UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

JUNIPER NETWORKS INC., RUCKUS WIRELESS, INC., BROCADE COMMUNICATION SYSTEMS, INC., and NETGEAR, INC.,

Petitioners,

v.

CHRIMAR SYSTEMS, INC.,
Patent Owner.

Case Nos. IPR2016-01391, 2016-01399, and 2016-01397¹ U.S. Patent Nos. 8,942,107 B2, 8,902,760 B2, and 9,019,838 B2

SUPPLEMENTAL DECLARATION OF DR. VIJAY K. MADISETTI IN SUPPORT OF PATENT OWNER'S RESPONSE UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.64(b)(2)

¹ Ruckus Wireless, Inc., Brocade Communication Systems, Inc. and Netgear, Inc. filed a petition in (now terminated) IPR2017-00718, IPR2017-0719, and IPR2017-0720 who have been joined to the instant proceeding.





List of Exhibits

Exhibit			
No.	Description	Date	Identifier
2017	Memorandum Opinion and Order,	October 12,	
	Dkt. No. 96, filed in Chrimar	2016	
	Systems, Inc., et al. v. AMX,		
	LLC, Civil Action No. 6:13-cv-		
	881-JDL, Eastern District of		
	Texas		
2018	Memorandum Opinion and Order,	October 12,	
	Dkt. No. 105, filed in Chrimar	2016	
	Systems, Inc., et al. v. AMX,		
	LLC, Civil Action No. 6:13-cv-		
	881-JDL, Eastern District of		
	Texas		
2019	Memorandum Opinion and Order,	October 12,	
	Dkt. No. 108, filed in Chrimar	2016	
	Systems, Inc., et al. v. AMX,		
	LLC, Civil Action No. 6:13-cv-		
	881-JDL, Eastern District of		
2020	Texas	0 1 10	
2020	Memorandum Opinion and Order,	October 12,	
	Dkt. No. 122, filed in Chrimar	2016	
	Systems, Inc., et al. v. Alcatel-		
	Lucent, et al., Civil Action No.		
	6:15-cv-163-JDL, Eastern District		
2021	of Texas	0 . 1 . 10	
2021	Memorandum Opinion and Order,	October 12,	
	Dkt. No. 123, filed in Chrimar	2016	
	Systems, Inc., et al. v. Alcatel-		
	Lucent, et al., Civil Action No.		
	6:15-cv-163-JDL, Eastern District		
	of Texas		

Exhibit			
No.	Description	Date	Identifier
2035	Memorandum Opinion and Order,	October 12,	
	Dkt. No. 318, filed in Chrimar	2016	
	Systems, Inc., et al. v. AMX,		
	LLC, Civil Action No. 6:13-cv-		
	881-JDL, Eastern District of		
	Texas		
2036	Response to Office Action	October 12,	
	(Reexam Control No. 90/009,513)	2016	
	(June 15, 2010)		
2037	Notice of Intent to Issue Ex Parte	October 12,	
	Reexamination Certificate	2016	
	(Reexam Control No. 90/009,513)		
2020	(Nov. 22, 2010)		36.11
2038	Declaration of Dr. Vijay Madisetti		Madisetti Decl.
2039	Deposition transcript of Ian		¶Dep.
20.40	Crayford	11/5/1000	1000
2040	Slides titled "DTE Power via	11/5/1999	Anderson 1999
	MDI: System Requirements,"		slides
	presented on November 5, 1999		
	by Arlan Anderson of Nortel		
2041	Networks IEEE Power via MDI Task Force	3/7-8/2000	
2041		3/7-8/2000	
	Meeting Minutes from March 7-8, 2000		
2042	IEEE Power via MDI Task Force	5/24-25/2000	
2042	Meeting Minutes from May 24-25,	3/24-23/2000	
	2000		
2043	Slides titled "DTE Power over	5/24/2000	Dove slides
2073	MDI: Building Consensus,"	3,24,2000	Dove sinces
	presented on May 24, 2000 by		
	Ralph Andersson of TDK		
	Semiconductor, Daniel Dove of		
	Hewlett Packard, and Robert Muir		
	of Level One Communications		

Exhibit			
No.	Description	Date	Identifier
2044	Slides titled "Powering and	5/24/2000	Anderson 2000
	Discovery Alternatives,"		slides
	presented on May 24, 2000 by		
	Arlan Anderson of Nortel		
	Networks		
2045	IEEE Power via MDI Task Force	7/11-12/2000	
	Meeting Minutes from July 11-12,		
	2000		
2046	Slides titled "Technical Feasibility		Karam slides
	of Sending Common Mode Power		
	on the Signal Pairs," presented on		
	May 24, 2000 by Roger Karam		
	and Karl Nakamura of Cisco		
	Systems		
2047	E. Krol & E. Hoffman, Internet	May 1993	Krol RFC
	Engineering Task Force Network		
	Working Group, Request for		
	Comments: 1462, "FYI on 'What		
20.40	is the Internet?"		C D 1
2048	Declaration of Clyde Camp		Camp Decl.
2049	U.S. Patent No. 5,995,392		
2050	Dr. Vijay Madisetti CV		
2051	Not used		1 (1.12
2052	U.S. Pat. No. 7,061,142 B1		the '142 patent
2053	Supplemental Declaration of Dr.		
	Vijay Madisetti		
2054	Declaration of Steven Johnson		

I, Dr. Vijay Madisetti, declare as follows:

1. I am making this declaration at the request of Chrimar Systems, Inc. ("Chrimar" or "Patent Owner") in the matter of *Inter Partes* Review ("IPR") of U.S. Patent Nos. 8,942,107 ("the '107 Patent"), 8,155,012 ("the '012 Patent"), 8,902,760 ("the '760 Patent"), and 9,019,838 ("the '838 Patent").

Page 4 of 8



- 2. I have previously submitted a declaration in the IPR.
- 3. I am being compensated for my work in this matter at a rate of \$450/hour. I am also reimbursed for my reasonable expenses incurred in connection with my work on this proceeding. My compensation in no way depends on the outcome of this proceeding.
- 4. I understand that the Petitioners have filed objections to my declaration pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.64. Specifically, Petitioners have objected to paragraphs 45, 56, 93, 157, and 191 of my declaration.

5. Paragraph 45 states:

Both Grounds fail to take into consideration the pre-existing Ethernet environment, which is the environment for the claimed invention. (Pet., p. 3; Ex.1002, ¶45.) Petitioners' unstated assumption is that telephony devices could simply have been added into the Ethernet systems, but that is untrue. Using Petitioners' proposed telephone-based phantom-power combinations – unaltered, as proposed – in an existing Ethernet network would have burned out the existing Bob Smith terminations. The result would be impaired signal integrity and degraded propagation of Ethernet data. (See, e.g., Ex.2039 at 45:10-21.) That would be contrary to the stated motivation of not "using any bandwidth from [the Ethernet] channel." (Pet. at 53.) Damaging Bob Smith terminations would most certainly have reduced the available bandwidth.

6. Paragraph 56 states:

At the time of the invention of the patents, and for several years afterward, experts in the field were skeptical that operating power could be delivered to terminal equipment using the Ethernet data pairs (contacts 1, 2, 3, and 6) without disrupting the data propagation.

7. Paragraph 93 states:



Page 5 of 8

DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

