

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

Juniper Networks, Inc., Ruckus Wireless, Inc., Brocade Communication  
Systems, Inc. and Netgear, Inc.,

Petitioners

v.

ChriMar Systems, Inc.,

Patent Owner

---

Case No. IPR2016-01397

U.S. Patent No. 9,019,838

Petitioners' Motion to Exclude

---

Mail Stop Patent Board  
Patent Trial and Appeal Board  
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

	<b><u>Page</u></b>
I. THE MADISETTI DECLARATION SHOULD BE EXCLUDED .....	1
A. Legal Standard for the Admissibility of Expert Opinions .....	1
B. Opinions Are Based on the Wrong Time of Invention .....	2
C. Opinions Based Only on Speculation Should be Excluded .....	4
1. No Basis For Opinions Regarding “Unused Pairs” .....	5
2. Dr. Madisetti Misunderstands the IsoEthernet Standard .....	6
3. Dr. Madisetti Has No Basis for Opinions on Noise in Bloch..	8
D. Inconsistent Testimony Regarding Terminal Equipment .....	8
E. Dr. Madisetti Fails to Read References as a Whole.....	10
II. THE IEEE EXHIBITS SHOULD BE EXCLUDED .....	11
III. EXHIBIT 2047 IS UNAUTHENTICATED, IRRELEVANT, HEARSAY	12
IV. EXHIBIT 2049 IS IRRELEVANT.....	13
V. EXS. 2050 AND 2054, AND RELATED TESTIMONY FROM MR. CRAYFORD’S DEPOSITION ARE IRRELEVANT AND UNTIMELY	14

**TABLE OF AUTHORITIES**

	<b>Page(s)</b>
<b>Cases</b>	
<i>Apple Inc. v. VirnetX Inc.</i> , IPR2014-00403, Paper 42, 4 (July 29, 2015) <i>aff'd</i> 671 Fed. Appx. 786 (Fed. Cir. Dec. 9, 2016) .....	4
<i>Curtis v. M&amp;S Petroleum, Inc.</i> , 174 F.3d 661 (5th Cir. 1999) .....	2, 8
<i>Daubert v. Merrell Dow Pharm., Inc.</i> , 509 U.S. 579 (1993).....	<i>passim</i>
<i>Hathaway v. Bazany</i> , 507 F.3d 312 (5th Cir. 2007) .....	2, 4, 8
<i>Kumho Tire Co. v. Carmichael</i> , 526 U.S. 137 (1999).....	<i>passim</i>
<i>Lewis v. Parish of Terrebonne</i> , 894 F.2d 142 (5th Cir. 1990) .....	2, 4, 8
<i>Liquid Dynamics Corp. v. Vaughan Co., Inc.</i> , 449 F.3d 1209 (Fed. Cir. 2006) .....	9
<i>Oracle Corp. v. Crossroads Sys., Inc.</i> , IPR2014-01207, Paper 78 (PTAB Jan. 29, 2016).....	12
<i>Standard Innovation Corp. v. Lelo Inc.</i> , IPR2014-00148, Paper 41, 10-11 (PTAB Apr. 23, 2015) .....	11, 13
<b>Rules</b>	
F.R.E. 401 .....	<i>passim</i>
F.R.E. 402 .....	<i>passim</i>
F.R.E. 403 .....	<i>passim</i>
F.R.E. 602 .....	11

..

IPR2016-01397 Petitioners' Motion to Exclude  
U.S. Patent No. 9,019,838

F.R.E. 702 .....*passim*  
F.R.E. 703 .....*passim*  
F.R.E. 801 .....11, 12, 13  
F.R.E. 802 .....11, 12, 13  
F.R.E. 804 .....11  
F.R.E. 805 .....12, 13  
F.R.E. 901 .....11, 12, 13

**Other Authorities**

37 C.F.R. § 42.65 .....*passim*  
37 C.F.R. § 42.123 .....15

...

**TABLE OF EXHIBITS**

<b><u>Number</u></b>	<b><u>Short Name</u></b>	<b><u>Description</u></b>
<b>1001</b>	'838 Patent	U.S. Patent 9,019,838 to Austermann, III et al.
<b>1002</b>	Crayford-1	Declaration of Ian Crayford in Support of Petition
<b>1003</b>	Hunter	WO 96/23377 to Hunter
<b>1004</b>	Bulan	U.S. Patent 5,089,927 to Bulan et al.
<b>1005</b>	Bloch	U.S. Patent 4,173,714 to Bloch et al.
<b>1006</b>	IEEE-1993	IEEE International Standard ISO/IEC 8802-3: 1993
<b>1007<sup>1</sup></b>	IEEE-1995 (part 1)	IEEE Standard 802.3u-1995
<b>1008</b>	IEEE-1995 (part 2)	IEEE Standard 802.3u-1995
<b>1009</b>	Huizinga	U.S. Patent 4,046,972 to Huizinga et al.
<b>1010</b>	Blacharski	Dan Blacharski, "Maximum Bandwidth: A Serious Guide to High-Speed Networking", Que Corporation (1997)

---

<sup>1</sup> IEEE Standard 802.3u-1995 has been separated into Exhibits 1007 and 1008 to comply with file size limitations for Exhibits. Exhibits 1007 and 1008 are continuously paginated, from 1-200, and 201-415, respectively.

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.