

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

Juniper Networks, Inc., Ruckus Wireless, Inc., Brocade Communication  
Systems, Inc. and Netgear, Inc.,

Petitioners

v.

ChriMar Systems, Inc.,

Patent Owner

---

Case No. IPR2016-01397

U.S. Patent No. 9,019,838

Petitioners' Reply Brief

---

Mail Stop Patent Board  
Patent Trial and Appeal Board  
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

	<b><u>Page</u></b>
I. INTRODUCTION .....	1
II. CHRIMAR RELIES ON INCORRECT PRIORITY DATE .....	2
III. ADDITIONAL CIRCUITRY IS NOT REQUIRED FOR OBVIOUSNESS	2
A. BST and CMC Overview .....	3
B. BSTs and CMCs Are Not Required By The Claims Or Prior Art .....	3
C. POSITA Would Have Know How To Implement Grounds 1 and 2 .	5
IV. CHALLENGED CLAIMS ARE OBVIOUS IN VIEW OF PRIOR ART TEACHING POWER DELIVERY OVER ETHERNET DATA LINES ...	5
A. Ethernet Phantom Power Is Prior Art .....	6
B. Chrimar Did Not Invent Or Enable Ethernet Phantom Power .....	8
C. The IEEE's Standardization Of Ethernet Phantom Power Supports Obviousness .....	9
D. There is No Evidence That Ethernet Signals Would be Compromised In the Combined Systems .....	10
V. ALTERNATIVE PRIOR ART POWER DELIVERY METHODS DO NOT NEGATE OBVIOUSNESS .....	12
A. A Combination Does Not Become Less Obvious Because It Relies On A Reference Teaching Alternative Embodiments .....	13
B. The Prior Art Favored Phantom Power .....	13
C. Unused Pairs Often Were Not Available .....	14
VI. GROUND 1: HUNTER AND BULAN TEACH THE CHALLENGED CLAIMS .....	15

	<u>Page</u>
A. Hunter Teaches "Contacts Used to Carry BaseT Ethernet Communication Signals" .....	15
a. Hunter Teaches "Ethernet" .....	15
b. Hunter Teaches "Contacts Used to Carry BaseT Ethernet Communication Signals" .....	16
c. Hunter Teaches Phantom Powering Ethernet Terminal Devices .....	20
d. Hunter Figures 1 and 2 Teach Non-Limiting Embodiments .....	22
e. Hunter Teaches Sending Power And 10Base-T Data Over The Same Conductors.....	23
B. Bulan's Protective Device Improves Upon The Teachings Of Hunter .....	24
C. The Hunter-Bulan Combination Teaches Information Conveyed by Different DC Currents that Differentiates One TE From Another ..	25
D. The Hunter-Bulan Combination Teaches a "Detection Protocol" ...	26
VII. CONCLUSION.....	27

**TABLE OF AUTHORITIES**

**Page**

**Cases**

<i>In re Fulton</i> , 391 F.3d 1195, 1201 (Fed. Cir. 2004) .....	13
<i>KSR Int'l Co. v. Teleflex Inc.</i> , 550 U.S. 398, 418, 420-421 (2007) .....	5
<i>Muniauction, Inc. v. Thomson Corp.</i> , 532 F.3d 1318, 1327 (Fed. Cir. 2008) .....	10

## LIST OF EXHIBITS

<b><u>Number</u></b>	<b><u>Short Name</u></b>	<b><u>Description</u></b>
<b>1001</b>	'838 Patent	U.S. Patent 9,019,838 to Austermann, III et al.
<b>1002</b>	Crayford	Declaration of Ian Crayford in Support of Petition
<b>1003</b>	Hunter	WO 96/23377 to Hunter
<b>1004</b>	Bulan	U.S. Patent 5,089,927 to Bulan et al.
<b>1005</b>	Bloch	U.S. Patent 4,173,714 to Bloch et al.
<b>1006</b>	IEEE-1993	IEEE International Standard ISO/IEC 8802-3: 1993
<b>1007<sup>1</sup></b>	IEEE-1995 (part 1)	IEEE Standard 802.3u-1995
<b>1008</b>	IEEE-1995 (part 2)	IEEE Standard 802.3u-1995
<b>1009</b>	Huizinga	U.S. Patent 4,046,972 to Huizinga et al.
<b>1010</b>	Blacharski	Dan Blacharski, "Maximum Bandwith: A Serious Guide to High-Speed Networking", Que Corporation (1997)
<b>1011</b>	Katz	Randy H. Katz, "High Performance Network and Channel-Based Storage", Report UCB/CSD 91/650, September 1991
<b>1012</b>	Related Matters	List of Pending Cases Involving U.S. Patent 9,019,838

---

<sup>1</sup> IEEE Standard 802.3u-1995 has been separated into Exhibits 1007 and 1008 to comply with file size limitations for Exhibits. Exhibits 1007 and 1008 are continuously paginated, from 1-200, and 201-415, respectively.

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.