Paper No. 60

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

WEATHERFORD INTERNATIONAL, LLC; WEATHERFORD/LAMB, INC.; WEATHERFORD US, LP; and WEATHERFORD ARTIFICIAL LIFT SYSTEMS, LLC

Petitioners

v.

PACKERS PLUS ENERGY SERVICES, INC.,

Patent Owner

Inter Partes Review No. IPR2016-01509 Patent 7,861,774

OBJECTIONS TO ORAL HEARING DEMONSTRATIVES

DOCKET A L A R M Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>. The parties met and conferred to address objections to their respective oral hearing demonstratives. PO withdrew its objections in response Petitioner's changes. No agreement was reached regarding Petitioners' following objections:

Slides 6, 52, 83 raise new arguments and cite portions of Ex. 2101 not in PO's motion for observation. Slides 49-51 raise new arguments. Slides 10, 16, 25, 30, 32, 33, 34, 35 raise new arguments, present new evidence not in the record and are incomplete. Slides 42, 43, 53-56, 58-66, 69-76, 85-87, 137, 138 include evidence not in the record and raise new arguments. Slides 67, 77-80, 88-89, 107, 120 should be excluded for the reasons in Petitioner's motion to exclude and because the slides raise new arguments. Slides 4, 5, 40, 95 and 121 raise new arguments not relied upon in the papers. Slide 9 raises new arguments, and the cited portion of Ex. 1002 (page 3) was not was not relied upon in the papers (see, e.g., Ex. 2081 at 15; POR at 45). Slides 11 and 12 raise new arguments, and cite portions of the references not relied upon in the papers. Slide 18 raises new arguments, and the cited portion of Ex. 1002 (page 7) was not relied upon in the papers (see, e.g., Ex. 2081 at 15-16; POR at 45, 59). Slides 20, 22 raise new arguments as the cited portions of Ex. 1036 (pages 65, 67) were not relied upon in the papers (see, e.g., Ex. 2081 at 15-16; POR at 45, 59). Slides 58-66, 69-76, 85-87 include evidence not in the record and raise new arguments. Slides 48, 81-83, 90-92, 104-106, 108, 116-118, 119, 122, 131, 136 raise new arguments.

1

Dated: October 31, 2017

Respectfully submitted,

Counsel for Petitioners

/Jason Shapiro/

Jason Shapiro Reg. No. 35,354

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that the foregoing OBJECTIONS TO ORAL HEARING

DEMONSTRATIVES were served October 31, 2017 via electronic mail, as

previously consented to by Patent Owner, upon the following counsel of record:

HAMAD M. HAMAD (LEAD COUNSEL) BRADLEY W. CALDWELL (BACK-UP COUNSEL) JUSTIN NEMUNAITIS (BACK-UP COUNSEL) CALDWELL CASSADY CURRY P.C.

> hhamad@caldwellcc.com bcaldwell@caldwellcc.com jnemunaitis@caldwellcc.com rapid@caldwellcc.com

DR. GREGORY J. GONSALVES (BACK-UP COUNSEL) GONSALVES LAW FIRM

gonsalves@gonsalveslawfirm.com

Dated: October 31, 2017 EDELL, SHAPIRO & FINNAN, LLC 9801 Washingtonian Blvd., Suite 750 Gaithersburg, MD 20878 Customer No. 27896

DOCKE

Respectfully submitted: /Mark J. DeBoy/ Mark J. DeBoy, Reg. No. 66,983 Telephone: 301.424.3640