```
UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
 1
 2
           BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD
 3
 4
     CISCO SYSTEMS, INC.,
 5
                   Petitioner,
                                  ) Case No.
 6
 7
                                  ) IPR2016-01466
 8
               vs.
 9
                                  ) U.S. Patent No.
     TO DELTA, LLC,
                                  ) 8,611,404 B2
10
11
12
                Patent Owner.
                                  )
13
                The deposition of DOUGLAS A. CHRISSAN,
14
15
     Ph.D., called as a witness for examination, taken
16
     before VICTORIA C. CHRISTIANSEN, a Certified
17
     Shorthand Reporter of the State of Illinois, CSR
     No. 84-3192, at Suite 3500, 500 West Madison
18
     Street, Chicago, Illinois, on the 14th day of July,
19
20
     A.D. 2017, at 9:13 a.m.
21
2.2
23
24
```





```
1
     PRESENT:
 2
           HAYNES AND BOONE, LLP,
 3
           (2323 Victory Avenue, Suite 700,
           Dallas, Texas 75219,
           214-651-5533), by:
 4
           MR. JOHN RUSSELL EMERSON,
 5
           russ.emerson@haynesboone.com,
 6
                     -and-
           HAYNES AND BOONE, LLP,
 7
           (2505 North Plano Road, Suite 4000,
           Richardson, Texas 75082-4101,
 8
           972-739-8649), by:
           MR. MICHAEL S. PARSONS,
 9
           michael.parsons@haynesboone.com, and
           MR. THEODORE M. FOSTER,
10
           theo.foster@haynesboone.com,
11
                appeared on behalf of the Petitioner;
12
13
           McANDREWS, HELD & MALLOY, LTD.,
           (500 West Madison Street, 34th Floor,
           Chicago, Illinois 60661,
14
           312-775-8000), by:
           MR. CHRISTOPHER M. SCHARFF,
15
           cscharff@mcandrews-ip.com, and
16
           MR. RAJENDRA A. CHIPLUNKAR,
           rchiplunkar@mcandrews-ip.com,
17
                appeared on behalf of the Patent Owner.
18
19
     ALSO PRESENT:
2.0
           SAYFE KIAEI, Ph.D.
21
              Arizona State University.
22
23
     REPORTED BY: VICTORIA C. CHRISTIANSEN, RPR, CRR,
24
                    Illinois CSR No. 84-3192.
```





1	(WHEREUPON, the witness was duly
2	sworn.)
3	DOUGLAS A. CHRISSAN, Ph.D.,
4	called as a witness herein, having been first duly
5	sworn, was examined and testified as follows:
6	EXAMINATION
7	BY MR. EMERSON:
8	Q. Good morning, Dr. Chrissan.
9	A. Good morning.
LO	Q. So I'm going just go ahead and hand you
L1	four exhibits that are have been previously
L2	marked. They are your declarations in the 1760 and
L3	1466 IPRs plus the patents in those IPRs, the '268
L4	and '404 patents, okay?
L5	I'll hand those to you. We'll be
L6	talking about those throughout the day.
L7	MR. EMERSON: Here you go, Chris.
L8	BY MR. EMERSON:
L9	Q. Before we get started on those
20	particular documents, any reason why you can't
21	testify truthfully and accurately today?
22	A. None that I know.
23	Q. Okay. When were you retained in this
24	case for these IPRs?





For these particular IPRs, I believe it 1 Α. was towards the beginning -- around the beginning 2 of this year. 3 It was in 2017? Q. Α. Well, I have to ask a clarification 5 What exactly do you mean by I was 6 question. 7 retained? I mean, I was told that I was going to be working on these particular IPRs? 8 9 Sure. When someone came to you and said, "Can you serve as our expert witness in these 10 IPRs?" 11 12 Α. For these particular IPRs? Yes, sir. 13 Ο. Because I believe, as you know, I've 14 been involved in other IPRs. One other on the '268 15 and two other on the '404. 16 So I don't remember the exact date for 17 these particular IPRs, but as I said, I believe it 18 was in 2017, earlier this year. 19 20 Ο. Okay. And did you begin working on 21 those immediately after being retained? Α. I don't remember the exact dates that I 2.2 was told, "You are --" I am going to be working on 23

these IPRs. As I mentioned, I believe that around



800.211.DEPO (3376) EsquireSolutions.com



24

1	that date I at least scanned some of the initial	
2	materials, but beyond that, the specific the	
3	specific progression of the work I don't remember	
4	exactly other than the fact that it certainly	
5	increased significantly near the due date of the	
6	declaration.	
7	Q. Okay. Would you turn to your	
8	declaration in the '404 IPR, which is IPR	
9	2016-04166?	
10	A. So you said Page?	
11	Q. Would you just get that that	
12	declaration out? Do you have it?	
13	A. Uh-huh.	
14	Q. All right. And let's go to Page 47.	
15	A. Okay.	
16	Q. And specifically I'm looking at	
17	Paragraph 87.	
18	Do you see that?	
19	A. Yes.	
20	Q. All right. And there you give us a	
21	construction of the term "at least one parameter	
22	associated with the full power mode operation."	
23	Do you see that?	
24	A. Yes.	





DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

