

1 UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
 2 BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD
 3
 4 -----
 5 TAIWAN SEMICONDUCTOR :
 6 MANUFACTURING COMPANY, LTD., :
 7 Petitioner, :
 8 v. : IPR2016-01377
 9 GODO KAISHA IP BRIDGE 1, : Patent No.
 10 Patent Owner. : 6,197,696
 11 -----

12 The telephonic conference in the
 13 above-entitled matter convened at 2:02 p.m. on
 14 Wednesday, November 16, 2016, and the proceedings
 15 being taken down by stenotype and transcribed by
 16 Catherine B. Crump, a Notary Public in and for the
 17 District of Columbia.

18 BEFORE:
 19 HON. JENNIFER CHAGNON
 20 HON. JUSTIN ARBES
 21 HON. MICHAEL FITZPATRICK
 22 Patent Trial and Appeal Board Judges

Washington, D.C.

Page 2

1 APPEARANCES:

2 On behalf of Petitioner:

3 DARREN M. JIRON, ESQ.

4 J. PRESTON LONG, ESQ.

5 JOSHUA GOLDBERG, ESQ.

6 Finnegan, Henderson, Farabow, Garrett & Dunner, LLP

7 901 New York Avenue, N.W.

8 Washington, D.C. 20001

9 (202) 408-4000

10

11 On behalf of Patent Owner:

12 J. STEVEN BAUGHMAN, ESQ.

13 ANDREW N. THOMASES, ESQ.

14 JORDAN ROSSEN, ESQ.

15 Ropes & Gray, LLP

16 2099 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.

17 Washington, D.C. 20006

18 (202) 508-4606

19

20

21

22

Washington, D.C.

Page 3

1 P R O C E E D I N G S

2 JUDGE CHAGNON: Good afternoon. This is
3 Judge Chagnon. I also have Judges Arbes and
4 Fitzpatrick on the line with me today.

5 This a conference call for IPR 2016-01376,
6 01377, 01378, and 01379.

7 I just want to check whether we have someone
8 from both parties on the line today. Who do we have
9 for Petitioner?

10 MR. JIRON: Hi, Your Honor. This is Darren
11 Jiron. I'm with the law firm of Finnegan,
12 representing TSMC, the Petitioner, and with me today
13 are my colleagues, J.P. Long and Josh Goldberg.

14 MR. BAUGHMAN: Hi, Your Honor. For Patent
15 Owner, it's Steve Baughman from Ropes & Gray, and my
16 colleagues Andrew Thomases and Jordan Rossen are on
17 the line.

18 JUDGE CHAGNON: Thank you, and do we have a
19 court reporter on the line?

20 COURT REPORTER: Yes. My name is Catherine
21 Crump.

22 JUDGE CHAGNON: All right. So I'll just ask

1 whichever party requested the court reporter to be on
2 line today to just go ahead and file the transcript
3 as an exhibit after the call.

4 MR. JIRON: We will, Your Honor. Thank you.

5 JUDGE CHAGNON: Thank you. I'm sorry. Who
6 was that?

7 MR. JIRON: That was Darren Jiron for
8 Petitioner.

9 JUDGE CHAGNON: Thank you so much.

10 All right. So I believe Petitioner requested
11 this call today. So we'll hear from Petitioner
12 first.

13 MR. JIRON: Thank you, Your Honor. This is
14 Darren Jiron and we requested the call and thank you
15 for accommodating the call today.

16 There were two issues that we wanted to
17 address. The first one related to a request for
18 leave to file a motion to correct a typographical
19 error in an exhibit, and the second was a motion for
20 leave to file a reply to the Patent Owner Preliminary
21 Response.

22 If it would be okay with Your Honor, I could

1 proceed with the typographical error issue first.

2 JUDGE CHAGNON: Okay. That works.

3 MR. JIRON: Okay. So the typographical error
4 that occurred is in Exhibit 1002 and that appeared in
5 the same numbered exhibit in each one of the four IPR
6 proceedings.

7 Specifically, the typographical error is in
8 Appendix B of Exhibit 1002, and Appendix B starts at
9 page 126 of the PDF for Exhibit 1002. Specifically
10 on page B-10 of Appendix B, there was a sentence that
11 appears in the middle of the cell of the claim chart,
12 and the sentence currently reads:

13 "A person of ordinary skill in the art would
14 have understood that Etching Layer 12 under such
15 circumstances concurrently etches Layer 58, because
16 the two layers have similar etched properties."

17 And the typographical error that occurred in
18 this sentence was with the number 58. Specifically,
19 No. 58 should have been No. 62 to refer to the
20 appropriate layer that was being addressed.

21 As evidence that it was a typographical
22 error, one could look to other areas of the same

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.