```
1
               UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
 2
               BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD
 3
 4
 5
      APPLE, INC.,
 6
                 Petitioner,
                                        CASE IPR2016-01372
 7
            vs.
                                        Patent 8,659,571
 8
      IMMERSION CORPORATION,
 9
                 Patent Owner.
10
11
12
13
14
               DEPOSITION OF PATRICK M. BAUDISCH, PH.D.
15
                          San Diego, California
16
                       Tuesday, August 15, 2017
17
                                VOLUME I
18
19
20
21
22
      Reported by:
      ELAINE SMITH, RMR
23
      CSR No. 5421
24
      Job No. 2677339
      PAGES 1 - 48
25
                                                        Page 1
```



```
UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
                                                                          INDEX
2
       BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD
                                                         2 WITNESS
                                                                                         EXAMINATION
3
                                                            PATRICK M. BAUDISCH, PH.D.
4
                                                            Volume I
                                                         4
5 APPLE, INC.,
                                                         5
                                                         6
6
        Petitioner,
                                                         7
                                                                       BY MR. FLEMING
                                                                                                   5
                  CASE IPR2016-01372
                                                         8
7
                   Patent 8,659,571
                                                         9
                                                         10
                                                                    PREVIOUSLY MARKED EXHIBITS
8 IMMERSION CORPORATION, )
                                                        11 NUMBER
                                                                                             PAGE
                                                        12
                                                           Exhibit 1001
                                                                                             23
        Patent Owner. )
                                                                                             12
                                                            Exhibit 1002
                                                        13 Exhibit 1005
                                                                                             9
10
11
                                                            Exhibit 1014
                                                                                             9
12
                                                        14
13
                                                            (Previously marked exhibits not attached)
14
                                                        15
15
      Deposition of PATRICK M. BAUDISCH, PH.D.,
                                                        16
16 Volume I, taken on behalf of Patent Owner, at
                                                        17
  401 B Street, Suite 1700, San Diego, California,
                                                        18
  beginning at 9:01 a.m. and ending at 1:36 p.m., on
                                                        19
   Tuesday, August 15, 2017, before ELAINE SMITH, RMR,
                                                        20
   Certified Shorthand Reporter No. 5421.
                                                        21
21
                                                        22
22
                                                        23
23
                                                        24
24
                                                        25
25
                                                 Page 2
                                                                                                          Page 4
    APPEARANCES:
 1
                                                         1
                                                              San Diego, California; Tuesday, August 15, 2017
 2
                                                         2
                                                                         9:01 a.m.
 3
    For Petitioner Apple, Inc.:
                                                         3
 4
       DLA PIPER LLP (US)
                                                         4
                                                                 MR. FLEMING: I'm Mike Fleming, with Irell &
 5
        BY: ROBERT C. WILLIAMS, ESQ.
                                                         5
                                                            Manella, on behalf of the Patent Owner. And with me is
 6
       401 B Street, Suite 1700
                                                           James Milkey, also on behalf of the Patent Owner. This
 7
        San Diego, California 92101-4297
                                                           is IPR2016-01372 challenging U.S. Patent No. 8,659,571.
 8
        (619)699-2820
                                                         8
                                                                 MR. WILLIAMS: I'm Rob Williams, with
 9
       robert.williams@dlapiper.com
                                                         9
                                                            DLA Piper, representing Petitioner Apple.
10
                                                                 THE WITNESS: My name is Patrick Baudisch. My
                                                        10
11 For Patent Owner Immersion Corporation:
                                                        11 middle name is Markus, with a K, and I'm the expert
12
        IRELL & MANELLA LLP
                                                           witness.
                                                        12
13
       BY: MICHAEL R. FLEMING, ESQ.
                                                        13
14
           JAMES A. MILKEY, ESQ.
                                                        14
                                                                    PATRICK M. BAUDISCH, PH.D.,
15
        1800 Avenue of the Stars, Suite 900
                                                        15 having been administered an oath, was examined and
16
       Los Angeles, California 90067-4276
                                                           testified as follows:
                                                        16
17
        (310)277-1010
                                                        17
18
       mfleming@irell.com
                                                        18
                                                                        EXAMINATION
19
       jmilkey@irell.com
                                                        19
                                                           BY MR. FLEMING:
20
                                                        20
                                                               Q Can you state your home address for the record.
21
                                                        21
                                                               A Yes. My home address is Oranienburger Str. 17,
22
                                                        22 10178 Berlin, Germany.
23
                                                        23
                                                               Q Doctor, do you understand that you have just
24
                                                        24
                                                           taken an oath to tell the truth?
25
                                                        25
                                                               A Yes.
                                                 Page 3
                                                                                                          Page 5
```



Q You understand that this oath has the same 1 A Yes. I just said I reread my own declarations, 2 force as if given in a court of law before a judge and 2 responses on both sides and the involved patents such as 3 jury? the -- including the '571 Burrough, maybe others. 4 A Yes. Q Did any of these documents refresh your 5 Q Is there anything preventing you from giving 5 recollection? full and accurate answers today? A Yes. 7 7 A No. Q What documents were they that refreshed? Q Is there any reason you cannot give your best 8 8 A As I was just saying, the particular '571 testimony today? Burrough, multiple reports and replies, declarations on 10 10 both sides. A No. 11 Q If you do not ask me to clarify a question, 11 Q Did you bring any documents with you today? 12 I'll assume you understood the question. Is that fair? 12 A I've got a binder here, but given that we've 13 done this before, my understanding is that I will just 13 14 Q Are you represented by counsel today? 14 leave it where it is. A Yes, Rob Williams sitting next to me. Q And that I'll provide you the documents? 15 15 Q You understand that you're under oath even when 16 A If you'd be so kind. 16 17 we take a break? 17 Q Have you ever been deposed before? 18 A Yes. 18 A This would be my fifth deposition. 19 Q You understand that when you are under oath you 19 Q And were these all IPR depositions? 20 are not to discuss this case while on break or with 20 A The first deposition was in the context of 21 anyone outside of this room? 21 Apple versus HTC. 22 A I understand. 22 Q Are your opinions complete for this record? 23 23 Q You understand that when you are under oath A Yeah. I'd say that my combination of the two 24 your counsel cannot coach you, act as an intermediate, 24 declarations should have my complete opinions. 25 25 interpret the questions or help you answer the Q Did you write your declarations yourself? Page 6 Page 8 1 questions? 1 A I did, with support from counsel. 2 A Yes. 2 Q So did you write the first draft? 3 A There was back and forth by phone and e-mail 3 Q Do you understand your counsel cannot instruct 4 you not to answer the question unless it's necessary to 4 exchange. I don't recall who wrote the first draft. 5 preserve privilege? 5 Q What modifications did you make to the 6 A I understand. 6 declaration to correct inaccuracies? 7 Q You understand that unless your counsel 7 A I definitely corrected inaccuracies. There 8 instructs you not to answer in order to preserve was, as I said, multiple iterations back and forth. 9 privilege, you must answer the question? Q Doctor, I'm presenting you Exhibit 1014. 10 10 A I understand. A Thank you. It says, "Reply Declaration of 11 Q Did you do anything to prepare for this 11 Patrick Baudisch." 12 deposition? 12 Q Do you recognize this document? A I did. I reread my own declarations, responses 13 A Yes. I think this is my reply declaration. 13 14 on both sides, the involved patents. 14 Q Was this the document that was submitted for 15 Q Did you meet with your attorneys? 15 the IPR for the '571 patent, IPR206 -- I'm sorry, 16 A Absolutely. 16 IPR2016-01372? A Yes. Q Did you meet with your attorneys last night? 17 17 18 A We had dinner last night. I don't know if that 18 Q I'd like to present you Exhibit 1005. 19 19 counts. A Thank you. 20 Q How long did you meet with your attorneys to 20 Q Do you recognize this document? 21 discuss preparing for this deposition? 21 A Yes. It says, "Publication No.: A I'd say a little bit more than a day, like over 22 US 2010/0156818 A1, multi touch with multi haptics." 23 And the first inventor is Burrough. the past weekend. 24 Q May we refer to this document as Burrough? Q Did you review any documents in preparation for 24 25 25 this deposition? A Please.

DOCKET A L A R M Page 9

Page 7

- 1 Q Turning to Burrough, the haptic profile H(d)
- 2 depends on the distance between the user's fingers;
- 3 correct?
- 4 MR. WILLIAMS: Objection to form.
- 5 THE WITNESS: Let me maybe try to eliminate
- 6 some potential confusion here which results in the fact
- 7 that the inventor uses the term "H(d)" in multiple
- 8 contexts with slightly different meanings. On the one
- 9 hand, he uses the term to refer to the haptic response.
- 10 On the other hand, he uses it to refer to the haptic
- 11 profile. And if that's okay, I will just read from my
- 12 declaration on that, my response.
- So I think I'm saying it really precisely here.
- 14 It says, "Burrough discloses a dynamic interaction
- 15 parameter, haptic response H(d), whose magnitude varies
- 16 as a function of the distance between the user's fingers
- 17 during the course of a zoom gesture. Patent Owner
- 18 argues that haptic response H(d) is 'neither dynamic nor
- 19 generated,' because the function represented by H(d) is
- 20 stored in memory. Patent Owner's argument, however,
- 21 confuses the function that defines H(d), (also referred
- 22 to as the 'haptic profile'), with the output of that
- 23 function (the 'haptic response'), which changes
- 24 dynamically depending on the gesture signals it relies
- 25 upon."

Page 10

- 1 I'm just trying to clarify what the inventor, in my
- 2 opinion, tries to communicate so that we don't
- 3 miscommunicate with each other.
- 4 BY MR. FLEMING:
- 5 Q So, in your mind, what does H profile H(d)
- 6 mean?
- 7 MR. WILLIAMS: Objection. Form.
- 8 BY MR. FLEMING:
- Q To be clear, what is your definition of haptic
- 10 profile H(d), so we can refer to it consistently?
- 11 A Do you mind if I look at my initial declaration 12 for that?
- 13 Q Doctor, I'm presenting you Exhibit 1002.
 - A Thank you.
- 15 Q Do you recognize this document?
- 16 A It says, "Declaration of Dr. Patrick Baudisch"
- 17 on it.

14

- 18 Q Is this is your first declaration that was
- 19 submitted in the '571 IPR?
- 20 A I think so.
- 21 Q For the record, I would like to get the
- 22 nomenclature clear. When I refer to IPR '571, I'm
- 23 referring to IPR2016-01372. Is that okay with you,
- 24 Doctor?
- 25 A Yes.

Page 12

- 1 So to go back to your question, if you had said
- 2 the haptic response H(d) changes dynamically depending
- 3 on the gesture signal, I would agree to that. So I
- 4 don't know how we're going to do this today, but we
- 5 somehow need to find a language between the two of us
- 6 how we distinguish the two H(d)s here just to avoid
- 7 misunderstandings.
- 8 BY MR. FLEMING:
- 9 Q So it's your opinion Burrough provides two
- 10 different H(d)?
- 11 A I don't think he provides two different H(d)s.
- 12 I can understand why he uses the same terminology twice.
- 13 In one case, he refers to the haptic profile, which is
- 14 data stored in memory, and, in the other case, he refers
- 15 to when that haptic profile is being applied. I think
- 16 that's very common in language, we say things like, you
- 17 know, F of X to refer to a function, but then we also
- 18 say F of 5 and not refer to the function. It may be
- 19 called, in other cases, I mean, the number coming out of
- 20 this. So we just have to be very clear so we don't run
- 21 into the trap of miscommunication here.
- Q So can you define haptic profile H(d) since you
- 23 are coining a term?
- 24 MR. WILLIAMS: Objection. Form.
- 25 THE WITNESS: I don't think I'm coining a term.
 - erm. Page 11

- 1 Q To be sure that we're not confusing things, I'm 2 asking you what is your definition of haptic profile
- 3 H(d) so that we can refer to it consistently in the
- 4 deposition.
- 5 A Absolutely. I don't think I need to define
- 6 very much here. I think we can just turn to Burrough
- 7 and learn about what he means by haptic profile. So in
- 8 one passage, he mentions that "haptic profiles for each
- 9 of the fingers relating the distance d between the two
- 10 fingers to the corresponding haptic response H(d)
- 11 experienced at each finger."
- So, apparently, a haptic profile is a function
- 13 that accepts parameters, in this case, the distance, and
- 14 produces the haptic response as output.
- 15 Q Can you tell me where you were reading from
- 16 Burrough.

17

25

- A It's paragraph 82 from Burrough.
- 18 Q So then the haptic profile H(d) depends on the
- 19 distance between the user's fingers; correct?
- 20 A That's not exactly what I said. The result
- 21 produced by applying the haptic profile, also known as
- 22 the haptic response, that may depend, for example, on
- 23 the distance between the user's fingers.
- Q So the haptic profile H(d) is stored in memory?
 - A Do you mind showing me the Patent Owner's

Page 13



4 (Pages 10 - 13)

1 response? 1 happening in the sense that additional factors seem to 2 be applied. You want the detail on that? Q Before I show you the response, I don't 3 understand why you need to see our response. You're the 3 Q Yes, please. I'm trying to understand what 4 expert. Can you not tell me where in Burrough -- I'm your definition of haptic response H(d) is. 5 A I thought we were talking about the haptic 5 just simply asking your opinion. The haptic profile H(d), is it stored in memory? profile right now. 7 7 MR. WILLIAMS: Objection. Form. Q You said the haptic profile is stored in 8 BY MR. FLEMING: memory; correct? A Uh-huh. Q According to Burrough. 10 10 A I can totally give you that answer, but the Q And I'm asking is the haptic response H(d) what 11 fastest answer, I think, is through the Patent Owner's 11 is read out of that memory that stores the haptic 12 response, because I'm looking at my own response profile H(d)? 13 declaration, and there's -- and that suggests that the 13 A I see what you mean. What I'm trying to say is 14 Patent Owner's response tells me exactly what paragraph that the act of producing the haptic response may be a 14 15 that's in. It just speeds things up. I hope that's in 15 little bit more than just reading memory. 16 Q And what more is it? 16 everyone's interest. 17 17 A So --MR. MILKEY: I'm actually not sure that -- for 18 some reason, I'm not sure we've included it in here. 18 Q So the haptic response is not solely dependent 19 MR. FLEMING: Can we take a break? 19 on the haptic profile? Is that what you're saying? 20 THE WITNESS: Happy to. 20 A Well, the interesting part here, I think, is 21 (Recess.) 21 that the haptic profile can change during the course of 22 BY MR. FLEMING: the zoom gesture. So the inventor says in paragraph 82, 23 Q Doctor, I'm going to present to you Paper "as the zoom factor increases, the haptic profile H(d) 24 No. 14. can change by, for example, the slope becoming more 25 steep as the resolution of the underlying map A Thank you so much. Page 14 1 MR. WILLIAMS: So, just for the record, as a 1 increases." I can think of a variety of ways this could 2 courtesy, we went ahead and printed out a copy of the 2 be implemented. But it seems to suggest that a little 3 exhibit that opposing counsel requested. I don't see 3 bit more is happening around haptic profiles than just 4 any reason -- and we did so expeditiously. I don't see reading memory locations. any reason this deposition will go seven hours, but to 5 Q So is the haptic response H(d) dependent on the the extent it does get close to that, the 15 minutes or distance between the user's fingers? so that we spent preparing the document for counsel will 7 A Well, in one particular embodiment, that seems 8 count against the seven-hour time limit. to be the case. 9 BY MR. FLEMING: Q Does the distance include both magnitude and 10 10 direction? Q For clarification, what is the document? 11 A Oh, yes. It says, "Immersion Corporation's 11 A Well, the haptic -- sorry. While the distance 12 Patent Owner Response." And thanks for providing it. 12 itself is a scalar, the act of producing the distance 13 MR. WILLIAMS: Is there a question? may or may not involve magnitudes and directions 14 THE WITNESS: Yeah. The question is if this is 14 depending on what type of gesture signal that was 15 stored in memory. 15 produced. If it's produced from position data, it may, 16 BY MR. FLEMING: for example, not. If it happens to be produced from Q Is the haptic profile H(d) stored in memory? what the inventor calls delta T by delta X and delta T 17 by delta Y in paragraph 51, the production of the haptic 18 A So the Burrough patent at paragraph 51 says, response may have gone through some elements that

19 "By dynamic it is meant that although specific haptic

20 profiles H stored in haptic profile data base 134..."

21 So it's stored in the database. I guess this suggests

22 that this would be in memory.

23 Q And so then the haptic response H(d) is what is

24 read out of that memory? 25

A Well, in part. It seems like a bit more is

Page 15

Q So then distance between two fingers cannot be a vector signal?

include magnitude and direction along the way. But I

- 23 24 A I think it depends on the context, since you're
- 25 asking that question. Certainly, it's possible to

agree, at the end, the distance itself is a scalar.

Page 17

Page 16



20

21

22

DOCKET A L A R M

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

