

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

Taiwan Semiconductor Manufacturing Company, Ltd.

Petitioner

v.

Godo Kaisha IP Bridge 1

Patent Owner

Patent No. 6,197,696
Filing Date: March 23, 1999
Issue Date: March 6, 2001

Title: METHOD FOR FORMING INTERCONNECTION STRUCTURE

Inter Partes Review No. IPR2016-01377

**PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW
UNDER 35 U.S.C. §§ 311-319 AND 37 C.F.R. § 42.100 *ET SEQ.***

Table of Contents

I.	Preliminary Statement.....	1
II.	Technological Background	1
	A. Integrated Circuits and Interconnections	1
	B. Semiconductor Etching and Photolithography	4
III.	The '696 Patent	7
	A. Description of the Challenged Claims	7
	B. Examination of the '696 Patent	21
	C. The Challenged Claims Are Not Entitled to the Benefit of Foreign Priority.....	22
	1. The first, second, and fourth embodiments of the '371 application do not disclose step h) of claim 10.....	23
	2. The third, variant of the third, and fourth embodiments do not disclose step i) of claim 10	25
	3. The third, variant of the third, and fourth embodiments do not disclose step j) of claim 10.....	27
	4. No embodiments of the '371 application disclose step l) of claim 10.....	29
IV.	Statement of Precise Relief Requested for Each Claim Challenged	30
	A. Claims for Which Review is Requested	30
	B. Statutory Grounds of Challenge	30
	C. Level of Ordinary Skill	30
	D. Claim Construction	30

V.	Claims 10–12 of the '696 Patent Are Unpatentable Over the Prior Art.....	31
A.	Disclosures of the Prior Art.....	31
1.	<i>Grill</i> (U.S. Patent No. 6,140,226).....	31
2.	<i>Aoyama</i> (U.S. Patent No. 5,592,024)	37
3.	A POSITA would have combined teachings of <i>Grill</i> and <i>Aoyama</i>	39
B.	<i>Grill</i> Renders Claims 10 and 11 Obvious	42
1.	Claim 10 is obvious in view of <i>Grill</i>	43
2.	Claim 11 is obvious in view of <i>Grill</i>	59
C.	The <i>Grill-Aoyama</i> Combination Renders Claims 10–12 Obvious	59
1.	Claim 10 is obvious in view of the <i>Grill-Aoyama</i> combination	60
2.	Claim 11 is obvious in view of the <i>Grill-Aoyama</i> combination	73
3.	Claim 12 is obvious in view of the <i>Grill-Aoyama</i> combination	74
D.	Alternative Combinations for Claim 11	78
1.	Disclosure of <i>Wetzel</i> (U.S. Patent No. 5,920,790).....	78
2.	A POSITA would have combined teachings of <i>Wetzel</i> with teachings of <i>Grill</i>	79
3.	A POSITA would have combined teachings of <i>Wetzel</i> with teachings of <i>Grill</i> and <i>Aoyama</i>	80
4.	Claim 11 is obvious in view of the <i>Grill-Wetzel</i> combination	81

5.	Claim 11 is obvious in view of the <i>Grill-Aoyama-Wetzel</i> combination	82
VI.	Mandatory Notices Under 37 C.F.R. §42.8.....	82
A.	Real Parties-In-Interest	82
B.	Related Matters.....	82
C.	Lead and Back-Up Counsel	84
D.	Service Information	84
VII.	Certification Under 37 C.F.R. §42.24(d).....	85
VIII.	Payment of Fees	85
IX.	Time for Filing Petition	85
X.	Grounds for Standing.....	85
XI.	Conclusion	86

Table of Authorities

Cases

<i>Dynamic Drinkware, LLC v. Nat’l Graphics, Inc.</i> , 800 F.3d 1375 (Fed. Cir. 2015)	31
<i>Fiers v. Revel</i> , 984 F.2d 1164 (Fed. Cir. 1993)	22
<i>In re Ziegler</i> , 992 F.2d 1197 (Fed. Cir. 1993)	23
<i>Phillips v. AWH Corp.</i> , 415 F.3d 1303 (Fed. Cir. 2005) (en banc)	30
<i>Upsher-Smith Labs., Inc. v. PamLab L.L.C.</i> , 412 F.3d 1319 (Fed. Cir. 2005)	43

Statutes

35 U.S.C. §102(e)	31, 78
35 U.S.C. §103	30, 43
35 U.S.C. §321	30

Other Authorities

<i>Ariosa Diagnostics, Inc. v. Illumina, Inc.</i> , IPR2014-01093, Paper 69 (Jan. 7, 2016)	31, 32
<i>Core Survival, Inc. v. S&S Precision, LLC</i> , PGR2015-00022, Paper 8 (Feb. 19, 2016).....	22

Rules

37 C.F.R. §42.100(b)	31
37 C.F.R. §42.101(b)	85
37 C.F.R. §42.103(a)	85
37 C.F.R. §42.104(a)	85
37 C.F.R. §42.108.....	22
37 C.F.R. §42.15(a)	85

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.