

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

DISH Network L.L.C.
Petitioner

v.

TQ Delta LLC,
Patent Owner

U.S. Patent No. 9,094,268
Filing Date: June 4, 2014
Issue Date: July 28, 2015

Title: Multicarrier Transmission System with Low Power Sleep Mode and Rapid-On
Capability

**PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW
OF U.S. PATENT NO. 9,094,268**

Inter Partes Review No. 2016-__

Table of Contents

| | Page |
|---|-------------|
| I. INTRODUCTION | 1 |
| II. MANDATORY NOTICES UNDER 37 C.F.R..... | 1 |
| A. Real Party-In-Interest under 37 C.F.R | 1 |
| B. Related Matters under 37 C.F.R..... | 1 |
| C. Lead and Back-Up Counsel under 37 C.F.R..... | 2 |
| D. Service Information..... | 3 |
| E. Power of Attorney | 3 |
| III. PAYMENT OF FEES - 37 C.F.R | 3 |
| IV. REQUIREMENTS FOR INTER PARTES REVIEW UNDER 37 C.F.R..... | 3 |
| A. Grounds for Standing under 37 C.F.R | 3 |
| B. Identification of Challenge Under 37 C.F.R | 4 |
| C. Requirements for Inter Partes Review 37 C.F.R..... | 5 |
| V. BRIEF BACKGROUND OF THE UNDERLYING TECHNOLOGY..... | 5 |
| VI. SUMMARY OF THE '268 PATENT | 5 |
| A. Brief Description of the '268 Patent | 5 |
| B. Petitioned Claims of the '268 Patent..... | 6 |
| C. Priority Claim of the '268 Patent | 8 |
| VII. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION UNDER 37 C.F.R..... | 9 |
| A. Legal Overview | 9 |
| B. Proposed Claim Constructions | 9 |
| 1. "Low Power Mode" (all Petitioned Claims)..... | 9 |
| 2. "Transceiver" (all Petitioned Claims)..... | 10 |
| 3. "Data" (Claims 1, 4, 11, 16) | 10 |
| VIII. PERSON HAVING ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART & STATE OF THE ART | 11 |

Table of Contents
(continued)

| | Page |
|--|------|
| IX. CLAIMS 14 AND 16 ARE ANTICIPATED BY BOWIE (GROUND 1)..... | 11 |
| A. Overview of Bowie | 11 |
| B. Independent claim 14 is anticipated by Bowie (Ground 1) | 13 |
| 1. Preamble 14[a] | 13 |
| 2. Claim element 14[b] | 15 |
| 3. Claim element 14[c]..... | 19 |
| 4. Claim element 14[d] | 19 |
| C. Independent claim 16 (Ground 1) | 21 |
| 1. Preamble 16[a] | 21 |
| 2. Claim element 16[b] | 21 |
| 3. Claim element 16[c]..... | 22 |
| 4. Claim element 16[d] | 22 |
| X. CLAIMS 1, 2, 4, 11, 12 AND 18 ARE OBVIOUS OVER BOWIE IN VIEW OF THE CITED REFERENCES (GROUNDS 2, 3 AND 4) | 23 |
| A. Overview of the Prior Art..... | 23 |
| 1. Overview of Morelli | 23 |
| 2. Overview of 1995 ADSL Standard..... | 24 |
| B. Bowie, Morelli and 1995 ADSL Standard Are Analogous Art | 26 |
| C. Claims 1, 2, 11 and 12 are obvious over Bowie in view of Morelli and the 1995 ADSL Standard under 35 U.S.C | 26 |
| 1. Independent claim 1 – Grounds 2 & 3 | 26 |
| a. Preamble 1[a]..... | 26 |
| b. Claim element 1[b] | 27 |
| c. Claim element 1[c] | 28 |

Table of Contents
(continued)

| | Page |
|---|-------------|
| i. “entering the low power mode, wherein a transmitter portion of the transceiver does not transmit data during the low power mode” | 28 |
| ii. “entering the low power mode, wherein ... a receiver portion of the transceiver receives data during the low power mode” | 28 |
| iii. Motivation to combine Bowie and Morelli under Ground 3..... | 30 |
| d. Claim element 1[d] | 33 |
| 2. Dependent claim 2 – Ground 3 | 38 |
| 3. Independent claim 11 – Grounds 2 & 3 | 40 |
| a. Preamble 11[a]..... | 40 |
| b. Claim element 11[b] | 40 |
| c. Claim element 11[c] | 42 |
| d. Claim element 11[d] | 42 |
| 4. Dependent claim 12 – Ground 3 | 43 |
| D. Claims 4, 14, 16 and 18 are obvious over Bowie in view of Morelli under 35 U.S.C | 43 |
| 1. Independent claim 4 | 43 |
| a. Preamble 4[a]..... | 43 |
| b. Claim element 4[b] | 43 |
| c. Claim element 4[c] | 44 |
| d. Claim element 4[d] | 44 |
| 2. Independent claim 14 | 44 |
| a. Claim element 14[b] | 45 |
| b. Claim element 14[c] | 45 |

Table of Contents
(continued)

| | Page |
|---|-------------|
| 3. Independent claim 16 | 46 |
| a. Claim element 16[b] | 46 |
| b. Claim element 16[c] | 46 |
| 4. Independent claim 18 | 47 |
| a. Preamble 18[a]..... | 47 |
| b. Claim element 18[b] | 47 |
| c. Claim element 18[c] | 47 |
| d. Claim element 18[d] | 48 |
| XI. NO SECONDARY CONSIDERATIONS OF NON-OBVIOUSNESS EXIST | 48 |
| XII. CONCLUSION..... | 49 |

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.