

# DSL Forum

## Technical Report

### TR-023

## Overview of ADSL Testing

**Source:**

**ADSLF Testing & Interoperability Working Group**

**May 26, 1999**

**Abstract:**

This document provides an overview of static interoperability, dynamic interoperability, and conformance testing of ADSL equipment.

**Notice:**

This Working Text represents work in progress by the DSL Forum and must not be construed as an official DSL Forum Technical Report. Nothing in this document is binding on the DSL Forum or any of its members. The document is offered as a basis for discussion and communication, both within and without the DSL Forum.

'2000 Digital Subscriber Line Forum. All Rights Reserved.

DSL Forum technical reports may be copied, downloaded, stored on a server or otherwise re-distributed in their entirety only.

Notwithstanding anything to the contrary, the DSL Forum makes no representation or warranty, expressed or implied, concerning this publication, its contents or the completeness, accuracy, or applicability of any information contained in this publication. No liability of any kind shall be assumed by the DSL Forum as a result of reliance upon any information contained in this publication. The DSL Forum does not assume any responsibility to update or correct any information in this publication.

The receipt or any use of this document or its contents does not in any way create by implication or otherwise any express or implied license or right to or under any patent, copyright, trademark or trade secret rights which are or may be associated with the ideas, techniques, concepts or expressions contained herein.

---

**PROJECT:** ADSL Forum Testing & Interoperability Working Group

---

**SOURCE:** ADSL Forum Testing & Interoperability Working Group

---

**TITLE:** Working Text 27 - Overview of ADSL Testing

---

**DATE:** May 26, 1999

---

**DISTRIBUTION:** ADSL Forum Testing & Interoperability Working Group members.

---

### Revision History

Date (M/D/Y)	Version	Major Changes.
6/19/98	1	First draft
9/18/98	2	First straw ballot
11/28/98	3	Second straw ballot
3/16/99	4	Incorporate comments from second straw ballot and editing during the March 4/5, 1999 meetings, editing session, and suggestions from members.
5/26/99	5	Incorporate comments from third straw ballot and editing during the May 26-28, 1999 meetings, and editing session.

---

**CONTENTS**

- 1 Introduction..... 1
  - 1.1 Purpose and Scope..... 1
  - 1.2 References..... 1
  - 1.3 Terminology..... 1
    - 1.3.1 Basic Terms ..... 1
    - 1.3.2 Terminology for Conformance Testing..... 2
    - 1.3.3 Terminology for Static Interoperability Testing ..... 3
    - 1.3.4 Terminology for Dynamic Interoperability Testing ..... 3
  - 1.4 List of Acronyms ..... 3
- 2 Overview of Testing..... 4
- 3 Test Conditions..... 5
  - 3.1 Electrical Specifications..... 5
  - 3.2 Basic Features and Capabilities..... 5
  - 3.3 Test Support and Management Requirements..... 5
- 4 Conformance Testing ..... 5
  - 4.1 Levels of Conformance Testing ..... 6
- 5 Interoperability Testing..... 6
  - 5.1 Static Interoperability Testing..... 6
  - 5.2 Dynamic Interoperability Testing..... 7
    - 5.2.1 Performance Metrics for Dynamic Interoperability Testing..... 7
    - 5.2.2 Performance Test Conditions for Dynamic Interoperability Testing..... 8
    - 5.2.3 End-to-End Performance Parameters for Dynamic Interoperability Testing 8
  - 5.3 Levels of Interoperability Testing..... 8
- 6 Summary ..... 9

## 1 Introduction

The goal of the ADSL Forum Testing & Interoperability Working Group is to assist in generating interoperability test standards that ensure interoperability among various implementations. The success of ADSL deployment depends on a fully standardized technology and complete cross-vendor interoperability. Current ADSL standards focus on system level specifications, and do not fully address individual product specifications, the basis for cross-product interoperability.

This document is designed to be a living document that changes to adapt to the current technology and the market. The objective is to create a skeleton of test requirements and configurations for all major phases of tests, reflecting ADSL operation. It is envisioned that release of this document will foster additional discussion regarding when testing should occur and test methods that are both adequate and cost effective.

In the following sections, the various test requirements, as well as rationale for these tests are highlighted. Future work will address test configurations and suites for ADSL in controlled environments. Field-testing may be a subset of the requirements in the laboratory.

### 1.1 Purpose and Scope

This document provides an overview of the different areas of testing. The areas of testing include conformance testing, static interoperability testing, and dynamic interoperability testing. This is a generic introduction document that establishes a skeleton for the various testing needs.

### 1.2 References

1. ETR-212, Methods for Testing and Specification (MTS), Implementation Conformance Statement (ICS) Proforma Style Guide, ETSI, December 1995.
2. ADSL Forum TR-002, ATM over ADSL Recommendations.
3. ADSL Forum TR-003, Framing and Encapsulation Standards for ADSL: Packet Mode.
4. ATM Forum Test Specifications, af-test-0022.000, December 1994.

### 1.3 Terminology

The following definitions are used in this document:

#### 1.3.1 Basic Terms

**Abstract Test Case:** A complete and independent specification of the action required to achieve a specific test purpose (or a specific combination of test purposes),

defined at the level of abstraction of a particular Abstract Test Method, starting at a stable testing state and ending in a stable testing state.

**Abstract Test Method:** The description of how a Unit Under Test (UUT) is to be tested, given an appropriate level of abstraction to make the description independent of any particular realization of a Means of Testing, but with enough detail to enable tests to be specified for this test method.

**Abstract Test Suite:** A complete set of abstract test cases, possibly combined into nested test groups, that is necessary to perform conformance testing or IOP testing.

**ADSL System:** An ATU-C and an ATU-R.

**Conformance Testing:** Testing the extent to which a unit-under test (UUT) conforms to a specification.

**End-to-End Test:** Tests the UUT in the context of a network and its architecture.

**Executable Test Case:** A realization of an abstract test case.

**Executable Test Suite:** A complete set of executable test cases, possibly combined into nested test groups, that is necessary to perform conformance testing or IOP testing.

**Implementation Conformance Statement (ICS):** A statement made by the supplier of the system implementation or system claimed to conform to a given specification, stating the capabilities and options implemented, and those that have been omitted. For the purposes of this document, the three types of ICS are ADSL ICS, ADSL Electrical ICS, and ADSL Protocol ICS.

**Interoperability (IOP) Testing:** Testing the degree of compatibility between an ATU-C and an ATU-R based on the features that both have implemented.

**Means of testing:** The combination of equipment and procedures that can perform the derivations, selection, parameterization and execution of test cases, in conformance with a reference standardized Abstract Test Suite, and can produce a conformance log.

**Test Case:** Either an abstract or an executable test case.

**Test Group:** A named set of related test cases.

**Test Suite:** Either an abstract or executable test suite.

**Unit Under Test (UUT):** The part of the system that is to be tested.

### 1.3.2 Terminology for Conformance Testing

**Conforming Implementation:** An implementation that satisfies both the static and dynamic conformance requirements in accordance with the specifications stated in the ADSL ICS.

**Static Conformance Requirement:** A requirement that specifies the values with associated limits of the implemented capabilities permitted in a system that is claimed against the specifications.

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.