

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

American Honda Motor Co., Inc.
Petitioner

v.

Blitzsafe Texas, LLC
Patent Owner

Patent No. 8,155,342
Filing Date: June 27, 2006
Issue Date: April 10, 2012
Title: MULTIMEDIA DEVICE INTEGRATION SYSTEM

Inter Partes Review No. IPR2016-01473

PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
I. Introduction.....	1
II. Grounds for Standing Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(a)	2
III. The ‘342 Patent.....	2
A. Prosecution History of the ‘342 Patent	2
B. Priority Date of the ‘342 Patent.....	3
IV. Identification of Challenge Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)	5
A. 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)(1): Claims For Which Inter Partes Review Is Requested	5
B. 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)(2): The Prior Art and Specific Grounds On Which The Challenge to the Claims Is Based.....	5
C. 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)(3): Claim Construction	6
D. 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)(4): How the Construed Claims are Unpatentable.....	8
E. 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)(5): Supporting Evidence	8
V. There Is a Reasonable Likelihood That at Least One Claim of the ‘342 Patent Is Unpatentable	9
A. Ground 1: Claims 49, 53, 54, 56, 62, 66, 70, 73, 77, and 78 are unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) by Marlowe in view of Plagge	9
1. Claims 49 and 73.....	11
2. Claims 53, 54, 77, and 78	18
3. Claim 56.....	19
4. Claim 62.....	20
5. Claims 66 and 70.....	20
B. Ground 2: Claim 57 is unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) by Marlowe in view of Plagge and in further view of Riggs.....	22
C. Ground 3: Claims 49, 53, 54, 56, 62, 66, 70, 73, 77, and 78 are unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) by Marlowe in view of Plagge and in further view Bhogal.....	23

TABLE OF CONTENTS
(continued)

	Page
D. Ground 4: Claims 49, 53, 62, 66, 70, 73, and 77 are unpatentable under U.S.C. § 102(b) by Silvester.....	26
1. Claims 49 and 73.....	27
2. Claims 53 and 77.....	35
3. Claim 62.....	37
4. Claims 66 and 70.....	37
E. Ground 5: Claims 54, 56, and 78 are unpatentable under U.S.C. § 103(a) by Silvester (Ex. 1012) in view of Simon (Ex. 1013)	38
1. Claim 56.....	39
2. Claims 54 and 78.....	40
F. Ground 6: Claim 57 is unpatentable under U.S.C. § 103(a) by Silvester in view of Kandler.....	42
G. Ground 7: Claims 49, 53, 54, 56, 62, 66, 70, 73, 77, and 78 are unpatentable under U.S.C. § 103(a) by Simon in view of Bhogal.....	43
1. Claims 49 and 73.....	43
2. Claims 53, 54, 77, and 78	49
3. Claim 56.....	51
4. Claim 62.....	51
5. Claims 66 and 70.....	51
VI. Mandatory Notices Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(a)(1)	52
A. C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(a): Real Parties-In-Interest.....	52
B. C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(2): Related Matters	53
C. C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(3) and (4): Lead and Back-up Counsel and Service Information.....	53
VII. Conclusion.....	54

LIST OF EXHIBITS

- Exhibit 1001: U.S. Patent No. 8,155,342 (“the ‘342 patent”)
- Exhibit 1002: File History of the ‘342 patent (“‘342 file history”) (part 1 of 2)
- Exhibit 1003: File History of the ‘342 patent (“‘342 file history”) (part 2 of 2)
- Exhibit 1004: File History for U.S. Application Serial No. 11/071,667 (“the ‘667 application”) (part 1 of 2)
- Exhibit 1005: File History for U.S. Application Serial No. 11/071,667 (“the ‘667 application”) (part 2 of 2)
- Exhibit 1006: U.S. Patent No. 7,489,786 (“the ‘786 patent”)
- Exhibit 1007: Declaration of James T. Geier (“Geier Decl.”)
- Exhibit 1008: Plaintiff’s Disclosure of Asserted Claims and Infringement Contentions
- Exhibit 1009: U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2003/0215102 (“Marlowe”)
- Exhibit 1010: German Patent Application Publication No. 10101802 A1 (“Plagge”)
- Exhibit 1011: German Patent Application Publication No. 10101802 A1 – Translated (“Plagge”)
- Exhibit 1012: U.S. Patent Application Publication No. US 2003/007649 A1 (“Riggs”)
- Exhibit 1013: U.S. Patent No. 6,629,197 (“Bhogal”)
- Exhibit 1014: U.S. Patent Application Publication No. US 2003/0171834 A1 (“Silvester”)
- Exhibit 1015: U.S. Patent Application Publication No. US 2005/0281414 A1 (“Simon”)
- Exhibit 1016: Canadian Patent Application Publication No. CA 2347648 (“Kandler”)

Exhibit 1017: Excerpt from JENNIFER BRAY & CHARLES F. STURMAN, BLUETOOTH 1.1 CONNECT WITHOUT CABLES, 2nd ed. 2002

Exhibit 1018: File History for U.S. Application Serial No. 10/732,909 (“the ‘909 application”) (part 1 of 3)

Exhibit 1019: File History for U.S. Application Serial No. 10/732,909 (“the ‘909 application”) (part 2 of 3)

Exhibit 1020: File History for U.S. Application Serial No. 10/732,909 (“the ‘909 application”) (part 3 of 3)

Exhibit 1021: File History for U.S. Application Serial No. 10/316,961 (“the ‘961 application”) (part 1 of 3)

Exhibit 1022: File History for U.S. Application Serial No. 10/316,961 (“the ‘961 application”) (part 2 of 3)

Exhibit 1023: File History for U.S. Application Serial No. 10/316,961 (“the ‘961 application”) (part 3 of 3)

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.