

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

APPLE INC.,  
Petitioner,

v.

IMMERSION CORPORATION,  
Patent Owner.

---

Case IPR2016-01603 (Patent 8,581,710 B2)  
Case IPR2016-01372 (Patent 8,659,571 B2)  
Case IPR2016-01381 (Patent 8,773,356 B2)<sup>1</sup>

---

Before MICHAEL R. ZECHER, BRYAN F. MOORE, NEIL T. POWELL,  
and MINN CHUNG, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

CHUNG, *Administrative Patent Judge*.

DECISION

Granting Petitioner's Unopposed Motions for Admission *Pro Hac Vice* of  
Robert Williams  
*37 C.F.R. § 42.10(c)*

---

<sup>1</sup> This Decision addresses an issue that is identical in all three cases. We, therefore, exercise our discretion to issue one Decision to be filed in each case. The parties, however, are not authorized to use this style heading in any subsequent papers.

IPR2016-01603 (Patent 8,581,710 B2)  
IPR2016-01372 (Patent 8,659,571 B2)  
IPR2016-01381 (Patent 8,773,356 B2)

Petitioner, Apple Inc. (“Petitioner”), filed unopposed Motions requesting *pro hac vice* admission of Robert Williams in these proceedings, and provided a Declaration from Mr. Williams in support of its request. *See* Paper 14; Ex. 1017.<sup>2</sup> Based on the facts set forth in the Motions and Declaration, we determine that Petitioner has established good cause for *pro hac vice* admission of Mr. Williams. *See* 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(c); *Unified Patents, Inc. v. Parallel Iron, LLC*, Case IPR2013-00639, slip op. at 3–4 (PTAB Oct. 15, 2013) (Paper 7) (setting forth the requirements for *pro hac vice* admission).

In consideration of the foregoing, it is hereby

ORDERED that Petitioner’s Motions for *pro hac vice* admission of Robert Williams are *granted*, and Mr. Williams is authorized to represent Petitioner as back-up counsel in these proceedings only;

FURTHER ORDERED that Petitioner is to continue to have a registered practitioner represent it as lead counsel in these proceedings;

FURTHER ORDERED that Mr. Williams is to comply with the Office Patent Trial Practice Guide and the Board’s Rules of Practice for Trials, as set forth in Title 37, Part 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations; and

---

<sup>2</sup> Unless otherwise indicated, we refer to Papers and Exhibits filed in Case IPR2016-01603. Similar Papers and Exhibits were filed in Cases IPR2016-01372 and IPR2016-01381.

IPR2016-01603 (Patent 8,581,710 B2)  
IPR2016-01372 (Patent 8,659,571 B2)  
IPR2016-01381 (Patent 8,773,356 B2)

FURTHER ORDERED that Mr. Williams is to be subject to the Office's disciplinary jurisdiction under 37 C.F.R. § 11.19(a), and the Office Rules of Professional Conduct set forth in 37 C.F.R. §§ 11.101–11.901.

PETITIONER:

James M. Heintz  
Brian Erickson  
DLA Piper LLP J  
im.heintz@dlapiper.com  
Apple-Immersion-IPs@dlapiper.com  
brian.erickson@dlapiper.com

PATENT OWNER:

Michael R. Fleming  
Babak Redjaian  
Irell & Manella LLP  
mfleming@irell.com  
bredjaian@irell.com