , 556 U.S. 662 (2009).....	2, 3, 4, 5
<i>Bell Atlantic Corp. v. Twombly</i> , 550 U.S. 544 (2007).....	2, 3, 4, 5
<i>Bender v. LG Elecs. U.S.A., Inc.</i> , No. 09-2114, 2010 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 33075 (N.D. Cal. Mar. 11, 2010).....	6
<i>In re Bill of Lading Transmission and Processing Sys. Patent Litig.</i> , 681 F.3d 1323 (Fed. Cir. 2012).....	4, 5
<i>Bissessur v. Indiana Univ. Bd. of Trs.</i> , 581 F.3d 599 (7th Cir. 2010) .....	3
<i>Brooks v. Ross</i> , 578 F.3d 574 (7th Cir. 2009) .....	3
<i>Brown v. JP Morgan Chase Bank</i> , 334 Fed. App'x 758 (7th Cir. 2009) .....	3
<i>Deerpoint Grp., Inc. v. Acqua Concepts, Inc.</i> , No. 14-cv-01503, 2014 WL 7178210 (E.D. Cal. Dec. 16, 2014).....	4
<i>Edge Capture LLC v. Barclays Bank PLC</i> , No. 09-cv-1521, slip op. (N.D. Ill. Oct. 20, 2009).....	10
<i>Filipek v. Krass</i> , 576 F. Supp. 2d 918 (N.D. Ill. 2008).....	5
<i>Gharb v. Mitsubishi Elec. Automation, Inc.</i> , No. 10 C 07204, 2012 WL 1986435 (N.D. Ill. June 4, 2012) .....	4, 7, 8
<i>Gradient Enters., Inc. v. Skype Techs. S.A.</i> , 848 F. Supp. 2d 404 (W.D.N.Y. 2012).....	4
<i>Illinois Tool Works Inc. v. Elektromanufaktur Zangenstein Hanauer GmbH &amp; Co.</i> , No. 11-cv-262, 2011 WL 6002967 (E.D. Wis. Nov. 30, 2011).....	4

*Ingeniador, LLC v. Interwoven*,  
874 F. Supp. 2d 56 (D.P.R. 2012).....4

*K-Tech Telecomms., Inc. v. Time Warner Cable, Inc.*,  
714 F.3d 1277 (Fed. Cir. 2013).....5, 6

*Macronix Int’l Co. v. Spansion Inc.*,  
4 F. Supp. 3d 797 (E.D. Va. 2014) .....4, 5, 8

*McZeal v. Sprint Nextel Corp.*,  
501 F.3d 1354 (Fed. Cir. 2007).....3

*Mouldtec, Inc. v. Pagter & Partners Int’l B.V.*,  
No. 12-4249, 2012 WL 5966593 (N.D. Ill. Nov. 28, 2012) .....4

*Network Congestion Solutions, LLC v. AT&T Inc.*,  
No. 14-894-SLR, slip op. (D. Del. June 4, 2015) .....10

*Orlando Commc’ns LLC v. LG Elecs., Inc.*,  
No. 14-cv-1017, 2015 WL 1246500 (M.D. Fla. Mar. 16, 2015) .....9

*Radiation Stabilization Solutions, Inc. v. Varian Med. Sys., Inc.*,  
No. 11-cv-7701, 2012 WL 3757489 (N.D. Ill. Aug. 28, 2012) .....4, 5

*Regeneron Pharm., Inc. v. Merus B.V.*,  
No. 14-cv-1650, 2014 WL 2795461 (E.D.N.Y. June 19, 2014).....4

*Rodgers v. Lincoln Towing Serv., Inc.*,  
771 F.2d 194 (7th Cir. 1985) .....7

*Rovi Corp. v. Hulu, LLC*,  
No. 11-cv-665, 2012 WL 261982 (D. Del. Jan. 27, 2012) .....4

*In re Smartphone Geolocation Data Application*,  
977 F. Supp. 2d 129 (E.D.N.Y. 2013) .....8

*Swanson v. Citibank, N.A.*,  
614 F.3d 400 (7th Cir. 2010) .....3, 6

*Taurus IP, LLC v. Ford Motor Co.*,  
539 F. Supp. 2d 1122 (W.D. Wis. 2008) .....8

*Trading Techs. Int’l, Inc. v. BCG Partners, Inc.*,  
No. 10-cv-715, 2011 WL 1706136 (N.D. Ill. May 5, 2011).....6

*Wolf Run Hollow, LLC v. State Farm Bank, F.S.B.*,  
No. 12-cv-9449, 2013 WL 6182941 (N.D. Ill. Nov. 26, 2013).....4, 7

...

*Ziamba v. Incipio Techs., Inc.*,  
No. 13-cv-5590, 2014 WL 4637006 (D.N.J. Sept. 16, 2014).....4

**OTHER AUTHORITIES**

Fed. R. Civ. P. 8.....2, 5, 10  
Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(b)(6).....1, 3, 4, 10  
Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(e) .....10  
Fed. R. Civ. P. Form 18 .....5  
Fed. R. Evid. 408 .....7

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.