

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

JUNIPER NETWORKS, INC.,

Petitioner,

vs.

CHRIMAR SYSTEMS, INC.,

Patent Owner.

_____ /

Case IPR2016-01389

Patent 8,155,012 B2

Case IPR2016-01391

Patent 8,942,107 B2

Case IPR2016-01397

Patent 9,019,838 B2

Case IPR2016-01399

Patent 8,902,760 B2

_____ /

PAGE 1 TO 40

Conference Call,

Taken at 400 Renaissance Center, Suite 2160,

Detroit, Michigan,

Commencing at 10:39 a.m.,

Monday, July 31, 2017,

1 Before Jacquelyn S. Fleck, CSR 1352, RPR, CRR, RMR,

2

3 APPEARANCES:

4 (All attendees appeared telephonically.)

5

6 CHRISTOPHER J. TYSON

7 MATTHEW YUNGWIRTH

8 Duane Morris LLP

9 509 9th Street, N.W., Suite 1000

10 Washington, DC 20004-2166

11 (202) 776-7851

12 Appearing on behalf of Petitioner Juniper

13 Networks, Inc.

14

15 TALIN GORDNIA

16 MICHAEL FLEMING

17 Irell & Manella, LLP

18 1800 Avenue of the Stars, Suite 900

19 Los Angeles, California 90067-1010

20 (310) 203-7038

21 tgordnia@irell.com

22 Appearing on behalf of the Petitioner Juniper

23 Networks, Inc.

24

25

1 THOMAS A. LEWRY
2 FRANK A. ANGILERI
3 LISSI MOJICA
4 Brooks Kushman P.C.
5 1000 Town Center, 22nd Floor
6 Southfield, Michigan 48075
7 (248) 226-2833
8 tlewry@brookskushman.com
9 Appearing on behalf of the Patent Owner Chrimar
10 Systems, Inc.

11

12 PATENT ADMINISTRATIVE JUDGES:

13 Karl D. Easthom
14 Gregg I. Anderson
15 Robert J. Weinschenk

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Witness	Page
* CONFERENCE CALL *	5

INDEX TO EXHIBITS

(Exhibits not offered)	
Exhibit	Page

1 Detroit, Michigan

2 Monday, July 31, 2017

3 About 10:39 a.m.

4 * CONFERENCE CALL *

5 JUDGE EASTHOM: Why don't we begin,
6 Mr. Lewry, patent owner. We understand you sent an
7 e-mail to the Board asserting that the briefs in all of
8 these cases, 1389, 1391, 1397 and 1399, the reply
9 briefs filed by petitioner contain new arguments that
10 are not responsive to what you have in your patent
11 owner response. Is that correct?

12 MR. LEWRY: Yes. This is Tom Lewry. Yes,
13 that's correct. So --

14 JUDGE EASTHOM: Again, why don't you go ahead
15 and why don't you lay that out, tell us what you want,
16 and maybe give us an example of where that occurs in
17 one of these reply briefs.

18 Now, before we get started -- this is, again,
19 Judge Easthom speaking -- I just want to make sure that
20 it seems from your e-mail and from looking at these
21 briefs, that these issues are very similar. Is that
22 true? There may be some outliers, but for the most
23 part are your arguments directed to all four of these
24 as one central theme?

25 MR. LEWRY: That's correct. Yes. In terms

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.