### UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

#### BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

LG ELECTRONICS, INC. and LG ELECTRONICS U.S.A., INC. Petitioners

V.

ROSETTA WIRELESS CORP.
Patent Owner

Patent No. 7,149,511

....

DECLARATION OF DAVID L. COHN, PH.D.




## TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	Qualifications, Background, and Experience				
II.	Scope of Assignment				
III.	Materials Considered				
IV.	Summary of Opinions				
V.	Legal Principles Used in Analysis				
	A.	Patent Claims in General	7		
	B.	Person of Ordinary Skill in the Art	7		
	C.	Claim Construction	8		
	D.	Prior Art	9		
	E.	Patentability	9		
VI.	A Person of Ordinary Skill in the Relevant Art1				
	A.	Relevant Field	.13		
	B.	Person of Ordinary Skill in the Art	.13		
VII.	Background of the Relevant Technology				
	A.	History of Mobile Computing	.14		
	B. comp	Background of mobile <i>computing personae</i> and interrelation to mobuting field			
VIII.	The '511 Patent				
	A.	Prosecution History and Reexamination of the '511 Patent	.25		
	B.	The Claims of the '511 Patent	.29		
	C.	Problem Addressed by the '511 Patent	.31		
	D.	Solution Set Forth in the '511 Patent	.32		
IX.	Clain	n Construction	.34		
X. comb		ns 1-12 and 58-67 are Unpatentable in View of Saldanha alone or in with Ditzik, Callaghan Patent, and/or Callaghan Book	.38		
	A.	Summary of Opinion	.38		
	B.	Summary of Saldanha	.40		



C.	Comparison of terminology between Saldanha and the '511 Patent48					
D.	Summary of Ditzik53					
E.	Sum	Summary of Microsoft SMB5				
F.	Sun	Summary of Masden5				
G.	Sun	Summary of NFS				
H.	Summary of Coda					
I. art	Microsoft SMB, Masden, NFS, and Coda are exemplary file-system 62					
J. Calla	The Combination of Saldanha and Ditzik, Callaghan Patent, and/or aghan Book63					
K.	Clai	Claim 1				
	i.	"A wireless intelligent personal network server, comprising	:"67			
	ii. data	ii. "a radio frequency (RF) receiver for receiving downstream data transmitted over a first wireless communications channel;"69				
	iii.	"a memory;"	72			
	iv.	"a central processing unit (CPU);"	73			
	insti dow	v. "a set of embedded machine language instructions within said personal network server, said set of embedded machine language instructions being executable by said CPU for processing said downstream data to provide at least one electronic file in said memory;"				
	said					
	vii.	"wherein said personal network server is hand-portable."	85			
L.	Clai	m 58	86			
M.	Dependent Claims					
	i.	Claims 2 and 59	87			
	ii.	Claims 3 and 60	89			
	iii	Claims 4 and 61	91			



		iv.	Claims 5 and 62	92		
		<i>v</i> .	Claims 6 and 63	93		
		vi.	Claims 7 and 64	95		
		vii.	Claim 8	95		
		viii.	Claim 9	97		
		ix.	Claims 10 and 65	98		
		х.	Claims 11 and 66	99		
		xi.	Claims 12 and 67	101		
	H.	Clair	n Charts	102		
XI.			2 and 58-67 are Unpatentable in View of Kato a one or more of Ditzik, Windows SMB, and Ma			
	A.	Summary of Opinion10				
	B.	Summary of Kato				
	C.	Comparison of terminology between Kato and the '511 Patent105				
	D.	Summary of Ditzik109				
	E.	Summary of Microsoft SMB				
	F.	Summary of Masden				
	G.	Sum	mary of NFS	109		
	H. art	Microsoft SMB, Masden, NFS, and Coda are exemplary file-system 109				
	I.	The Combination of Kato and Ditzik, Microsoft SMB, and Masden 110				
	J.	Clair	n 1	112		
		i.	"A wireless intelligent personal network serve 112	er, comprising:"		
		ii. data	"a radio frequency (RF) receiver for receiving transmitted over a first wireless communication			
		iii.	"a memory;"	117		
		iv,	"a central processing unit (CPII):"	117		



		v. "a set of embedded machine language instructions within said personal network server, said set of embedded machine language instructions being executable by said CPU for processing said downstream data to provide at least one electronic file in said memory;"			
		vii.	"wherein said personal network server is hand-portable." 1	128	
	K.	Claim 58			
	L.	Dependent Claims			
		i.	Claims 2 and 59	130	
		ii.	Claims 3 and 60	132	
		iii.	Claims 4 and 611	132	
		iv.	Claims 5 and 62	133	
		v.	Claims 6 and 631	134	
		vi.	Claims 7 and 641	135	
		vii.	Claim 81	136	
		viii.	Claim 91	137	
		ix.	Claims 10 and 65	137	
		х.	Claims 11 and 661	139	
		xi.	Claims 12 and 67	140	
	H.	Clair	n Charts1	141	
XII.	Conclusion				



# DOCKET A L A R M

# Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

# **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

#### API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

#### **LAW FIRMS**

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

#### **FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS**

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

### **E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS**

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

