Filed on behalf of TQ Delta, LLC
By: Peter J. McAndrews
Thomas J. Wimbiscus
Scott P. McBride
Christopher M. Scharff
McAndrews, Held & Malloy, Ltd.
500 W. Madison St., 34th Floor
Chicago, IL 60661
Tel: 312-775-8000
Fax: 312-775-8100
E-mail: pmcandrews@mcandrews-ip.com

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

CISCO SYSTEMS, INC.
Petitioner

v.

TQ DELTA, LLC
Patent Owner

Case No. IPR2016-01466
Patent No. 8,611,404

PATENT OWNER'S RESPONSE

DOCKET ALARM
Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. INTRODUCTION 1

II. SUMMARY OF ARGUMENT 1

III. OVERVIEW OF U.S. PATENT No. 8,611,404 3

A. Background of the Technology 3

B. The 404 Patent 6

IV. OVERVIEW OF THE CITED REFERENCES 7

A. Bowie 8

B. Yamano 11

C. The 1995 ADSL Standard 15

V. LEVEL OF ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART 17

VI. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION 17

A. "Synchronization Signal" 17

B. "Parameter Associated with the Full Power Mode Operation" 21

VII. PETITIONER HAS FAILED TO SHOW THAT THE REFERENCES ALONE OR IN COMBINATION DISCLOSE ALL THE ELEMENTS OF THE CLAIMS 22

A. Petitioner Has Failed To Establish That Bowie Discloses Storing, In A Low Power Mode, A "Parameter Associated With the Full Power Mode Operation" 23

B. Petitioner Fails To Establish That "Storing, In the Low Power Mode . . . At Least One of a Fine Gain Parameter and a Bit Allocation Parameter" Would Have Been Obvious Over Bowie and the 1995 ADSL Standard 24

DOCKET ALARM
Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

1. Bowie's Loop Characteristics Are Not the Claimed Fine Gain and Bit Allocation Parameters 25

2. The Teachings of the Cited Art Undermine Petitioner's Reasons for Modifying Bowie to Store Bit Allocation or Fine Gain Parameters in a Low Power Mode 31

C. Bowie Does Not Disclose Exiting the Low Power Mode without Needing To Reinitialize the Transceiver.....34

D. The combination of Bowie and ANSI T1.413 does not teach "receive[transmit], in the full power mode, a synchronization signal".....37

E. Yamano Does Not Disclose Transmitting or Receiving, in the Low Power Mode, a Synchronization Signal.....38

1. Under Patent Owners's Construction Of "Synchronization Signal," Yamano Does Not Teach the Limitation.....39

2. Under The Board's Construction Of "Synchronization Signal," Yamano Does Not Teach the Limitation 43

3. Yamano's Periodic Poll Or Other Timing Signal is not Received By The Device In Low Power Mode 45

VIII. A PERSON OF ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART WOULD NOT HAVE BEEN MOTIVATED TO COMBINE THE REFERENCES.....47

A. Bowie Would Have Led A POSITA Away From the Inventions of the 404 Patent.....49

B. A POSITA Would Not Have Modified Bowie to Transmit or Receive, In the Low Power Mode, a Synchronization Signal 52

C. Yamano's Burst Mode Protocol is Incompatible with the ADSL Standard.....54

D. Petitioner's Obviousness Analysis is Tainted by Impermissible Hindsight 58

DOCKET ALARM
Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

E. Petitioner's Proposed Reasons for Combining the Cited References Conflict with the Teachings of Those References 59

IX. DR. KIAEI'S TESTIMONY IS CONTRADICTORY, LACKS CREDIBILITY AND OBJECTIVE SUPPORT, AND SHOULD BE IGNORED BY THE BOARD 61

X. CONCLUSION 64

DOCKET ALARM
Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

TABLE OF EXHIBITS

Exhibit 2001	Exhibit from Deposition of Sayfe Kiaie (IPR2016-01466) (Annotated of Figure 4 of U.S. Pat. No. 6,075,814)
Exhibit 2002	Exhibit from Deposition of Sayfe Kiaie (IPR2016-01466) (Annotated of Figure 4 of U.S. Pat. No. 6,075,814)
Exhibit 2003	Exhibit from Deposition of Sayfe Kiaie (IPR2016-01466) (Drawing)
Exhibit 2004	Transcript of Deposition of Sayfe Kiaie (IPR2016-01466)
Exhibit 2005	Declaration of Douglas A. Chrissan, Ph.D. for *Inter Partes* Review No. IPR2016-01466
Exhibit 2006	*Curriculum Vitae* of Douglas A. Chrissan, Ph.D.
Exhibit 2007	Standard Dictionary of Computers and Information Processing
Exhibit 2008	Dictionary of Networking, 3rd Ed.

DOCKET ALARM
Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

DOCKET ALARM

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts

Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research

With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips

Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS
Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS
Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS
Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.