

Filed on behalf of: LG Electronics, Inc. and
LG Electronics U.S.A., Inc.

Paper _____

By: Brian A. Tollefson, Lead Counsel
Steven Lieberman, Backup Counsel (*pro hac vice* pending)
Mark T. Rawls, Backup Counsel (*pro hac vice* pending)
Rothwell, Figg, Ernst & Manbeck, P.C.
607 14th Street, N.W., Suite 800
Washington, DC 20005
Phone: 202-783-6040
Facsimile: 202-783-6031
Emails: btollefson@rfem.com
slieberman@rfem.com
mrawls@rfem.com

Date filed: March 6, 2017

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

LG ELECTRONICS U.S.A., INC. and LG ELECTRONICS, INC.,
Petitioner,

v.

ROSETTA-WIRELESS CORPORATION,
Patent Owner.

Case IPR2016-01516
Patent 7,149,511 B1

LG'S REQUEST FOR REHEARING UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.71(d)

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | |
|---|-----|
| TABLE OF AUTHORITIES..... | iii |
| I. Introduction..... | 1 |
| II. Legal Standard | 2 |
| III. The Board misapprehended and overlooked eight grounds for obviousness in LG’s Petition that establish invalidity of the challenged claims without relying on the Callaghan Book or Microsoft SMB..... | 2 |
| A. The Petition establishes obviousness in view of Saldanha alone. | 5 |
| B. The Petition establishes obviousness in view of Saldanha and Ditzik. | 6 |
| C. The Petition establishes obviousness in view of Saldanha and the Callaghan Patent..... | 8 |
| D. The Petition establishes obviousness in view of Saldanha, Ditzik and Callaghan Patent. | 8 |
| E. The Petition establishes obviousness in view of Kato alone. | 9 |
| F. The Petition establishes obviousness in view of Kato and Ditzik. | 10 |
| G. The Petition establishes obviousness in view of Kato and Masden.... | 11 |
| H. The Petition establishes obviousness in view of Kato, Ditzik and Masden. | 12 |
| IV. The Board also misapprehended or overlooked that the Callaghan Book and Microsoft SMB were cumulative to Callaghan Patent and Masden and were used to indicate the knowledge of the skilled person in the art. | 12 |
| V. The Board Raised This Issue On Its Own, Despite Neither Side Putting The Prior Art Status Of Any Of The References In Dispute. | 15 |

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Cases

| | |
|---|--------|
| <i>Actifio, Inc. v. Delphix Corp.</i> , IPR2015-00052, Paper 58 (March 31, 2016) | 15 |
| <i>Arnold P'ship v. Dudas</i> , 362 F.3d 1338 (Fed. Cir. 2004)..... | 2 |
| <i>Ex Parte Williams</i> , BPAI 2009-005995, 2010 WL 3454271 (Aug. 31, 2010) | 13 |
| <i>PPG Indus. Inc. v. Celanese Polymer Specialties Co.</i> (Fed. Cir. 1988) | 2 |
| <i>Samsung Elecs. Co. v. Papst Licenscing GmbH</i> , IPR2016-01733, slip op. (Feb. 8, 2017)..... | 13, 14 |
| <i>Sony Corp. v. Imation Corp.</i> , IPR2015-01557, Paper 19 (Jan. 3, 2017) | 15 |
| <i>Thomas & Betts Corp. v. Litton Sys., Inc.</i> , 720 F.2d 1572 (Fed. Cir. 1983)..... | 13, 14 |

Rules

| | |
|----------------------------|---|
| 37 C.F.R. § 42.71(c) | 2 |
| 37 C.F.R. § 42.71(d)..... | 2 |

EXHIBIT LIST

| Ex. | Reference |
|------------|---|
| 1001 | U.S. Patent No. 7,149,511 (filed Aug. 31, 2000) (issued on Dec. 12, 2006) (the “511 patent”) |
| 1002 | “A New File System for Mobile Computing” by John Saldanha, Dissertation, Department of Computer Science and Engineering, University of Notre Dame (November, 1996) (“Saldanha”) |
| 1003 | “Mobile Computing Personae” by A. Banerji, D.L. Cohn, and D.C. Kulkarni, <i>Proc. 4th Workshop on Workstation Operating Systems</i> , Napa, CA, October 1993, pp. 21-29 |
| 1004 | Presentation given at IBM Mobile Computing Workshop on January 24, 1994 by David Cohn. |
| 1005 | “Realizing Mobile Computing Personae,” by Michael Raymond Casey, Dissertation, Department of Computer Science and Engineering, University of Notre Dame (April, 1995) |
| 1006 | “A hybrid model for mobile file systems,” by Saldanha, John, and David L. Cohn, <i>Mobile Computing Systems and Applications, 1994 Proceedings, IEEE</i> (1994) |
| 1007 | “A File System for Mobile Computing,” by John Saldanha, A Dissertation Proposal, Technical Report 93-17, University of Notre Dame, December 1993 |
| 1008 | Cohn Expert Declaration |
| 1009 | Patent Owner’s Preliminary Response, Paper 7, IPR2016-00616 |
| 1010 | Patent Owner’s Preliminary Response, Paper 8, IPR2016-00622 |
| 1011 | U.S. Patent No. 5,983,073 (filed Apr. 4, 1997) (issued Nov. 9, 1999) (“Ditzik”) |
| 1012 | Microsoft Networks, SMB File Sharing Protocol, Document Version 6.0p (Jan. 1, 1996) (“Microsoft SMB” or “SMB”) |
| 1013 | WIPO Publication No. WO 91/003024 (filed Aug. 14, 1990) (published Mar. 17, 1991) (“Masden”) |
| 1014 | “A File System for Mobile Computing,” by Carl Downing Tait, Dissertation, 1993 Columbia University |
| 1015 | ’511 Prosecution history |
| 1016 | ’511 Reexamination history |
| 1017 | D.I. 109 (Opinion and Order) filed in <i>Rosetta-Wireless Corp. v. Apple Inc. et al.</i> , Case No. 1:15-cv-00799 (N.D. Ill. Nov. 10, 2015) |

| Ex. | Reference |
|------|---|
| 1018 | Mangione-Smith Declaration, Exhibit Rosetta-2001 to Patent Owner's Preliminary Response, Paper 7, IPR2016-00616 |
| 1019 | Mangione-Smith Declaration, Exhibit Rosetta-2001 to Patent Owner's Preliminary Response, Paper 8, IPR2016-00622 |
| 1020 | U.S. Patent No. 5,737,523 (issued Apr. 7, 1998) ("Callaghan Patent") |
| 1021 | U.S. Patent No. 6,088,730 (filed Jan. 12, 1998) (issued Jul. 11, 2000) ("Kato") |
| 1022 | Disconnected Operation in the Coda File System, by James J. Kistler and M. Satyanarayanan, ACM Transactions on Computer Systems, Vol. 10, No. 1, February 1992, Pages 3-25 ("Coda") |
| 1023 | Declaration of Crystal Daugherty |
| 1024 | Declaration of William Baer |
| 1025 | Stanski, Peter, Stephen Giles, and Arkady Zaslavsky. "Document archiving, replication and migration container for mobile Web users." Proceedings of the 1998 ACM symposium on Applied Computing. ACM, 1998. |
| 1026 | PDF of Wayback archive page https://web.archive.org/web/http://www.cse.nd.edu/tech_reports/1993.html |
| 1027 | NFS Illustrated by Brent Callaghan (ISBN 0-201-32570-5) ("Callaghan Book") |
| 1028 | D.I. 112 (Motion for Reconsideration of Court's Order Regarding Motion to Sever) filed in <i>Rosetta-Wireless Corp. v. Apple Inc. et al.</i> , Case No. 1:15-cv-00799 (N.D. Ill. Nov. 30, 2015) |
| 1029 | D.I. 117 (Minute Entry) filed in <i>Rosetta-Wireless Corp. v. Apple Inc. et al.</i> , Case No. 1:15-cv-00799 (N.D. Ill. Dec. 7, 2015) |
| 1030 | D.I. 118 (Minute Entry) filed in <i>Rosetta-Wireless Corp. v. Apple Inc. et al.</i> , Case No. 1:15-cv-00799 (N.D. Ill. Dec. 7, 2015) |
| 1031 | D.I. 134 (Transcript of Motion Hearing) filed in <i>Rosetta-Wireless Corp. v. Apple, Inc. et al.</i> , Case No. 1:15-cv-00799 (N.D. Ill. Jan. 12, 2016) |
| 1032 | D.I. 29 (Order of the Executive Committee) filed in <i>Rosetta-Wireless Corp. v. LG Electronics Co. et al.</i> , Case No. 1:15-cv-10608 (N.D. Ill. Jan. 25, 2016) |
| 1033 | D.I. 31 (Order) filed in <i>Rosetta-Wireless Corp. v. LG Electronics Co. et al.</i> , Case No. 1:15-cv-10608 (N.D. Ill. Jan. 27, 2016) |

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.