

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

ALERE INC.

Petitioner

v.

REMBRANDT DIAGNOSTICS, LP

Patent Owner

Case No. IPR2016-01498

Patent No. 8,623,291

**PETITIONER'S MOTION FOR *PRO HAC VICE* ADMISSION OF LANA S.
SHIFERMAN UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(c)**

Mail Stop PATENT BOARD
Patent Trial and Appeal Board
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Alere Inc. (“Petitioner”) respectfully requests the *pro hac vice* admission of Lana S. Shiferman in this proceeding, IPR2016-01498, concerning U.S. Patent No. 8,623,291 (“the ‘291 patent”), pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(c).

I. THE REQUEST IS TIMELY

As stated in the Patent Trial and Appeal Board’s (“Board”) August 15, 2016 Notice of Filing Date Accorded to Petition And Time For Filing Patent Owner Preliminary Response, any motion for *pro hac vice* admission must be filed in accordance with the guidance specified in Case IPR2013-00639 (Paper 7) (“PHV Admission Order”). According to that guidance, *pro hac vice* motions can be filed no sooner than (21) days after service of the Petition. This *pro hac vice* motion is filed more than 21 days after the service of the Petition and is therefore timely.

II. STATEMENT OF FACTS

As required by the PHV Admission Order, the following statement of facts show that there is good cause for the Board to recognize Ms. Shiferman *pro hac vice*. An affidavit by Ms. Shiferman containing the required statements and information is submitted herewith. (Ex. 1014).

1. Douglas J. Kline, lead counsel for Petitioner Alere Inc. in this proceedings, is a registered practitioner holding Registration No. 35,574.
2. Ms. Shiferman is a partner with Goodwin Procter LLP.

3. Ms. Shiferman has an established familiarity with the subject matter at issue in this proceeding. Ms. Shiferman has represented and continues to represent petitioner Alere Inc. and its subsidiaries Alere Toxicology Services, Inc., America Biotech, Inc., Ameditech, Inc., Innovacon, Inc., Instant Technologies, Inc., Instant Tech Subsidiary Acquisition Inc. d/b/a US Diagnostics, and Branan Medical Corp. in co-pending litigation in the Southern District of California, captioned *Rembrandt Diagnostics, LP v. Alere Inc., et al.*, No. 3:16-cv-698-CAB-NLS (“the district court litigation”) since shortly after it was filed on March 23, 2016. Among other things, the district court litigation asserts infringement of the same patent at issue here, U.S. Patent No. 8,623,291.

5. Ms. Shiferman represents that she has reviewed and analyzed the patent at issue in this proceeding, the Petition and accompanying exhibits filed in this proceeding, and all other papers associated with this proceeding. Moreover, she represents that in the district court litigation, she developed invalidity, non-infringement, and claim construction positions concerning the patent at issue here. She therefore has extensive knowledge of this patent and of the cited prior art.

6. Ms. Shiferman is an experienced litigation attorney. Ms. Shiferman has been litigating patent cases for over fifteen years. Ms. Shiferman has been practicing law since 1999 and has extensive experience litigating patent infringement cases in many different courts across the United States.

7. Ms. Shiferman's experience in patent litigation matters includes patent jury trials, presentation of non-expert and expert-testimony, arguing *Markman* hearings, patent summary judgment proceedings, and other patent-related hearings and preparation of pleadings concerning, inter alia, patent validity and infringement issues. Ms. Shiferman has also represented clients in appeals before the Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit.

8. Ms. Shiferman represented petitioner Alere Inc. and/or its affiliates in many patent litigation matters since 2003, including:

Name	Number	District	Filed
<i>Alere Inc., et al. v. Church & Dwight Co., Inc.</i>	1-10-cv-10027	MAD	January 8, 2010
<i>Inverness Medical Innovations, Inc. et al. v. Orasure Technologies, Inc.</i>	3-08-cv-01856	NJD	April 15, 2008
<i>Abbott Laboratories et al v. Church & Dwight, Inc.</i>	1-07-cv-03428	ILND	June 18, 2007
<i>Inverness Medical, et al v. Quidel Corporation</i>	3-04-cv-00489	CASD	March 9, 2004
<i>Quidel Corporation v. Inverness Medical, et al.</i>	3-04-cv-00378	CASD	February 20, 2004
<i>Inverness Medical Switzerland GmbH et al v. Acon Laboratories, Inc.</i>	1-03-cv-11323	MAD	July 15, 2003
<i>Inverness Medical, et al v. Acon Laboratories, Inc.</i>	1-02-cv-12303	MAD	November 26, 2002
<i>Inverness Medical SW, et al. v. Pfizer Inc., et al.</i>	2-02-cv-01029	NJD	March 7, 2002
<i>Inverness Medical, et al v. Pfizer, Inc., et al.</i>	2-01-cv-05516	NJD	November 29, 2001

9. Ms. Shiferman is a member in good standing of the Bar of the State of Massachusetts and admitted to practice before the U.S. District Court for the District of Columbia, the U.S. District Court for the District of Massachusetts, the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Texas, U.S. District Court for the District of Connecticut, the U.S. District Court for the District of New Jersey, U.S. District Court for the Northern District of Illinois, the U.S. Court of Appeals for the First, Second and Federal Circuits, and the International Trade Commission.

10. Ms. Shiferman has never been suspended or disbarred from practice before any court or administrative body.

11. No application of Ms. Shiferman for admission to practice before any court or administrative body has ever been denied.

12. No sanctions or contempt citations have ever been imposed against Ms. Shiferman by any court or administrative body.

13. Ms. Shiferman represents that she has read and will comply with the Office Patent Trial Practice Guide and the Board's Rules of Practice for Trials set forth in part 42 of 37 C.F.R.

14. Ms. Shiferman represents that she understands that she will be subject to the U.S.P.T.O. Code of Professional Conduct set forth in 37 C.P.R. §§ 10.101 et seq. and disciplinary jurisdiction under 37 C.P.R. §§ 11.19(a).

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.