

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

TAIWAN SEMICONDUCTOR MANUFACTURING COMPANY, LTD.,  
Petitioner,

v.

GODO KAISHA IP BRIDGE 1,  
Patent Owner.

---

Case No. IPR2016-01378  
Patent Number 6,197,696

Before JUSTIN T. ARBES, MICHAEL J. FITZPATRICK, and  
JENNIFER MEYER CHAGNON, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

**DECLARATION OF ALEXANDER GLEW, Ph.D.**

IP Bridge Exhibit 2009

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
I. Qualifications.....	1
II. Materials Considered.....	8
III. Level of Ordinary Skill for the '696 Patent.....	10
IV. Relevant Legal Standards .....	13
A. Anticipation.....	13
B. Obviousness.....	14
C. Priority Date of a Patent.....	18
D. Standard for Claim Construction in <i>Inter Partes Review</i> .....	19
V. Background of the '696 Patent .....	20
VI. Claim Construction.....	23
A. Proper construction of “using the [first resist pattern/second resist pattern and the mask pattern/patterned third insulating film] as a mask” (Claim 13) .....	23
1. The exclusion of using two layers having flush edges as a mask is inconsistent with the understanding of a skilled person in view of the disclosure of the '696 patent and the '371 application ....	27
2. The exclusion of using two layers having flush edges as a mask is inconsistent with the plain and ordinary meaning in view of the disclosure of the '696 patent and the '371 application as understood by a person of ordinary skill in the art. ....	34
VII. Grill Is Not Prior Art to the '696 Patent.....	40
A. Claim 13 of the '696 Patent is fully supported by the foreign priority document and is entitled to the claimed March 28, 1998 priority date .....	42
1. Claim 13 (Preamble) – “A method for forming an interconnection structure, comprising the steps of:” .....	42
2. Claim 13 (step a) – “forming a first insulating film over lower-level metal interconnects;” .....	43
3. Claim 13 (step b) – “forming a second insulating film, having a different composition than that of the first insulating film, over the first insulating film;” .....	43

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

4. Claim 13 (step c) – “forming a third insulating film, having a different composition than that of the second insulating film, over the second insulating film;”	44
5. Claim 13 (step d) – “forming a thin film over the third insulating film;”	45
6. Claim 13 (step e) – “forming a first resist pattern on the thin film, the first resist pattern having openings for forming wiring grooves;”	45
7. Claim 13 (step f) – “etching the thin film using the first resist pattern as a mask, thereby forming a mask pattern out of the thin film to have the openings for forming wiring grooves;”	46
8. Claim 13 (step g) – “removing the first resist pattern and then forming a second resist pattern on the third insulating film and the mask pattern, the second resist pattern having openings for forming contact holes;”	47
9. Claim 13 (step h) – “dry-etching the third insulating film using the second resist pattern and the mask pattern as a mask, thereby patterning the third insulating film to have the openings for forming contact holes;”	48
10. Claim 13 (step i) – “dry-etching the second insulating film using the patterned third insulating film as a mask, thereby patterning the second insulating film to have the openings for forming contact holes;”	52
11. Claim 13 (step j)– “dry-etching the patterned third insulating film and the first insulating film using the mask pattern and the patterned second insulating film as respective masks, thereby forming wiring grooves and contact holes in the patterned third insulating film and the first insulating film, respectively;”	55
12. Claim 13 (step k) – “filling in the wiring grooves and the contact holes with a metal film, thereby forming upper-level metal interconnects and contacts connecting the lower- and upper-level metal interconnects together.”	56
B. Petitioner has not shown and cannot show that Grill is entitled to the priority date of the Grill Provisional	58
1. Grill includes multiple statements that were added to and not disclosed in Grill’s provisional	60

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

2. Dr. Smith has failed to show that the '628 provides written description support for the claims of Grill .....	62
VIII. Overview of Petitioner's Prior Art References .....	75
A. Grill.....	75
B. Aoyama.....	75
IX. The Combination of Grill and Aoyama is not obvious .....	76
A. Petitioner's proposed modification of Grill eliminates Grill's use of dual-relief patterns to form dual-relief cavities .....	78
B. Petitioner's proposed modifications of Grill would eliminate Grill's control over its wiring dimensions.....	85
C. Grill teaches away from incorporating Aoyama's disclosures .....	98
1. Grill teaches away from incorporating processes that expose carbon based compounds to wet etching or photoresist stripping, and ashing steps.....	99
2. Grill teaches away from incorporating processes that have a thick resist over via holes .....	104
X. Conclusion .....	107

I, Dr. Alexander Glew, Ph.D., hereby declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America:

**I. Qualifications**

1. I am currently President of Glew Engineering Consulting, Inc. (“Glew Engineering”) of Mountain View, California. Glew Engineering provides consulting and engineering services relating to various technology or engineering areas, including CVD technology. My responsibilities at Glew Engineering include acting as a consultant and as a principal managing the company.<sup>1</sup>

2. I received a Bachelors of Science degree in Mechanical Engineering from University of California, Berkeley in 1985; a Master of Science degree in Mechanical Engineering from University of California, Berkeley in 1987; a Master of Science in Materials Science and Engineering from Stanford University in 1995. I later also obtained a Doctor of Philosophy degree in Materials Science and Engineering from Stanford University in 2003. A copy of my Curriculum Vitae is attached to this report as **Attachment A**.

---

<sup>1</sup> All emphasis and annotations added unless otherwise noted. A list of exhibits considered is attached to this Declaration as **Attachment B**. Citations to the exhibits in this declaration are exemplary and are not meant to be limiting.

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.