

Filed on behalf of Petitioners

By: Randy J. Pritzker  
Michael N. Rader  
Robert M. Abrahamsen  
Andrew J. Tibbetts  
WOLF, GREENFIELD & SACKS, P.C.  
600 Atlantic Avenue  
Boston, MA 02210  
Tel: (617) 646-8000  
Fax: (617) 646-8646  
RPritzker-PTAB@wolfgreenfield.com

Paper No. \_\_

**UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE  
BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD**

---

SONY CORPORATION, SONY MOBILE COMMUNICATIONS (USA) INC.,  
SONY MOBILE COMMUNICATIONS AB & SONY MOBILE  
COMMUNICATIONS INC.

*Petitioners*

v.

CREATIVE TECHNOLOGY LIMITED

*Patent Owner*

---

Case No. TBD  
Patent No. 6,928,433

---

**PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW  
UNDER 35 U.S.C. §§ 311-319 AND 37 C.F.R. § 42.1 *et seq.***

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION .....	1
II.	MANDATORY NOTICES .....	5
	A. Related Matters.....	5
	B. Real Party-In-Interest .....	6
	C. Counsel and Service Information – § 42.8(b)(3) and (4) .....	8
III.	NOTICE OF FEES PAID .....	8
IV.	CERTIFICATION OF GROUNDS FOR STANDING .....	8
V.	IDENTIFICATION OF CHALLENGE AND RELIEF REQUESTED .....	9
VI.	OVERVIEW OF THE '433 PATENT .....	9
	A. Technology Overview .....	9
	B. Level of Ordinary Skill in the Art .....	10
VII.	CLAIM INTERPRETATION .....	11
	A. “portable media player” – Claims 1, 2, 3, 5, 7, and 17-28 .....	11
	B. “display screen” – Claims 1, 2, 5, 7, 19, 21, 23, 25 and 27 .....	12
VIII.	THRESHOLD REQUIREMENT FOR <i>INTER PARTES</i> REVIEW .....	16
IX.	CLAIM-BY-CLAIM EXPLANATION OF GROUNDS FOR UNPATENTABILITY .....	17
	A. <u>Ground 1</u> : Claims 2, 3, 5, 7, 17, and 18 Are Anticipated by Looney .....	17
	1. Looney.....	17
	2. Looney Anticipates Claims 2, 3, 5, 7, 17 and 18 .....	22
	a. Independent claim 1 .....	22
	b. Claim 2 .....	31
	c. Claim 3 .....	33
	d. Claim 5 .....	34
	e. Claim 7 .....	35
	f. Claims 17-18 .....	36
	B. <u>Ground 2</u> : Claims 2, 3, and 19-28 Would Have Been Obvious Over Looney in View of Proehl. ....	37

1. Proehl.....	37
2. Reasons to Combine Proehl with Looney .....	40
3. The Looney-Proehl Combination.....	40
4. Claims 19-28 Would Have Been Obvious Over Looney in View of Proehl. ....	46
a. Claims 19, 21 and 25.....	46
b. Claim 23 .....	51
c. Claim 27 .....	54
a. Claims 20, 22, 24, 26 and 28 .....	58
5. Claims 2 and 3 Would Have Been Obvious Over Looney in View of Proehl.....	58
C. <u>Ground 3</u> : Claims 23, 24, 27 and 28 Would Have Been Obvious Over Looney in View of Proehl and Johnson .....	60
1. Johnson.....	61
2. Reasons to Combine Johnson with Looney and Proehl.....	62
3. The Looney-Proehl-Johnson Combination Would Have Met All Limitations of Claims 23, 24, 27, and 28.....	62
D. <u>Ground 4</u> : Claims 2, 3, 5, 7, 17 and 18 Would Have Been Obvious Over Birrell in View of Seidensticker.....	63
1. Birrell.....	63
2. Seidensticker .....	65
3. Reasons to Combine Birrell and Seidensticker.....	66
4. The Birrell-Seidensticker Combination .....	67
5. The Birrell-Seidensticker Combination Would Have Met All Limitations of Claims 2, 3, 5, 7, 17 and 18.....	70
a. Independent Claim 1 .....	70
b. Claim 2 .....	76
c. Claim 3 .....	78
d. Claim 5 .....	78
e. Claim 7 .....	78
f. Claims 17 and 18.....	79

E. <u>Ground 5: Claims 19-28 Would Have Been Obvious Over Birrell in View of Seidensticker, Further in View of Proehl</u> .....	80
1. Proehl.....	80
2. Reasons to Combine Proehl with Birrell and Seidensticker .....	81
3. The Birrell-Seidensticker-Proehl Combination .....	82
4. The Birrell-Seidensticker-Proehl Combination Would Have Met All Limitations of Claims 19-28.....	84
a. Claims 19 and 21.....	84
b. Claim 23 .....	87
c. Claim 25 .....	89
d. Claim 27 .....	92
e. Claims 20, 22, 24, 26, and 28 .....	95
F. <u>Ground 6: Claims 23, 24, 27 and 28 Would Have Been Obvious Over Birrell in View of Seidensticker, Proehl and Johnson</u> .....	95
1. Johnson.....	96
2. Reasons to Combine Birrell, Seidensticker, Proehl, and Johnson .....	96
3. The Birrell-Seidensticker-Proehl-Johnson Combination Would Have Met All Limitations of Claims 23, 24, 27 and 28.....	97
G. <u>Ground 7: Each Claim Reciting an “Active Queue List” Discussed Above in Grounds 4-6 Would Have Been Obvious in Further View of Looney</u> .....	97
X. CONCLUSION.....	100

## TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

### CASES

<i>Apple Inc. v. VirnetX Inc.</i> , IPR2014-00481, Paper 35 (PTAB 2014) .....	12
<i>Fenner Investments, Ltd. v. Cellco P'ship</i> , 778 F.3d 1320 (Fed. Cir. 2015) .....	12
<i>Samsung Elecs. Co. v. Black Hills Media, LLC</i> , IPR2014-00737, Paper 7 (PTAB 2014) .....	6
<i>Tempo Lighting, Inc. v. Tivoli, LLC</i> , 742 F.3d 973 (Fed. Cir. 2014) .....	12

### STATUTES

35 U.S.C. § 102 .....	9
35 U.S.C. § 102(b) .....	17, 61
35 U.S.C. § 102(e) .....	37, 63, 65
35 U.S.C. § 103 .....	9
35 U.S.C. § 311 .....	100
35 U.S.C. § 314(a) .....	16

### REGULATIONS

37 C.F.R. § 42.100(b) .....	11
37 C.F.R. § 42.101 .....	100
37 C.F.R. § 42.104(a).....	8

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.