## Washington, D.C.

		Page 1
1	UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE	
2	BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND AF	PPEAL BOARD
3		
4		
5	TAIWAN SEMICONDUCTOR :	
6	MANUFACTURING COMPANY, LTD., :	
7	Petitioner, :	
8	v. : IF	PR2016-01378
9	GODO KAISHA IP BRIDGE 1, : Pa	itent No.
10	Patent Owner. : 6,	197,696
11	:	
12	The telephonic conference in the	
13	above-entitled matter convened at 2:02 p.m. on	
14	Wednesday, November 16, 2016, and the proceedings	
15	being taken down by stenotype and transcribed by	
16	Catherine B. Crump, a Notary Public in and for the	
17	District of Columbia.	
18	BEFORE:	
19	HON. JENNIFER CHAGNON	
20	HON. JUSTIN ARBES	
21	HON. MICHAEL FITZPATRICK	
22	Patent Trial and Appeal Board Judges	

Alderson Court Reporting

1-800-FOR-DEPO

www.aldersonreporting.com



### Washington, D.C.

```
Page 2
     APPEARANCES:
 2
     On behalf of Petitioner:
    DARREN M. JIRON, ESQ.
 3
 4
     J. PRESTON LONG, ESQ.
     JOSHUA GOLDBERG, ESQ.
 5
     Finnegan, Henderson, Farabow, Garrett & Dunner, LLP
 6
 7
     901 New York Avenue, N.W.
8
     Washington, D.C. 20001
    (202) 408-4000
9
10
11
    On behalf of Patent Owner:
12
    J. STEVEN BAUGHMAN, ESQ.
    ANDREW N. THOMASES, ESQ.
13
14
    JORDAN ROSSEN, ESQ.
15
    Ropes & Gray, LLP
     2099 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
16
17
    Washington, D.C. 20006
18
    (202) 508-4606
19
20
21
22
```



Page 3

- 1 PROCEEDINGS
- 2 JUDGE CHAGNON: Good afternoon. This is
- 3 Judge Chagnon. I also have Judges Arbes and
- 4 Fitzpatrick on the line with me today.
- 5 This a conference call for IPR 2016-01376,
- 6 01377, 01378, and 01379.
- 7 I just want to check whether we have someone
- 8 from both parties on the line today. Who do we have
- 9 for Petitioner?
- 10 MR. JIRON: Hi, Your Honor. This is Darren
- 11 Jiron. I'm with the law firm of Finnegan,
- 12 representing TSMC, the Petitioner, and with me today
- 13 are my colleagues, J.P. Long and Josh Goldberg.
- MR. BAUGHMAN: Hi, Your Honor. For Patent
- 15 Owner, it's Steve Baughman from Ropes & Gray, and my
- 16 colleagues Andrew Thomases and Jordan Rossen are on
- 17 the line.
- JUDGE CHAGNON: Thank you, and do we have a
- 19 court reporter on the line?
- 20 COURT REPORTER: Yes. My name is Catherine
- 21 Crump.
- JUDGE CHAGNON: All right. So I'll just ask



Page 4

- 1 whichever party requested the court reporter to be on
- 2 line today to just go ahead and file the transcript
- 3 as an exhibit after the call.
- 4 MR. JIRON: We will, Your Honor. Thank you.
- JUDGE CHAGNON: Thank you. I'm sorry. Who
- 6 was that?
- 7 MR. JIRON: That was Darren Jiron for
- 8 Petitioner.
- 9 JUDGE CHAGNON: Thank you so much.
- 10 All right. So I believe Petitioner requested
- 11 this call today. So we'll hear from Petitioner
- 12 first.
- MR. JIRON: Thank you, Your Honor. This is
- 14 Darren Jiron and we requested the call and thank you
- 15 for accommodating the call today.
- There were two issues that we wanted to
- 17 address. The first one related to a request for
- 18 leave to file a motion to correct a typographical
- 19 error in an exhibit, and the second was a motion for
- 20 leave to file a reply to the Patent Owner Preliminary
- 21 Response.
- 22 If it would be okay with Your Honor, I could



Page 5

- 1 proceed with the typographical error issue first.
- 2 JUDGE CHAGNON: Okay. That works.
- 3 MR. JIRON: Okay. So the typographical error
- 4 that occurred is in Exhibit 1002 and that appeared in
- 5 the same numbered exhibit in each one of the four IPR
- 6 proceedings.
- 7 Specifically, the typographical error is in
- 8 Appendix B of Exhibit 1002, and Appendix B starts at
- 9 page 126 of the PDF for Exhibit 1002. Specifically
- 10 on page B-10 of Appendix B, there was a sentence that
- 11 appears in the middle of the cell of the claim chart,
- 12 and the sentence currently reads:
- "A person of ordinary skill in the art would
- 14 have understood that Etching Layer 12 under such
- 15 circumstances concurrently etches Layer 58, because
- 16 the two layers have similar etched properties."
- 17 And the typographical error that occurred in
- 18 this sentence was with the number 58. Specifically,
- 19 No. 58 should have been No. 62 to refer to the
- 20 appropriate layer that was being addressed.
- 21 As evidence that it was a typographical
- 22 error, one could look to other areas of the same



# DOCKET

# Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

# **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

# **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

#### API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

#### **LAW FIRMS**

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

#### **FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS**

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

### **E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS**

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

