

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

JUNIPER NETWORKS, INC., RUCKUS WIRELESS, INC.,  
BROCADE COMMUNICATION SYSTEMS, INC., and NETGEAR, INC.,  
Petitioner,

v.

CHRIMAR SYSTEMS, INC.,  
Patent Owner.

---

Case IPR2016-01389 (Patent 8,155,012 B2)  
Case IPR2016-01391 (Patent 8,942,107 B2)  
Case IPR2016-01397 (Patent 9,019,838 B2)  
Case IPR2016-01399 (Patent 8,902,760 B2)<sup>1</sup>

---

Before KARL D. EASTHOM, GREGG I. ANDERSON, and  
ROBERT J. WEINSCHENK, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

WEINSCHENK, *Administrative Patent Judge*.

ORDER<sup>2</sup>  
Conduct of the Proceeding  
37 C.F.R. § 42.5

---

<sup>1</sup> Ruckus Wireless, Inc., Brocade Communication Systems, Inc., and Netgear, Inc. filed petitions in (now terminated) IPR2017-00718, IPR2017-00719, IPR2017-00720, and IPR2017-00790, and were joined to the above-listed proceedings.

<sup>2</sup> This Order will be entered in each of the above-listed proceedings as the caption indicates. The parties are not permitted to use this caption style.

IPR2016-01389 (Patent 8,155,012 B2)  
IPR2016-01391 (Patent 8,942,107 B2)  
IPR2016-01397 (Patent 9,019,838 B2)  
IPR2016-01399 (Patent 8,902,760 B2)

## I. INTRODUCTION

On August 16, 2017, Judges Easthom, Anderson, and Weinschenk held a telephone conference call with counsel for Juniper Networks, Inc., Ruckus Wireless, Inc., Brocade Communication Systems, Inc., and Netgear, Inc. (“Petitioner”) and counsel for Chrimar Systems, Inc. (“Patent Owner”). A court reporter transcribed the call. This Order summarizes the call, but the court reporter’s transcript (Paper 62)<sup>3</sup> contains a more complete record.

## II. ANALYSIS

Patent Owner filed a request (Paper 54) for authorization to file Exhibits 2052–2054 with its Opposition (Paper 53) to Petitioner’s Motion to Exclude (Paper 49). During the call, Petitioner agreed that Patent Owner could file Exhibit 2054. Paper 62, 14:14–21. Also, during the call, Patent Owner withdrew its request to file Exhibits 2052 and 2053. Paper 62, 12:11–15:1. Therefore, we grant Patent Owner’s *unopposed* request to file Exhibit 2054, and we dismiss as moot Patent Owner’s *withdrawn* request to file Exhibits 2052 and 2053.

## III. ORDER

In consideration of the foregoing, it is hereby:

ORDERED that Patent Owner’s request (Paper 54) for authorization to file Exhibits 2052–2054 is *granted* for Exhibit 2054, and *dismissed* as moot for Exhibits 2052 and 2053.

---

<sup>3</sup> We cite to the record in IPR2016-01389.

IPR2016-01389 (Patent 8,155,012 B2)  
IPR2016-01391 (Patent 8,942,107 B2)  
IPR2016-01397 (Patent 9,019,838 B2)  
IPR2016-01399 (Patent 8,902,760 B2)

PETITIONER:

Nima Hefazi  
Michael Fleming  
Jonathan Kagan  
Talin Gordnia  
IRELL & MANELLA, LLP  
nhefazi@irell.com  
mfleming@irell.com  
jkagan@irell.com  
tgordnia@irell.com

Joseph A. Powers  
Christopher J. Tyson  
Matthew S. Yungwirth  
DUANE MORRIS LLP  
japowers@duanemorris.com  
cjtyson@duanemorris.com

PATENT OWNER:

Frank A. Angileri  
Thomas A. Lewry  
Marc Lorelli  
Christopher C. Smith  
BROOKS KUSHMAN P.C.  
fangileri@brookskushman.com  
tlewry@brookskushman.com  
csmith@brookskushman.com

Richard W. Hoffmann  
REISING ETHINGTON P.C.  
hoffmann@reising.com