Ian Crayford 7/21/2017

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

```
JUNIPER NETWORKS, INC.,
                                      )U.S. Patent No.
                                      )8,942,107
             Petitioner,
                                      )Case IPR2016-01391
                                      )U.S. Patent No.
         vs.
                                      )8,155,012
CHRIMAR SYSTEMS, INC.,
                                      )Case IPR2016-01389
             Patent Owner.
                                      )U.S. Patent No.
                                      )8,902,760
                                      )Case IPR2016-01399
RUCKUS WIRELESS, INC., BROCADE
COMMUNICATION SYSTEMS, INC., AND
                                      )U.S. Patent No.
NETGEAR, INC.,
                                      )8,942,107
                                      )Case IPR2017-00718
             Petitioner,
                                      )U.S. Patent No.
                                      )8,155,012
                                      )Case IPR2017-00790
         VS.
CHRIMAR SYSTEMS, INC.,
                                      )U.S. Patent No.
                                      )8,902,760
             Patent Owner.
                                      )Case IPR2017-00719
```

DEPOSITION OF IAN CRAYFORD Los Angeles, California Friday, July 21, 2017

VOLUME I

Reported by: RENEE D. ZEPEZAUER, RPR, CRR CSR No. 6275 JOB No. 2663279

PAGES 1 - 185



- 1 says it is a primary objective -- sorry. It is a
- 2 primary object of the present invention to provide power
- 3 subsystems for providing either phantom or third pair
- 4 powered to equipment coupled to a local area network
- 5 included, but not limited to, Ethernet, Token Ring, ATM,
- 6 and isoEthernet.
- 7 BY MR. LEWRY:
- 8 Q I'm sorry. Where were you reading from?
- 9 A I apologize, Hunter 19 -- page 19, 2 through 8.
- 10 Q Okay. Great. And just so the record's clear,
- 11 the terms "Ethernet, Token Ring," and "isoEthernet" all
- 12 have circle R's next to their names; right?
- 13 A Hunter I believe is consistent in putting
- 14 registered trademarks on a whole bunch of things, yes.
- 15 Q So I've had the court reporter mark a document,
- 16 although I'm going to have her mark it with a different
- 17 number. I'm going to have her mark it with 2050.
- 18 (Exhibit 2050 was marked for identification by
- 19 the court reporter and is attached hereto.)
- 20 THE WITNESS: I have in front of me something
- 21 that says it's -- I believe an 802.9f draft standard.
- 22 BY MR. LEWRY:
- 23 Q Yes.
- MS. GORDNIA: I'm going to object to the
- 25 relevance, foundation, scope, and the authenticity of



- 1 the document that has been marked as 2050.
- 2 BY MR. LEWRY:
- 3 Q Okay. Are you familiar with the 802.9f work of
- 4 the IEEE?
- 5 A No, I'm not.
- 6 Q Were you aware that the IEEE in the context of
- 7 802.9 had put together a draft of standards for putting
- 8 phantom power or third pair power over using the 802.9
- 9 standard?
- 10 MS. GORDNIA: Objection to form. Scope. And
- 11 relevance and foundation.
- 12 THE WITNESS: The only reference I'm aware of
- 13 regarding phantom power is in the 1032 citation, and
- 14 it's very, very limited, and it basically says it's not
- 15 con-- it's allowable, both phantom and third pair, but
- 16 it's not part of the standard at that point.
- I wasn't aware of when or if phantom powering
- 18 had been further worked on in the standards. So I'm not
- 19 aware of any additional work.
- 20 BY MR. LEWRY:
- 21 Q Okay. All right. That's fine. If you will
- 22 turn with me to page 7, if you look at the bottom of the
- 23 document, there's page numbers. There's a page number
- 24 7. So this is Exhibit 2050.
- 25 A Roman numerals?



Ian Crayford 7/21/2017

- 1 MR. LEWRY: You need the question repeated?
- THE REPORTER: Yes, please.
- 3 MR. LEWRY: Sure.
- 4 Q Sure. If the resistance of a particular piece
- 5 of equipment is a fixed value, then power becomes just a
- 6 function of the voltage; correct?
- 7 MS. GORDNIA: Objection to form. And
- 8 foundation and relevance. Hypothetical.
- 9 THE WITNESS: Mathematically that would appear
- 10 to be correct. In a hypothetical situation I don't
- 11 know -- I don't understand under which conditions you're
- 12 asking me. So if you can give me an example of some
- 13 clarification.
- 14 BY MR. LEWRY:
- 15 Q That's all I need. Why don't we take a break.
- 16 Talin, if you could get those copies. I think
- 17 we're getting very close to being done.
- 18 A Sure.
- 19 (Recess.)
- 20 (Exhibit 2054 was marked for identification by
- 21 the court reporter and is attached hereto.)
- 22 BY MR. LEWRY:
- 23 Q Mr. Crayford, I think you have in front of you
- 24 what we've marked as Exhibit 254, and the top of it, it
- 25 says "EIA/TIA Bulletin." Do you see that at the very



- 1 top?
- 2 A I see that, yes.
- 3 MS. GORDNIA: So before we go further, Tom, I
- 4 just want to object to this document on the grounds of
- 5 authenticity, relevance, scope, foundation. This is the
- 6 first time the document is making an appearance at these
- 7 IPRs.
- 8 BY MR. LEWRY:
- 9 Q And then it says below that, it says,
- 10 "Technical Systems Bulletin Additional Cable
- 11 Specifications for Unshielded Twisted Pair Cables." Do
- 12 you see that?
- 13 A Yes, I see that.
- 14 Q Have you seen this document before? I mean
- 15 obviously not this physical copy, but this specification
- 16 before?
- 17 A No, I have not.
- 18 Q There's a date on the front of it. It says
- 19 November, 1991. Do you see that?
- 20 A I see the date, yes.
- 21 Q Okay. If you turn to the page that's labeled
- 22 page 1 at the top, upper right-hand side. It's the page
- 23 after -- it says, "Do not violate the law by making
- 24 copies of this."
- MS. GORDNIA: Thanks, Tom.

