

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

AMERICAN HONDA MOTOR CO, INC.

Petitioner

v.

BLITZSAFE TEXAS, LLC

Patent Owner

Patent No. 8,155,342

Issue Date: April 10, 2012

Title: MULTIMEDIA DEVICE INTEGRATION SYSTEM

---

**PATENT OWNER'S PRELIMINARY RESPONSE**

Case No. IPR2016-01473

---

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

I. INTRODUCTION ..... 1

II. SUMMARY OF ARGUMENTS ..... 1

III. THE PETITION SHOULD BE DENIED BECAUSE PETITIONER HAS NOT DEMONSTRATED A REASONABLE LIKELIHOOD OF SUCCESS FOR GROUNDS 1-7 ..... 4

    A. Requirements for Showing Obviousness Under 35 U.S.C. § 103. .... 4

    B. Grounds 1-3 Should Not Be Instituted Because the Challenged Claims Are Not Obvious Over Marlowe, Plagge, Riggs, and/or Bhogal..... 7

        1. Petitioner Does Not Demonstrate that Marlowe Discloses an “Integration Subsystem” ..... 7

        2. The Combination of Marlowe and Plagge Does Not Disclose the Claimed Integration Subsystem ..... 9

        3. Under Petitioner’s Interpretation, the Marlowe Reference Supports the Claims in Ground 1 and is Not Prior Art..... 11

    C. Grounds 2 and 3 Should Be Denied..... 13

    D. Ground 7 Should Not Be Instituted Because the Challenged Claims Are Not Obvious Over Simon in View of Bhogal..... 15

    E. Grounds 1-3 and 5-7 Should Not Be Instituted Because Petitioner Fails To Establish Fundamental Requirements for Proving Obviousness..... 17

    F. Ground 4 Should Not Be Instituted Because the Challenged Claims Are Not Anticipated By Silvester ..... 23

    G. Grounds 5-6 Should Not Be Instituted Because Simon and Kandler Are Not Offered To Disclose, Nor Do They Disclose, “Audio Generated By the Portable Device,” As Required By The Challenged Claims ..... 25

IV. CONCLUSION.....27

**TABLE OF AUTHORITIES**

|   | <b>Page(s)</b> |
|---|----------------|
| <b>Cases</b>  |                |
| <i>Apple, Inc. v. Contentguard Holdings, Inc.</i> ,<br>IPR2015-00355 (PTAB, June 26, 2015) .....        | 5              |
| <i>C.B. Distributors, Inc. v. Fontem Holdings I B.V.</i> ,<br>IPR2013-00387 (PTAB, Dec. 24, 2014) ..... | 6              |
| <i>Cisco Sys., Inc., v. C-Cation Techs., LLC</i> ,<br>IPR2014-00454 (PTAB, Aug. 29, 2014) .....         | 6, 24          |
| <i>Graham v. John Deere Co.</i> ,<br>383 U.S. 1 (1966).....   | 3, 5, 6, 17    |
| <i>Indus. v. Zipshade Indus.</i> ,<br>IPR2015-00488 (PTAB, July 24, 2015) .....                         | 5              |
| <i>In re Kahn</i> ,<br>441 F.3d 977 (Fed. Cir. 2006) .....  | 5              |
| <i>KSR Int’l Co. v. Teleflex Inc.</i> ,<br>550 U.S. 398 (2007).....                                     | 5, 6, 17, 22   |
| <i>Plant Science, Inc. v. The Andersons, Inc.</i> ,<br>IPR2014-00939 (PTAB, Dec. 17, 2014) .....        | 6              |
| <i>Richardson v. Suzuki Motor Co.</i> ,<br>868 F.2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1989) .....                         | 23             |
| <i>Unified Patents Inc., v. Blitzsafe Texas, LLC</i> ,<br>IPR2016-00118 (PTAB, April 27, 2016) .....    | 8, 25          |
| <i>Verdegaal Bros. v. Union Oil Co. of California</i> ,<br>814 F.2d 628 (Fed. Cir. 1987) .....          | 23             |
| <b>Statutes</b>   |                |
| 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) .....  | 23             |
| 35 U.S.C. § 103 .....   | 4              |

35 U.S.C. § 314(a) .....4

**Other Authorities**

37 C.F.R. § 42.6(a)(3).....4, 6, 18

37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)(4).....3, 22

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.