

Filed on behalf of: Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd.

By: Naveen Modi (PH-Samsung-Flamm-IPR@paulhastings.com)  
Joseph E. Palys (PH-Samsung-Flamm-IPR@paulhastings.com)  
Chetan R. Bansal (PH-Samsung-Flamm-IPR @paulhastings.com)  
Paul Hastings LLP

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD.  
Petitioner

v.

DANIEL L. FLAMM  
Patent Owner

---

Patent No. RE 40,264 E

---

**PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW  
OF U.S. PATENT NO. RE 40,264 E**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION .....	1
II.	MANDATORY NOTICES UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.8.....	1
III.	PAYMENT OF FEES UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.15(a) .....	2
IV.	GROUND FOR STANDING.....	2
V.	PRECISE RELIEF REQUESTED AND GROUNDS RAISED.....	2
	A. Claims for Which Review Is Requested .....	2
	B. Statutory Grounds of Challenge.....	2
VI.	LEVEL OF ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART .....	5
VII.	OVERVIEW OF THE TECHNOLOGY, '264 PATENT, AND PRIOR ART.....	5
	A. Technology Background .....	5
	B. The '264 Patent .....	6
	C. Priority Date of the Challenged Claims .....	9
	D. <i>Okada I</i> .....	10
	E. <i>Incropera</i> .....	13
VIII.	CLAIM CONSTRUCTION .....	16
IX.	DETAILED EXPLANATION OF GROUNDS.....	18
	A. Overview of the Combined References .....	18
	B. Ground 1: <i>Okada I</i> , <i>Incropera</i> , and <i>Anderson</i> Render Claims 13, 15, 16, 22, and 64 Obvious.....	20
	1. Claim 13 .....	20
	2. Claim 15.....	34

3.	Claim 16 .....	35
4.	Claim 22 .....	35
5.	Claim 64 .....	38
C.	Ground 2: <i>Okada I, Incropera, Anderson, and Thomas</i> Render Claim 14 Obvious.....	39
1.	Claim 14 .....	39
D.	Ground 3: <i>Okada I, Incropera, Anderson, and Narita</i> Render Claim 17 Obvious.....	43
1.	Claim 17 .....	43
E.	Ground 4: <i>Okada I, Incropera, Anderson, and Yin</i> Render Claim 18 Obvious.....	46
1.	Claim 18 .....	46
F.	Ground 5: <i>Okada I, Incropera, Anderson, and Ishikawa</i> Render Claims 19 and 20 Obvious .....	48
1.	Claim 19 .....	48
2.	Claim 20 .....	50
G.	Ground 6: <i>Okada I, Incropera, Anderson, and Kadomura</i> Render Claims 21 and 23 Obvious.....	53
1.	Claim 21 .....	53
2.	Claim 23 .....	56
H.	Ground 7: <i>Okada I, Incropera, Anderson, Kadomura, and</i> <i>Okada II</i> Render Claim 24 Obvious.....	60
1.	Claim 24 .....	60
I.	Ground 8: <i>Okada I, Incropera, Anderson, and Mahawili</i> Render Claims 25 and 26 Obvious .....	63
1.	Claim 25 .....	63

2.	Claim 26 .....	66
J.	Ground 9: <i>Okada I, Incropera, Anderson, and Matsumura</i> Render Claim 65 Obvious .....	67
1.	Claim 65 .....	67
X.	CONCLUSION.....	71

**TABLE OF AUTHORITIES**

<b>Cases</b>	<b>Page(s)</b>
<i>Ariosa Diagnostics v. Verinata Health, Inc.</i> , 805 F.3d 1359 (Fed. Cir. 2015) .....	46
<i>In re Boesch</i> , 617 F.2d 272 (CCPA1980) .....	52
<i>Gardner v. TEC Sys., Inc.</i> , 725 F.2d 1338 (Fed. Cir.), <i>cert. denied</i> , 469 U.S. 830 (1984) .....	51
<i>KSR Int’l Co. v. Teleflex Inc.</i> , 550 U.S. 398 (2007).....	<i>passim</i>
<i>In re Luck</i> , 476 F.2d 650 (CCPA 1973) .....	52
<i>Nike, Inc. v. Adidas AG</i> , 812 F.3d 1326 (Fed. Cir. 2016) .....	32
<i>Phillips v. AWH Corp.</i> , 415 F.3d 1303 (Fed. Cir. 2005) ( <i>en banc</i> ) .....	16
<i>Randall Mfg. v. Rea</i> , 733 F.3d 1355 (Fed. Cir. 2013) .....	46
<i>In re Woodruff</i> , 919 F. 2d 1575 (Fed. Cir. 1990) .....	51
<b>Administrative Decisions</b>	
<i>Cisco Systems, Inc., v. AIP Acquisition, LLC</i> , IPR2014-00247, Paper No. 20 (July 10, 2014) .....	16
<i>Kamstrup A/S v. Apator Miitors APS</i> , IPR2015-01403, Paper No. 7 (Dec. 28, 2015).....	56
<i>Lam Research Corp. v. Daniel L. Flamm</i> , IPR2015-01759, Paper No. 7 (Feb. 24, 2016) .....	17, 28

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.