UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

JUNIPER NETWORKS, INC.,	)U.S. Patent No. )8,942,107
Petitioner,	)Case IPR2016-01391 )
vs.	)U.S. Patent No. )8,155,012
CHRIMAR SYSTEMS, INC.,	)Case IPR2016-01389 )
Patent Owner.	)U.S. Patent No. _)8,902,760
RUCKUS WIRELESS, INC., BROCADE	)Case IPR2016-01399 )
COMMUNICATION SYSTEMS, INC., AND NETGEAR, INC.,	)U.S. Patent No. )8,942,107 )Case IPR2017-00718
Petitioner,	) )U.S. Patent No. )8,155,012
vs.	)Case IPR2017-00790 )
CHRIMAR SYSTEMS, INC.,	)U.S. Patent No. )8,902,760
Patent Owner.	)Case IPR2017-00719 _)

DEPOSITION OF IAN CRAYFORD

Los Angeles, California

Friday, July 21, 2017

VOLUME I

Reported by: RENEE D. ZEPEZAUER, RPR, CRR CSR No. 6275 JOB No. 2663279

PAGES 1 - 185

DOCKET

Page 35

says it is a primary objective -- sorry. It is a 1 2 primary object of the present invention to provide power 3 subsystems for providing either phantom or third pair powered to equipment coupled to a local area network 4 5 included, but not limited to, Ethernet, Token Ring, ATM, 6 and isoEthernet. BY MR. LEWRY: 7 8 I'm sorry. Where were you reading from? Q 9 I apologize, Hunter 19 -- page 19, 2 through 8. Α Okay. Great. And just so the record's clear, 10 0 11 the terms "Ethernet, Token Ring," and "isoEthernet" all 12 have circle R's next to their names; right?

A Hunter I believe is consistent in putting registered trademarks on a whole bunch of things, yes.
Q So I've had the court reporter mark a document, although I'm going to have her mark it with a different number. I'm going to have her mark it with 2050.

18 (Exhibit 2050 was marked for identification by19 the court reporter and is attached hereto.)

20 THE WITNESS: I have in front of me something 21 that says it's -- I believe an 802.9f draft standard. 22 BY MR. LEWRY:

23 Q Yes.

DOCKF

MS. GORDNIA: I'm going to object to the relevance, foundation, scope, and the authenticity of

**R M** Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>.

Page 36

1 the document that has been marked as 2050.

2 BY MR. LEWRY:

3 Q Okay. Are you familiar with the 802.9f work of 4 the IEEE?

5 A No, I'm not.

6 Q Were you aware that the IEEE in the context of 7 802.9 had put together a draft of standards for putting 8 phantom power or third pair power over using the 802.9 9 standard?

MS. GORDNIA: Objection to form. Scope. And relevance and foundation.

12 THE WITNESS: The only reference I'm aware of 13 regarding phantom power is in the 1032 citation, and 14 it's very, very limited, and it basically says it's not 15 con-- it's allowable, both phantom and third pair, but 16 it's not part of the standard at that point.

I wasn't aware of when or if phantom powering had been further worked on in the standards. So I'm not aware of any additional work.

20 BY MR. LEWRY:

DOCKF

Q Okay. All right. That's fine. If you will turn with me to page 7, if you look at the bottom of the document, there's page numbers. There's a page number 7. So this is Exhibit 2050.

25 A Roman numerals?

**R M** Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>.

1	MR. LEWRY: You need the question repeated?	
2	THE REPORTER: Yes, please.	
3	MR. LEWRY: Sure.	
4	Q Sure. If the resistance of a particular piece	
5	of equipment is a fixed value, then power becomes just a	
6	function of the voltage; correct?	
7	MS. GORDNIA: Objection to form. And	
8	foundation and relevance. Hypothetical.	
9	THE WITNESS: Mathematically that would appear	
10	to be correct. In a hypothetical situation I don't	
11	know I don't understand under which conditions you're	
12	asking me. So if you can give me an example of some	
13	clarification.	
14	4 BY MR. LEWRY:	
15	Q That's all I need. Why don't we take a break.	
16	Talin, if you could get those copies. I think	
17	we're getting very close to being done.	
18	A Sure.	
19	(Recess.)	
20	(Exhibit 2054 was marked for identification by	
21	the court reporter and is attached hereto.)	
22	BY MR. LEWRY:	
23	Q Mr. Crayford, I think you have in front of you	
24	what we've marked as Exhibit 254, and the top of it, it	
25	says "EIA/TIA Bulletin." Do you see that at the very	

DOCKET ALARM Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>.

Page 172

1 top? 2 I see that, yes. Α 3 MS. GORDNIA: So before we go further, Tom, I just want to object to this document on the grounds of 4 5 authenticity, relevance, scope, foundation. This is the 6 first time the document is making an appearance at these 7 TPRs. BY MR. LEWRY: 8 9 0 And then it says below that, it says, "Technical Systems Bulletin Additional Cable 10 11 Specifications for Unshielded Twisted Pair Cables." Do 12 you see that? 13 Α Yes, I see that. 14 0 Have you seen this document before? I mean obviously not this physical copy, but this specification 15 before? 16 17 Α No, I have not. 18 0 There's a date on the front of it. It says November, 1991. Do you see that? 19 20 Α I see the date, yes. 21 0 Okay. If you turn to the page that's labeled 22 page 1 at the top, upper right-hand side. It's the page 23 after -- it says, "Do not violate the law by making 24 copies of this." 25 MS. GORDNIA: Thanks, Tom.