

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

JUNIPER NETWORKS, INC.,  
Petitioner,

v.

CHRIMAR SYSTEMS, INC.,  
Patent Owner.

---

Case IPR2016-01389  
Patent 8,155,012 B2  
Case IPR2016-01391  
Patent 8,942,107 B2  
Case IPR2016-01397  
Patent 9,019,838 B2  
Case IPR2016-01399  
Patent 8,902,760 B2<sup>1</sup>

---

Before KARL D. EASTHOM, GREGG I. ANDERSON, and  
ROBERT J. WEINSCHENK, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

ANDERSON, *Administrative Patent Judge*.

DECISION  
Motion for *Pro Hac Vice* Admission  
Jonathan Kagan  
37 C.F.R. § 42.10

---

<sup>1</sup> One Decision issues in the above-listed proceedings. The parties are not authorized to employ this heading style.

IPR2016-01389 Patent 8,155,012 B2  
IPR2016-01391 Patent 8,942,107 B2  
IPR2016-01397 Patent 9,019,838 B2  
IPR2016-01399 Patent 8,902,760 B2

## I. INTRODUCTION

Petitioner filed a motion for *pro hac vice* admission of Jonathan Kagan in the above-listed proceedings. *See, e.g.*, IPR2016-01391, Paper 21 (“Motion” or “Mot.”). Patent Owner does not oppose the Motion. Mot. 3. For the following reasons, the Motion is *granted*.

## II. ANALYSIS

Counsel may be admitted *pro hac vice* upon a showing of good cause, subject to the condition that lead counsel is a registered practitioner. 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(c). Specifically, if lead counsel is a registered practitioner, back-up counsel may be permitted to appear *pro hac vice* “upon showing that counsel is an experienced litigating attorney and has an established familiarity with the subject matter at issue in the proceeding.” *Id.* For the reasons set forth in the Motion and the accompanying declaration of Mr. Kagan (*see, e.g.*, IPR2016-01391, Ex. 1019), we find that good cause exists to admit Mr. Kagan *pro hac vice* in the above-listed proceedings.

## III. ORDER

In consideration of the foregoing, it is hereby:

ORDERED that the Motion is *granted*, and Jonathan Kagan is authorized to represent Petitioner as back-up counsel in the above-listed proceedings;

FURTHER ORDERED that a registered practitioner will continue to represent Petitioner as lead counsel in the above-listed proceedings;

FURTHER ORDERED that Mr. Kagan is to comply with the Board’s Rules of Practice for Trials set forth in Part 42 of Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, and the Office Patent Trial Practice Guide, and is subject to the

IPR2016-01389 Patent 8,155,012 B2  
IPR2016-01391 Patent 8,942,107 B2  
IPR2016-01397 Patent 9,019,838 B2  
IPR2016-01399 Patent 8,902,760 B2

USPTO's Rules of Professional Conduct set forth in 37 C.F.R. §§ 11.101 *et seq.*, and to the USPTO's disciplinary jurisdiction under 37 C.F.R. § 11.19(a); and

FURTHER ORDERED that a copy of this order will be placed in each of the above captioned cases.

PETITIONER:

Nima Hefazi  
Michael Fleming  
Jonathan Kagan  
Talin Gordnia  
IRELL & MANELLA, LLP  
nhefazi@irell.com  
mfleming@irell.com  
jkagan@irell.com  
tgordnia@irell.com

PATENT OWNER:

Frank A. Angileri  
Thomas A. Lewry  
Marc Lorelli  
Christopher C. Smith  
BROOKS KUSHMAN P.C.  
CHRMCO110IPR2@brookskushman.com

Richard W. Hoffmann  
REISING ETHINGTON P.C.  
hoffmann@reising.com