Filed on behalf of TQ Delta, LLC

By: Peter J. McAndrews

Thomas J. Wimbiscus

Scott P. McBride

Christopher M. Scharff

McAndrews, Held & Malloy, Ltd.

500 W. Madison St., 34th Floor

Chicago, IL 60661

Tel: 312-775-8000 Fax: 312-775-8100

E-mail: pmcandrews@mcandrews-ip.com

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

DISH NETWORK L.L.C., Petitioner,

v.

TQ DELTA, LLC, Patent Owner.

Case IPR2016-01470 Patent No. 8,611,404

PATENT OWNER'S RESPONSE



TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INT	TRODUCTION1			
II.	OVERVIEW OF U.S. PATENT No. 8,611,4044				
	A.	Background of the Technology	4		
	B.	The '404 Patent	7		
III.	Overview of the cited references9				
	A.	Bowie	9		
	B.	Vanzieleghem	14		
	C.	The 1995 ADSL Standard	15		
IV.	LEV	VEL OF ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART	18		
V.	CLAIM CONSTRUCTION				
	A.	"Low Power Mode," "Fine Gain Parameter," "Transceiver"	19		
	B.	"Synchronization Signal"	19		
	C.	"Parameter Associated with the Full Power Mode Operation"	23		
VI.	PETITIONER HAS FAILED TO SHOW THAT THE CLAIMS OF THE '404 PATENT ARE UNPATENTABLE				
	A.	Petitioner Has Failed To Establish That Bowie Discloses Storing In A Low Power Mode A "Parameter Associated With the Full Power Mode Operation"	25		
	B.	Petitioner Has Failed To Establish That "Storing, In the Low Power Mode At Least One of a Fine Gain Parameter and a Bit Allocation Parameter" Would Have Been Obvious Over Bowie, Vanzieleghem, and the 1995 ADSL Standard	31		



Patent Owner's Response IPR2016-01470

		1.	Petitioner Provides No Non-Conclusory or Non-Hindsight Reasons to Modify Bowie to Store Parameters That the 1995 ADSL Standard Only Discloses Exchanging	32
		2.	Bowie's Teachings Undermine Petitioner's Reasons for Modifying It to Store Bit Allocation or Fine Gain Parameters in a Low Power Mode	35
	C.	"[Exi [Rest	oner Has Failed to Establish That Bowie Discloses t/Exiting] From the Low Power Mode and tore/Restoring] the Full Power Mode Without Needing initialize the Transceiver"	38
		1.	Bowie Discloses That Its Transceiver Does Undergo Reinitialization	38
		2.	Bowie Would Have Led A POSITA Away From the Inventions of the '404 Patent	40
	D.	Obvi	oner Has Failed to Establish That It Would Have Been ous to Modify Bowie to Receive a "Synchronization al" in the Low Power Mode	42
		1.	Bowie Taught Away From the Proposed Modification Because It Would Greatly Reduce Bowie's Power Savings	43
		2.	The Proposed Modification Would Render Bowie's Low Power Mode Inoperable	46
VII.	CON	NCLUS	ION	49



TABLE OF EXHIBITS

Exhibit 2003	Declaration of Douglas A. Chrissan, Ph.D. for Inter Partes Review No. IPR2016-01470
Exhibit 2004	May 3, 2017 Deposition Transcript of Leo Hoarty (IPR2016-01470)
Exhibit 2005	IEEE 100 The Authoritative Dictionary of IEEE Standards Terms, Seventh Edition
Exhibit 2006	Curriculum Vitae of Douglas A. Chrissan, Ph.D.
Exhibit 2007	Merriam-Webster's Collegiate Dictionary, 10th Ed. (2003) at 70
Exhibit 2008	Dictionary Of Networking, Third Edition (1999) at 360



I. INTRODUCTION

The Board instituted *inter partes* review of four claims (6, 11, 16, and 20) of U.S. Pat. No. 8,611,404 ("the '404 patent") based on a single Ground—alleged obviousness in view of a combination of U.S. Patent No. 5,956,323 ("Bowie"), U.S. Patent No. 6,247,725 ("Vanzieleghem"), and the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) T1.413-1995 Standard, entitled "Network and Customer Installation Interfaces—Asymmetric Digital Subscriber Line (ADSL) Metallic Interface" (the "1995 ADSL Standard").

For purposes of institution, however, the Board accepted as true several unsupported factual statements by Petitioner and its expert that are incorrect and contradicted by the asserted references themselves. The Board did not have the benefit of a complete record with respect to how a person of ordinary skill in the art ("POSITA") would have understood the teachings of the cited references. Therefore, Patent Owner provides additional details and technical explanations from Dr. Douglas Chrissan, an expert in DSL technologies, along with further legal support, that show that a POSITA would not have found the claims of the '404 patent obvious in view of Bowie, Vanzieleghem, and the 1995 ADSL Standard.

First, Petitioner has not established that any of the references teaches or suggests the limitation of storing, in the low power mode, at least one "parameter associated with full power mode operation," as required by each of the instituted



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

