UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD
DISH Network L.L.C., Petitioner
v.
TQ Delta LLC, Patent Owner

U.S. Patent No. 9,094,268 Filing Date: June 4, 2014 Issue Date: July 28, 2015

Title: Multicarrier Transmission System with Low Power Sleep Mode and Rapid-On Capability

## DECLARATION OF W. LEO HOARTY IN SUPPORT OF PETITION FOR INTER PARTES REVIEW OF U.S. PATENT NO. 9,094,268

Inter Partes Review No. \_\_\_\_\_



## Declaration of W. Leo Hoarty Petition for *Inter Partes* Review of Patent No. 9,094,268

I.	INTRODUCTION AND QUALIFICATIONS				
	A.	Engagement Overview			
	B.	Summary of Opinions			
	C.	Qualifications and Experience			
		2. Career	6		
		3. Publications	12		
		4. Curriculum Vitae	13		
	D.	Materials Considered	13		
II.	LEGAL PRINCIPLES USED IN THE ANALYSIS				
	A.	Person Having Ordinary Skill in the Art ("POSITA")			
	B.	Prior Art			
	C.	Broadest Reasonable Interpretations			
	D.	Legal Standards for Anticipation & Obviousness			
III.	TECHNOLOGY TUTORIAL				
	A.	Introduction: From Dial-up to Broadband			
	B.	Challenges of High-Speed Data over the POTS Network			
	C.	Overview of ADSL-High-Speed Data over Copper Twisted- Pair Cables			
	D.	Technology Specifics of ADSL	36		
	E.	Initialization and Synchronization of an ADSL Link			
	F.	Some Detail for Understanding Initialization and Synchronization	45		
	G.	Crosstalk in ADSL			
	H.	Bit Loading, Bit Allocation Tables and Bit Swapping			
	I.	Bit Allocation and Power Cut Back			
	J.	Power Management and Sleep Mode			
	K.	Summary			
IV.	THE '268 PATENT				
	A.	Overview of the '268 Patent			
		. 1			

### Declaration of W. Leo Hoarty Petition for *Inter Partes* Review of Patent No. 9,094,268

	B.	The C	Claims of the '268 Patent	64	
	C.	Interpretation of Claim Limitations in the '268 Patent			
	D.	The Priority Claim of the '268 Patent			
V.	OVERVIEW OF THE PRIOR ART				
	A.	Overview of Bowie			
	B.	Overview of Morelli			
	C.	Overview of the 1995 ADSL Standard			
	D.	Bowie, Morelli and the 1995 ADSL Standard Are Analogous Art			
VI.	GROUND 1 – CLAIMS 14 AND 16 ARE ANTICIPATED BY BOWIE UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 102(E)				
	A.	Indep	pendent claim 14	73	
		1.	Preamble 14[a]	73	
		2.	Claim element 14[b]	74	
		3.	Claim element 14[d]	79	
	B.	Indep	pendent claim 16	84	
VII.	GROUND 2 – CLAIMS 1, 2, 11 AND 12 ARE OBVIOUS OVER BOWIE, MORELLI AND THE 1995 ADSL STANDARD UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 103(A)				
	A.	Indep	pendent claim 1	85	
		1.	Claim element 1[c]	85	
		2.	Claim element 1[d]	93	
	B.	Dependent claim 2			
	C. Indepe		pendent claim 11	105	
		1.	Claim element 11[b]	105	
VIII.			NDARY CONSIDERATIONS OF NON-OBVIOUSNESS	106	
IX.					



Declaration of W. Leo Hoarty Petition for *Inter Partes* Review of Patent No. 9,094,268

- 1. I, W. Leo Hoarty, declare as follows:
- 2. I have personal knowledge of the facts stated in this declaration, and could and would testify to these facts under oath if called upon to do so.

### I. INTRODUCTION AND QUALIFICATIONS

### A. Engagement Overview

- 3. I have been retained by counsel for DISH Network L.L.C. ("Petitioner" or "DISH") in this case as an expert in the relevant art. I am being compensated for my work at the rate of \$545 per hour. No part of my compensation is contingent upon the outcome of this petition.
- 4. I was asked to study U.S. Patent No. 9,094,268 ("the '268 patent"), its prosecution history, and the prior art and to render opinions on the obviousness or non-obviousness of certain ones of the claims of the '268 patent in light of the teachings of the prior art, as understood by a person of ordinary skill in the art in the 1998 time frame. I understand that the claims being challenged in the Petition are claims 1, 2, 4, 11, 12, 14, 16 and 18 ("the challenged claims").

### **B.** Summary of Opinions

5. After studying the '268 patent, relevant excerpts of its prosecution history, and the prior art, and considering the subject matter of the claims of the '268 patent in light of the state of technical advancement in the area of power conservation in multicarrier communication systems in the 1998 time frame, I



reached the conclusions discussed herein.

6. In light of these general conclusions, and as explained in more detail throughout this declaration, it is therefore my opinion that each of the challenged claims of the '268 patent addressed in this declaration are invalid as they were anticipated and/or obvious in the 1998 time frame in light of the knowledge of skill in the art at that time and the teachings, suggestions, and motivations present in the prior art. This declaration, and the conclusions and opinions herein, provide support for the Petition for *Inter Partes* Review of the '268 patent filed by Petitioner. I have reviewed the Petition in its entirety as well as its corresponding exhibits.

### C. Qualifications and Experience

7. I possess the knowledge, skills, experience, and training to form an expert opinion and testimony in this matter. I have over 40 years of experience in the field of computer systems and networking, including distributed software systems and streaming media technology. I also have deep experience in cable television systems and consumer electronics, including the hardware and software design of media set-top and mobile devices. I have practiced and researched in the field of Digital Signal Processing and Computer Science for approximately 35 years.



# DOCKET

## Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

### **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

### API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

#### **LAW FIRMS**

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

#### **FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS**

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

### **E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS**

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

