

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

TAIWAN SEMICONDUCTOR MANUFACTURING COMPANY, LTD.,
Petitioner,

v.

GODO KAISHA IP BRIDGE 1,
Patent Owner.

Case IPR2016-01378
Patent 6,197,696 B1

Before JUSTIN T. ARBES, MICHAEL J. FITZPATRICK, and
JENNIFER MEYER CHAGNON, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

CHAGNON, *Administrative Patent Judge*.

DECISION
Institution of *Inter Partes* Review
37 C.F.R. § 42.108

I. INTRODUCTION

Taiwan Semiconductor Manufacturing Company, Ltd. (“Petitioner”) filed a Petition for *inter partes* review of claims 13 and 14 (“the challenged claims”) of U.S. Patent No. 6,197,696 B1 (Ex. 1001, “the ’696 patent”). Paper 2 (“Pet.”). Godo Kaisha IP Bridge 1 (“Patent Owner”) filed a Preliminary Response to the Petition. Paper 6 (“Prelim. Resp.”). Pursuant to our authorization (Paper 7), Petitioner filed a Reply (Paper 9, “Reply”) and Patent Owner filed a Sur-Reply (Paper 10, “Sur-Reply”), directed to the issue of the parties’ respective burdens of production if disputes arise prior to institution as to whether a challenged claim or cited prior art is entitled to the benefit of an earlier priority date.

We have authority to determine whether to institute *inter partes* review. *See* 35 U.S.C. § 314(b); 37 C.F.R. § 42.4(a). Upon consideration of the Petition, the Preliminary Response, Petitioner’s Reply, and Patent Owner’s Sur-Reply, and for the reasons explained below, we determine that the information presented shows a reasonable likelihood that Petitioner would prevail on at least one asserted ground with respect to all of the challenged claims. *See* 35 U.S.C. § 314(a). Accordingly, we institute trial as to claims 13 and 14 of the ’696 patent.

A. Related Proceedings

The parties indicate that the ’696 patent has been asserted in *Godo Kaisha IP Bridge 1 v. Broadcom Ltd.*, No. 2-16-cv-00134 (E.D. Tex. 2016). Paper 4, 2; Pet. 68. Petitioner has filed three additional petitions challenging claims of the ’696 patent—namely, in IPR2016-01376, IPR2016-01377, and IPR2016-01379. Pet. 67–68; Paper 4, 2–3.

B. The Applied References and Evidence

Petitioner relies on the following references.

| Reference | Date | Exhibit |
|--------------------------------------|---------------|----------|
| U.S. Patent No. 6,140,226 (“Grill”) | Oct. 31, 2000 | Ex. 1005 |
| U.S. Patent No. 5,592,024 (“Aoyama”) | Jan. 7, 1997 | Ex. 1018 |
| U.S. Patent No. 5,920,790 (“Wetzel”) | July 6, 1999 | Ex. 1019 |

Petitioner further relies on the Declaration of Bruce W. Smith, Ph.D. (Ex. 1002, “Smith Declaration”).

C. The Asserted Grounds

Petitioner sets forth its challenges to claims 13 and 14 as follows.

Pet. 31–67.

| Reference(s) | Basis | Claim(s) Challenged |
|---------------------------|----------|---------------------|
| Grill | § 102(e) | 13, 14 |
| Grill and Aoyama | § 103 | 13, 14 |
| Grill and Wetzel | § 103 | 14 |
| Grill, Aoyama, and Wetzel | § 103 | 14 |

D. The ’696 Patent

The ’696 patent relates to a “method for forming an interconnection structure in a semiconductor integrated circuit.” Ex. 1001, 1:5–7.

According to the ’696 patent, “[a]n object of the present invention is providing a method for forming an interconnection structure in which an insulating film with a low dielectric constant can be formed by an ordinary resist application process.” *Id.* at 3:2–5.

The ’696 patent describes various embodiments of methods of forming an interconnection structure. *Id.* at Abstract. The manufacturing

process for a modified example of the sixth embodiment is depicted in Figures 33(a)–(c), 34(a)–(c), and 35(a)–(c). *Id.* at 29:62–32:9.

Figure 33(a) of the '696 patent is reproduced below.




Figure 33(a), reproduced above, is a cross-sectional view of a partially-formed interconnection structure during a process step for forming the same. Ex. 1001, 9:60–63. As seen in Figure 33(a), silicon nitride film 652 is formed over first metal interconnects 651, which are formed on semiconductor substrate 650. *Id.* at 30:1–3. First organic film 653, silicon dioxide film 654, second organic film 655, and titanium nitride film 656 are deposited sequentially. *Id.* at 30:6–16.

Figure 33(b) of the '696 patent, illustrating a subsequent step in the method of this embodiment, is reproduced below.




Figure 33(b), reproduced above, is a cross-sectional view of a partially-formed interconnection structure during a process step for forming the same. *Id.* at 9:60–63. In this step, first resist pattern 657 is formed on titanium nitride film 656. *Id.* at 30:36–37. First resist pattern 657 includes openings for forming wiring grooves of the interconnection structure. *Id.*

Figure 33(c) of the '696 patent, illustrating a subsequent step in the method of this embodiment, is reproduced below.




Figure 33(c), reproduced above, is a cross-sectional view of a partially-formed interconnection structure during a process step for forming the same. Ex. 1001, 9:60–63. In this step, titanium nitride film 656 is dry-etched using first resist pattern 657 as a mask, thereby forming mask pattern 658. *Id.* at 30:38–40.

Figure 34(a) of the '696 patent, illustrating a subsequent step in the method of this embodiment, is reproduced below.




Figure 34(a), reproduced above, is a cross-sectional view of a partially-formed interconnection structure during a process step for forming the same. *Id.* at 9:64–67. In this step, first resist pattern 657 is removed. *Id.* at 30:44–45.

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.