```
Page 1
1
          CONFERENCE CALL - 11/1/16
2
    UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
    BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD
3
     ----X
    D-LINK SYSTEMS, INC.,
                 Petitioner,
6
                                 Case Nos.
              VS.
                                 IPR2016-01425
7
                                 IPR2016-01426
    CHRIMAR SYSTEMS, INC.,
8
                 Patent Owner.
     ----X
9
10
11
                   CONFERENCE CALL
12
             Tuesday, November 1, 2016
                     4:00 p.m.
13
14
    BEFORE:
15
              Panel:
16
        JUDGE WEINSCHENK
17
        JUDGE EASTHOM
18
        JUDGE WARD
19
        JUDGE ANDERSON
20
21
    Reported by:
22
    Jennifer Ocampo-Guzman, CRR, CLR
23
    JOB NO. 115115
24
25
```



```
Page 2
1
           CONFERENCE CALL - 11/1/16
2
     APPEARANCES:
3
5
         Attorneys for Petitioner:
6
         LAW OFFICES OF S. J. CHRISTINE YANG
7
               17220 Newhope Street
8
               Fountain Valley, California 92708
9
         BY:
               VICTORIA HAO, ESQ.
10
               MARTHA HOPKINS, ESQ.
11
               DAVID HOFFMAN, ESQ.
12
13
         Attorneys for Patent Owner:
14
         THOMPSON & KNIGHT
15
               1722 Routh Street
16
               Dallas, Texas 75201
17
         BY:
             JUSTIN COHEN, ESQ.
18
19
         REISING ETHINGTON
20
               755 West Big Beaver Road
21
               Troy Michigan 48084
22
         BY:
               RICHARD HOFFMANN, ESQ.
23
24
25
```



- 1 CONFERENCE CALL 11/1/16
- JUDGE WEINSCHENK: Good afternoon,
- 3 this is Judge Weinschenk. With me on
- 4 the line is Judge Easthom, Judge Ward,
- 5 and Judge Anderson. This is a
- 6 conference call for IPR2016-01425 and
- 7 01426.
- 8 Who do we have on the line for the
- 9 petitioner D-Link Systems.
- MS. HAO: Good afternoon, Your
- Honor, and member of the board. I'm
- 12 Victoria Hao, along with me is my
- 13 colleagues Martha Hopkins, David
- 14 Hoffman, and Christine Yang on behalf of
- 15 petitioner D-Link Systems Inc.
- JUDGE WEINSCHENK: Okay. Ms. Hao,
- will you be doing the speaking for
- 18 petitioner?
- MS. HAO: Yes.
- JUDGE WEINSCHENK: And do you have
- a court reporter on the line?
- MS. HAO: I believe so. I believe
- the patent owner has a court reporter on
- 24 the line.
- JUDGE WEINSCHENK: Oh, okay. And



- 1 CONFERENCE CALL 11/1/16
- who do we have on the line for patent
- 3 owner?
- 4 MR. COHEN: Good afternoon, Your
- 5 Honor. Justin Cohen of Thompson &
- 6 Knight for patent owner. Also on the
- ⁷ line is Rick Hoffmann of Reising
- 8 Ethington. And I'll be doing the
- ⁹ speaking this afternoon.
- JUDGE WEINSCHENK: And it sounds
- like you retained a court reporter for
- 12 this call?
- MR. COHEN: That's correct, Your
- Honor.
- JUDGE WEINSCHENK: Since we have a
- court reporter on the line, I'll ask
- each of the counsel for the parties to
- introduce themselves again before we
- they start speaking so that the court
- reporter can keep that straight.
- Also, Mr. Cohen, I'll ask that you
- file a transcript of the call as an
- exhibit when it's ready.
- MR. COHEN: Yes, Your Honor.
- JUDGE WEINSCHENK: Okay



- 1 CONFERENCE CALL 11/1/16
- Petitioner D-Link Systems requested
- this call because they are seeking
- 4 authorization to file a reply to the
- 5 patent owner preliminary response.
- 6 So why don't we start with the
- 7 petitioner and tell us why you need a
- 8 reply.
- 9 MS. HAO: Thank you, Your Honor.
- This is Victoria Hao again on behalf of
- 11 petitioner D-Link Systems.
- Your Honor, without moving for
- 13 additional discovery, the patent owner
- elected to file its preliminary
- 15 responses, in part, alleging
- 16 insufficient disclosure of the real
- party-in-interest. And this is a new
- issue, argument raised by the patent
- owner, although there is burden on the
- 20 petitioner to prove a named third party
- or the real party-in-interest for the
- petition, we would like to have this
- opportunity to discuss it with the
- 24 board, to see whether a short reply
- could be more completed, both on factual



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

