UNITED STATES PA	ATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
BEFORE THE PATI	ENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD
SONY MOBILE COMM	Y MOBILE COMMUNICATIONS (USA) INC., UNICATIONS AB, and SONY MOBILE MUNICATIONS, INC., Petitioners
	V.
CREATIVE	TECHNOLOGY LIMITED, Patent Owner
U.S.	Patent No. 6,928,433
Case	e No. IPR2016-01407

PATENT OWNER REQUEST FOR ORAL ARGUMENT PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. § 42.70(a)



Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.70(a) and the Board's Scheduling Order (Paper 14), Patent Owner Creative Technology Limited respectfully requests oral argument on the issues set forth below on August 29, 2017 at a time set by the Board.

Patent Owner requests a 90-minute hearing, with 45 minutes of argument time for Patent Owner and 45 minutes of argument time for Petitioners. Patent Owner requests oral argument on all issues raised in the parties' filings, including but not limited to the following:

- 1. Whether claims 2, 3, 5, 7, and 17-28 of U.S. Patent No. 6,928,433 are unpatentable based on the grounds upon which the Board instituted trial;
- 2. Whether Petitioners' Reply contains arguments and evidence that are beyond the proper scope permitted under the rules;
- 3. Any issues specified in Patent Owner's motion for observations regarding cross-examination;
- 4. Any issues specified by Petitioners in a request for oral argument;
- 5. Rebuttal to Petitioners' presentation on all matters; and
- 6. Any issues otherwise raised by the Board.

In the Board's Scheduling Order (Paper 14), the Board indicated that the panel is available to hear the oral argument either in Denver, Colorado or in



Alexandria, Virginia. In general, Patent Owner prefers to hold the oral argument in Denver because that location is more convenient than the Alexandria location for Patent Owner's counsel and representatives who will be traveling to the oral argument from California. However, Patent Owner believes that the most important consideration is which location will enable more panel members to attend in person. Accordingly, Patent Owner requests that the oral argument be held at the location that will permit as many panel members as possible to attend in person. To the extent that an equal number of panel members could attend in person at both locations, then Patent Owner requests that the oral argument be held in Denver.

Patent Owner also requests that the Board provide audio-visual equipment to display a demonstrative PowerPoint presentation. The requested equipment includes a projector to be connected to Patent Owner's laptop computer, and a screen for displaying the projected image.

Date: July 24, 2017 Respectfully submitted,

/Jonathan D. Baker/

Jonathan D. Baker, Reg. No. 45708 Farney Daniels PC 411 Borel Avenue, Suite 310 San Mateo, California 94402

Phone: 424-268-5210

E-mail: jbaker@farneydaniels.com



## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

The undersigned hereby certifies that a copy of the foregoing Patent Owner Request for Oral Argument Pursuant to 37 CFR § 42.70(a) was served via email on July 24, 2017, on the attorneys for the Petitioners:

Michael N. Rader, Reg. No. 52,146 Randy J. Pritzker, Reg. No. 35, 986 Andrew J. Tibbetts, Reg. No. 65,139 WOLF GREENFIELD & SACKS, P.C. 600 Atlantic Avenue Boston, MA 02210-2206

Telephone: 617-646-8000

Fax: 617-646-8646 Emails: rpritzker-ptab@wolfgreenfield.com

mrader-ptab@wolfgreenfield.com atibbetts-ptab@wolfgreenfield.com

Date: July 24, 2017 /Jonathan D. Baker/

Jonathan D. Baker, Reg. No. 45,708

