UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

ALERE INC.,
Petitioner

v.

REMBRANDT DIAGNOSTICS, LP
Patent Owner
U.S. Patent No. 8,623,291

Case No. IPR2016-___

DECLARATION OF ROBERT C. BOHANNON IN SUPPORT OF PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW OF U.S. PATENT NO. 8,623,291 UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 312 AND 37 C.F.R. § 42.104

ALERE EXHIBIT NO. 1003

Rembrandt Ex. 2001
Alere v. Rembrandt
Case IPR2016-01498

DOCKET ALARM
Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page

I. Introduction....5
II. Qualifications....6
III. *In Vitro* Device Design Process....9
IV. Overview of Technology....10
A. Commercial Devices for Drug Testing Were Known in the Art....14
B. Testing Multiple Analytes With Multi-Strip Devices Was Known in the Art....16
C. Test Strip Construction Was Known in the Art....19
D. Windows For Viewing Test And/Or Control Zones Were Known in the Art....28
E. Caps For Protecting Test Strips Were Known in the Art....31
V. Applicable Legal Principles....33
VI. Person of Ordinary Skill in the Art....36
VII. The '291 Patent And Its Prosecution History....37
VIII. Claim Construction....42
A. "Sample Addition Pad"....42
B. "Transparent Window"....43
C. "A First Transparent Window"....44
D. "A Second Transparent Window"....45
IX. Summary of Select Prior Art....45
A. Overview of DE (Ex. 1004)....45
B. Overview of May (Ex. 1005)....48
C. Overview of Charm (Ex. 1008)....49
D. Overview of Cipkowski (Ex. 1006)....52
E. Overview of Sun (Ex. 1007)....57
X. Grounds of Invalidity....58
A. Ground I: Claims 1, 2, and 9 Are Obvious Over DE In View of May....59

DOCKET ALARM
Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

1. Independent Claim 1 59
2. Dependent Claim 2: "the device according to claim 1 further comprising a second transparent window formed within the cover through which the test strips can be viewed." 68
3. Independent Claim 9: "A method for detecting a multiplicity of analytes which comprises removing the cap from the device of claim 1 and inserting the protruding ends of the test strips into a sample to be analyzed and observing the effect of the sample on the test and control zones of the test strips contained in the device." 72

B. Ground II: Claims 1 and 9 Are Obvious Over DE In View of Charm and May '871 73
1. Claim 1 73
2. Claim 9 77

C. Ground III: Claim 2 Is Obvious over DE In View of May, Shuler, and Eisinger 78

D. Ground IV: Claims 1 and 2 Are Obvious Over Cipkowski In View of May 81
1. Independent Claim 1 81
2. Dependent Claim 2: "the device according to claim 1 further comprising a second transparent window formed within the cover through which the test strips can be viewed." 91
3. Independent Claim 9: "A method for detecting a multiplicity of analytes which comprises removing the cap from the device of claim 1 and inserting the protruding ends of the test strips into a sample to be analyzed and observing the effect of the sample on the test and control zones of the test strips contained in the device." 93

E. Ground V: Claims 1 and 9 Are Obvious Over Cipkowski in View of Charm and May '871 94
1. Claim 1 94
2. Claim 9 97

F. Ground VI: Claim 2 Is Obvious Over Cipkowski in View of May, Shuler, and Eisinger 98

DOCKET ALARM
Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

G. Ground VII: Claims 1, 2, and 9 Are Obvious Over Sun in View of May 99
1. Independent Claim 1 99
2. Dependent Claim 2: "the device according to claim 1 further comprising a second transparent window formed within the cover through which the test strips can be viewed." 108
3. Independent Claim 9: "A method for detecting a multiplicity of analytes which comprises removing the cap from the device of claim 1 and inserting the protruding ends of the test strips into a sample to be analyzed and observing the effect of the sample on the test and control zones of the test strips contained in the device." 109
H. Ground VIII: Claims 1 and 9 Are Obvious Over Sun in View of Charm and May '871 111
1. Independent Claim 1 111
2. Independent Claim 9 113
I. Ground IX: Claim 2 Is Obvious Over Sun in View of May, Shuler, and Eisinger 113
XI. Materials Relied Upon 115
XII. Conclusion 115
XIII. Availability for Cross-Examination 115
XIV. Right to Supplement 115

DOCKET ALARM
Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

I, Robert C. Bohannon, declare as follows:

I. Introduction

1. My name is Robert C. Bohannon.

2. I have been retained by Alere Inc. ("Petitioner") as an expert to provide my opinions concerning claims 1, 2, and 9 of U.S. Patent No. 8,623,291 ("the '291 Patent").

3. As discussed below, I have many years of experience in the field of designing, developing and marketing immunoassays in various different formats and for various different types of analytes, including immunoassays used in detecting drugs of abuse in urine samples.

4. In forming my opinions, I reviewed the '291 Patent including its disclosure and claims, the prosecution history of the '291 Patent and the petition for *inter partes* review of the '291 Patent, including the exhibits ("the Petition").

5. I am being compensated at my normal consulting rate of $620 per hour. My compensation is not dependent on the outcome of this proceeding and in no way affects the substance of my statements in this Declaration.

6. I have no financial interest in Petitioner (or its subsidiaries, including Alere Toxicology Services, Inc., Amedica Biotech, Inc., Ameditech, Inc., Innovacon, Inc., Instant Technologies, Inc., Instant Tech Subsidiary Acquisition Inc. d/b/a US Diagnostics, and Branan Medical Corp.), and have no financial

DOCKET ALARM Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

DOCKET ALARM

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts

Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research

With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips

Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS
Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS
Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS
Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.