

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

BAKER HUGHES INCORPORATED and
BAKER HUGHES OILFIELD OPERATIONS, INC.,
Petitioners

v.

PACKERS PLUS ENERGY SERVICES INC.,
Patent Owner

Case IPR2016-01506
Patent 7,861,774

**EXCLUSIVE LICENSEE RAPID COMPLETIONS LLC'S
MOTION TO RECONSIDER INSTITUTION DECISION**

Mail Stop "PATENT BOARD"
Patent Trial and Appeal Board
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. INTRODUCTION1

II. PRECISE RELIEF REQUESTED1

III. LEGAL STANDARDS1

IV. ARGUMENT.....2

 A. The Panel Relied on a Legally Erroneous Interpretation of
 35 U.S.C. § 314(a).....2

 B. The Panel Relied on an Erroneous Interpretation of Law in
 Evaluating Lane-Wells Under § 102(b).9

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Cases

<i>Arnold Partnership v. Dudas</i> 362 F.3d 1338 (Fed. Cir. 2004)	2
<i>Blue Coat Sys., Inc. v. Finjan, Inc.</i> IPR2017-01441, Paper 11-12 (PTAB Jan. 23, 2017).....	5
<i>Chao v. Roy’s Const., Inc.</i> 517 F.3d 180 (3d Cir. 2008)	2, 8
<i>Conopco, Inc. v. The Proctor & Gamble Co.</i> IPR2014-00628, Paper 21 (PTAB Oct. 20, 2014).....	3
<i>Donovan v. Adams Steel Erection, Inc.</i> 766 F.2d 804 (3d Cir. 1985)	2
<i>In re Lister</i> 583 F.3d 1307 (Fed. Cir. 2009)	9, 10
<i>In re Magnum Oil Tools Int’l, Ltd.</i> No. 2015-1300, 2016 WL 3974202 (Fed. Cir. July 25, 2016)	3
<i>LG Elecs. Inc. v. Core Wireless Licensing S.A.R.L.</i> IPR2016-00986, Paper No. 12 (PTAB Aug. 22, 2016).....	4, 5, 6
<i>Netapp Inc. v. Crossroads Sys., Inc.</i> IPR2015-00776, Paper 12 (PTAB Sept. 8, 2015)	8
<i>Nvidia Corp. v. Samsung Elecs. Co.</i> IPR2016-00134, Paper 9 (PTAB May 4, 2016)	4, 6
<i>Samsung Elec. Co. v. Rembrandt Wireless Techs., LP</i> IPR2015-00114, Paper No. 14 (Jan. 28, 2015)	5

<i>Toyota Motor Corp. v. American Vehicular Sciences LLC</i> IPR2015-00262, Paper 10 (PTAB Jan. 29, 2015)	3, 4
--	------

<i>US Endodontics, LLC v. Gold Standard Instruments, LLC</i> IPR2015-01476, Paper 13 (PTAB Oct. 26, 2015)	7
--	---

Statutes

35 U.S.C. § 102(b)	1, 9
35 U.S.C. § 314(a)	passim
35 U.S.C. § 315(d)	4
35 U.S.C. § 325(d)	2, 3, 4, 5
37 C.F.R. § 42.71(c)	2
37 C.F.R. § 42.71(d)	1

I. INTRODUCTION

The Panel recently issued institution decisions in three IPRs based on similar or identical reasons. IPR2016-01496, IPR2016-01505, IPR2016-01506. While Respondent intends to challenge those reasons in each proceeding as it advances, the Board's decisions also contain two legal errors that justify reconsideration. Specifically, the Panel: (1) mistakenly concluded that discretionary denial of institution under 35 U.S.C. § 314(a) requires a showing of "substantial similarity;" and (2) it applied the incorrect legal test when evaluating whether Lane-Wells qualifies as prior art under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b). Respondent requests that the Board reconsider its decision regarding those issues and deny institution.

II. PRECISE RELIEF REQUESTED

Respondent requests rehearing and reversal of the institution decisions in IPR2016-01496, IPR2016-01505, IPR2016-01506 as to all claims and grounds.¹

III. LEGAL STANDARDS

"A party dissatisfied with a decision may file a request for rehearing, without prior authorization from the Board." 37 C.F.R. § 42.71(d). "The request must specifically identify all matters the party believes the Board misapprehended or overlooked, and the place where each matter was previously addressed in a motion, an opposition, or a reply." *Id.*

¹ For ease of reading, Respondent files this identical motion in each proceeding.

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.