

Filed on behalf of TQ Delta, LLC

By: Peter J. McAndrews
Thomas J. Wimbiscus
Scott P. McBride
McAndrews, Held & Malloy, Ltd.
500 W. Madison St., 34th Floor
Chicago, IL 60661
Tel: 312-775-8000
Fax: 312-775-8100
E-mail: pmcandrews@mcandrews-ip.com

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

CISCO SYSTEMS, INC.
Petitioner

v.

TQ DELTA, LLC
Patent Owner

Case No. IPR2016-01466
Patent No. 8,611,404

PATENT OWNER'S PRELIMINARY RESPONSE

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. INTRODUCTION 1

II. SUMMARY OF THE 404 PATENT 6

III. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION 6

IV. NO REVIEW SHOULD BE INSTITUTED WITH RESPECT TO THE GROUND RAISED BY PETITIONER 7

 A. The Cited References..... 10

 1. Bowie 10

 2. Yamano 12

 3. The ANSI Standard..... 17

 B. The Combination of Bowie, Yamano, and the ANSI Standard Does Not Teach All of the Limitations of the Challenged Claims..... 18

 1. The Combination of the References Fails to Disclose Both “A Synchronization Frame” and “A Synchronization Signal”..... 19

 2. The Combination of the References Fails to Disclose the Storing Limitation 22

 a. None of Bowie, Yamano, and the ANSI Standard Discloses Storing, in Low Power Mode, a Fine Gain Parameter or a Bit Allocation Parameter 22

 b. Petitioner’s Invalidity Arguments with Respect to the Storing Limitation Have No Merit 23

 3. The Combination of the References Fails to Disclose the Exiting Limitation 32

 C. Petitioner Fails to Provide Sufficient Evidence that It Would Have Been Obvious to Combine Bowie and Yamano..... 34

 1. The Statements in the Petition 36

a.	The First Paragraph	38
b.	The Second Paragraph	40
c.	The Third Paragraph	43
d.	The Fourth Paragraph	44
e.	The Fifth Paragraph	46
f.	Additional Statements Regarding Alleged Obviousness of Combining Bowie and Yamano	50
2.	The Statements in the Kiaei Declaration	54
3.	A Person of Skill in the Art Would Not Have Combined Bowie and Yamano as Petitioner Proposes	55
D.	Petitioner Fails to Provide Sufficient Evidence that It Would Have Been Obvious to Combine Bowie/Yamano with the ANSI Standard	57
V.	CONCLUSION.....	59

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

CASES

Apple, Inc. v. Cellular Comm. Equip., LLC,
Case No. IPR2015-00576, Paper 7 (P.T.A.B. June 12, 2015)29

Automotive US LLC v. Magna Electronics Inc.,
Case IPR2014-00259, Paper No. 19 (P.T.A.B. Jun. 26, 2014)..... 30, 39

BSP Software, LLC v. Motio, Inc.,
IPR2013-00307, Paper 10 (P.T.A.B. Nov. 29, 2013)40

Customplay, LLC v. Clearplay, Inc.,
IPR2013-00484, Paper 29 (P.T.A.B. Nov. 5, 2014)7

Daifuku Co., v. Murata Machinery, Ltd.,
IPR2015-00084, 87, Paper 10 (P.T.A.B. May 4, 2015).....52

Depuy Spine, Inc. v. Medtronic Sofamor Danek, Inc.,
567 F.3d 1314 (Fed. Cir. 2009)48

DirectTV, LLC v. Qurio Holdings, Inc.,
IPR2015-02007, Paper No. 6 (P.T.A.B. Apr. 4, 2016) 30, 35

Dome Patent L.P. v. Lee,
799 F.3d 1372 (Fed. Cir. 2015) 28, 35

Du Pont v. Monsanto Tech., LLC,
IPR2014-00334, Paper 16 (P.T.A.B. July 11, 2014).....8

Ex parte DiCarlo,
Appeal No. 2011-012966, 2014 Pat. App. LEXIS 1026
(P.T.A.B. Feb. 28, 2014)21

Ex parte Frank,
Appeal No. 2012-005804, 2014 Pat. App. LEXIS 4594
(P.T.A.B. Jul. 29, 2014).....21

Ex parte Grunert,
Appeal 2013-004648, 2015 Pat. App. LEXIS 7069 (P.T.A.B.
Jul. 14, 2015)20

Ex parte Kaya,
Appeal No. 2012-012639, 2014 Pat. App. LEXIS 9016
(P.T.A.B. Dec. 26, 2014).....21

Ex parte Santilli,
Appeal No. 2012-006027, 2014 Pat. App. LEXIS 7694
(P.T.A.B. Nov. 21, 2014)21

Ex parte Scales,
Appeal No. 2013-003744, 2015 Pat. App. LEXIS 5697
(P.T.A.B. Jun. 19, 2015).....21

Ex parte Sinde,
Appeal 2009-00551, 2009 Pat. App. LEXIS 6457 (B.P.A.I.
Aug. 10, 2009)41

Grain Processing Corp. v. Am. Maize-Prods. Co.,
840 F.2d 902 (Fed. Cir. 1988)29

In re Gordon,
733 F.2d 900 (Fed. Cir. 1984)56

In re Robertson,
169 F.3d 743 (Fed. Cir. 1999)21

Jacobs Corp. v. Genesis III, Inc.,
IPR2014-01267, Paper 12 (P.T.A.B. January 22, 2015).....8

K. J. Pretech Co., Ltd. v. Innovative Display Technologies LLC,
IPR2015-01866, Paper No. 15 (P.T.A.B. Mar. 17, 2016)..... 28, 35

Kinetic Techs., Inv. v. Skyworks Solutions, Inc.,
IPR2014-00529, Paper 8 (P.T.A.B. Sep. 23, 2014) 39, 55

KSR Int'l Co. v. Teleflex Inc.,
550 U.S. 398 (2007) *passim*

Mobotix Corp. v. Comcam Intern., Inc.,
IPR2015-00093, Paper 21 (P.T.A.B. April 28, 2016).....17

Oxford Nanopore Tech. Ltd. v. University of Washington,
IPR2014-00512, Paper 12 (P.T.A.B. Sept. 15, 2014) 9, 31

PAR Pharm., Inc. v. TWI Pharm., Inc.,
773 F.3d 1186 (Fed. Cir. 2014)35

Princeton Biochemicals, Inc. v. Beckman Coulter, Inc.,
411 F.3d 1332 (Fed. Cir. 2005)25

SAS Institute, Inc. v. Complementsoft, LLC,
IPR2013-00581, Paper 15 (P.T.A.B. December 30, 2013).....8

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.