

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

CISCO SYSTEMS, INC.,
Petitioner,

v.

TQ DELTA, LLC,
Patent Owner.

Cases
IPR2016-01466 (Patent 8,611,404 B2)
IPR2016-01760 (Patent 9,094,268 B2)¹

Before SALLY C. MEDLEY, TREVOR M. JEFFERSON, and
MATTHEW R. CLEMENTS, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

CLEMENTS, *Administrative Patent Judge*.

Trial Hearing Order
35 U.S.C. 316(a)(10) and 37 C.F.R. § 42.70

¹ This Order addresses the same issues in the above listed proceedings. Therefore, we issue one Order to be filed in all of the above listed proceedings. The parties, however, are not authorized to use this style of filing in subsequent papers.

IPR2016-01466 (Patent 8,611,404 B2)
IPR2016-01760 (Patent 9,094,268 B2)

Both parties requested a hearing pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.70(a). Paper 23; Paper 24.² The requests are *granted*.

Each party will have forty-five (45) minutes of total time to present arguments. Petitioner bears the ultimate burden of proof that Patent Owner's claims at issue in this review are unpatentable. Petitioner will, therefore, begin by presenting its case regarding the challenged claims and grounds for which the Board instituted trial in the proceeding. Patent Owner will then respond to Petitioner's arguments. Petitioner may reserve time to reply to arguments presented by Patent Owner. There is no motion to amend pending in this proceeding.

The hearing will commence at **1:00 PM** Eastern Time on **November 8, 2017**, and will be open to the public for in-person attendance on the **ninth floor of Madison Building East, 600 Dulany Street, Alexandria, Virginia**. We will provide a court reporter for the hearing and the reporter's transcript will constitute the official record of the hearing. The hearing will be open to the public for in-person attendance that will be accommodated on a first-come, first-served basis.

Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.70(b), demonstrative exhibits must be served at least seven (7) business days before the hearing. The parties are directed to *St. Jude Medical, Cardiology Division, Inc. v. The Board of Regents of the University of Michigan*, Case IPR2013-00041 (PTAB Jan. 27, 2014) (Paper 65), regarding the appropriate content of demonstrative exhibits. We expect that the parties will meet and confer in good faith to resolve any objections to demonstrative exhibits, but if such objections cannot be resolved the

² Citations are to IPR2016-01466, unless otherwise noted. Substantively similar requests were filed in each of the above-named proceedings.

IPR2016-01466 (Patent 8,611,404 B2)

IPR2016-01760 (Patent 9,094,268 B2)

parties may file any objections to demonstratives with the Board at least two business days before the hearing. The objections should identify with particularity which portions of the demonstrative exhibits are subject to objection, include a copy of the objected-to portions, and include a one-sentence statement of the reason for each objection. No argument or further explanation is permitted. We will consider any objections and schedule a conference call if deemed necessary. Otherwise, we will reserve ruling on the objections. Any objection to demonstrative exhibits that is not presented timely will be considered waived.

The parties also shall provide the demonstrative exhibits to the Board at least *two* business days prior to the hearing by emailing them to Trials@uspto.gov. Despite the requirement in § 42.70(b) for parties to file demonstratives, the parties **shall not file** any demonstrative exhibits in this case without prior authorization from the panel. A hard copy of the demonstratives should be provided to the court reporter at the hearing.

The parties also should note that at least one member of the panel will be attending the hearing electronically from a remote location, and that if a demonstrative is not made fully available or visible to the judge participating in the hearing remotely, that demonstrative will not be considered. If the parties have questions as to whether demonstrative exhibits would be sufficiently visible and available to all of the judges, the parties are invited to contact the Board at (571) 272-9797. The parties are also reminded that the presenter must identify clearly and specifically each demonstrative exhibit (e.g., by slide or screen number) referenced during the hearing to ensure the clarity and accuracy of the reporter's transcript and the ability of

IPR2016-01466 (Patent 8,611,404 B2)

IPR2016-01760 (Patent 9,094,268 B2)

the judge participating in the hearing remotely to closely follow the presenter's arguments.

We expect lead counsel for each party to be present at hearing, although any backup counsel may make the actual presentation, in whole or in part. If any lead counsel will not be in attendance at hearing, we should be notified via a joint telephone conference call no later than two (2) business days prior to the hearing to discuss the matter.

Questions regarding specific audio-visual equipment should be directed to the Administrative Staff at (571) 272-9797. Requests for audio-visual equipment are to be made at least five (5) days in advance of the hearing date by sending the request to Trials@uspto.gov. If the request is not received timely, the equipment may not be available on the day of the hearing.

IPR2016-01466 (Patent 8,611,404 B2)

IPR2016-01760 (Patent 9,094,268 B2)

FOR PETITIONER:

David L. McCombs

Theodore M. Foster

Michael S. Parsons

HAYNES AND BOONE LLP

david.mccombs.ipr@haynesboone.com

ipr.theo.foster@haynesboone.com

michael.parsons.ipr@haynesboone.com

PATENT OWNER:

Peter J. McAndrews

Thomas J. Wimbiscus

Scott P. McBride

Christopher M. Scharff

Rajendra A. Chiplunkar

David Z. Petty

MCANDREWS, HELD & MALLOY, LTD.

pmcandrews@mcandrews-ip.com

twimbiscus@mcandrews-ip.com

smcbride@mcandrews-ip.com

cscharff@mcandrews-ip.com

rchiplunkar@mcandrews-ip.com

dpetty@mcandrews-ip.com