

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

JUNIPER NETWORKS, INC.,
Petitioner,

v.

CHRIMAR SYSTEMS, INC.,
Patent Owner.

Case IPR2016-01389
Patent 8,155,012 B2
Case IPR2016-01391
Patent 8,942,107 B2
Case IPR2016-01397
Patent 9,019,838 B2
Case IPR2016-01399
Patent 8,902,760 B2¹

Before KARL D. EASTHOM, GREGG I. ANDERSON, and
ROBERT J. WEINSCHENK, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

ANDERSON, *Administrative Patent Judge*.

DECISION
Motion for *Pro Hac Vice* Admission
Jonathan Kagan
37 C.F.R. § 42.10

¹ One Decision issues in the above-listed proceedings. The parties are not authorized to employ this heading style.

IPR2016-01389 Patent 8,155,012 B2
IPR2016-01391 Patent 8,942,107 B2
IPR2016-01397 Patent 9,019,838 B2
IPR2016-01399 Patent 8,902,760 B2

I. INTRODUCTION

Petitioner filed a motion for *pro hac vice* admission of Jonathan Kagan in the above-listed proceedings. *See, e.g.*, IPR2016-01391, Paper 21 (“Motion” or “Mot.”). Patent Owner does not oppose the Motion. Mot. 3. For the following reasons, the Motion is *granted*.

II. ANALYSIS

Counsel may be admitted *pro hac vice* upon a showing of good cause, subject to the condition that lead counsel is a registered practitioner. 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(c). Specifically, if lead counsel is a registered practitioner, back-up counsel may be permitted to appear *pro hac vice* “upon showing that counsel is an experienced litigating attorney and has an established familiarity with the subject matter at issue in the proceeding.” *Id.* For the reasons set forth in the Motion and the accompanying declaration of Mr. Kagan (*see, e.g.*, IPR2016-01391, Ex. 1019), we find that good cause exists to admit Mr. Kagan *pro hac vice* in the above-listed proceedings.

III. ORDER

In consideration of the foregoing, it is hereby:

ORDERED that the Motion is *granted*, and Jonathan Kagan is authorized to represent Petitioner as back-up counsel in the above-listed proceedings;

FURTHER ORDERED that a registered practitioner will continue to represent Petitioner as lead counsel in the above-listed proceedings;

FURTHER ORDERED that Mr. Kagan is to comply with the Board’s Rules of Practice for Trials set forth in Part 42 of Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, and the Office Patent Trial Practice Guide, and is subject to the

IPR2016-01389 Patent 8,155,012 B2
IPR2016-01391 Patent 8,942,107 B2
IPR2016-01397 Patent 9,019,838 B2
IPR2016-01399 Patent 8,902,760 B2

USPTO's Rules of Professional Conduct set forth in 37 C.F.R. §§ 11.101 *et seq.*, and to the USPTO's disciplinary jurisdiction under 37 C.F.R. § 11.19(a); and

FURTHER ORDERED that a copy of this order will be placed in each of the above captioned cases.

PETITIONER:

Nima Hefazi
Michael Fleming
Jonathan Kagan
Talin Gordnia
IRELL & MANELLA, LLP
nhefazi@irell.com
mfleming@irell.com
jkagan@irell.com
tgordnia@irell.com

PATENT OWNER:

Frank A. Angileri
Thomas A. Lewry
Marc Lorelli
Christopher C. Smith
BROOKS KUSHMAN P.C.
CHRMCO110IPR2@brookskushman.com

Richard W. Hoffmann
REISING ETHINGTON P.C.
hoffmann@reising.com