

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

DISH NETWORK LLC,
Petitioner,

v.

TQ DELTA, LLC,
Patent Owner.

Case IPR2016-01469
Patent 9,094,268 B2

Before Alexander C. Levy, Trial Paralegal

ERRATA

The first paragraph on page 2 of the Decision to Institute (Paper 13)
reads as follows:

I. INTRODUCTION

DISH Network L.L.C. (“Petitioner”) filed a Petition (Paper 1, “Pet.”) requesting an inter partes review of claims 1, 2, 4, 11, 12, 14, 16 and 18 of U.S. Patent No. 9,094,268 B2 (Ex. 1001, “the ’268 patent”) pursuant to 35 U.S.C. §§ 311–319. TQ Delta, LLC (“Patent Owner”) filed a Preliminary Response to the Petition. (Paper 8, “Prelim. Resp.”). We have jurisdiction under 35 U.S.C. § 314(a). Section 314(a) provides that an inter partes review may not be instituted “unless . . . there is a reasonable likelihood that the petitioner would prevail with respect to at least 1 of the claims challenged in the petition.” After considering the Petition, the Preliminary Response, and associated evidence, we conclude that Petitioner has demonstrated a reasonable likelihood that it would prevail in showing unpatentability of claims 1, 2, 4, 11, 12, 14, 16 and 18.

For PETITIONER:

Heidi L. Keefe
Jennifer Volk-Fortier
COOLEY LLP
hkeefe@cooley.com
jvolkfortier@cooley.com

For PATENT OWNER:

Peter J. McAndrews
Thomas J. Wimbiscus
Scott P. McBride
Christopher M. Scharff
MCANDREWS, HELD & MALLOY, LTD.
pmcandrews@mcandrews-ip.com
twimbiscus@mcandrews-ip.com
smcbride@mcandrews-ip.com
cscharff@mcandrews-ip.com